

# Status and dispersal map of orchid populations of Chr ea National Park (southwest of Algiers, Algeria)

Sihem Louhi Haou<sup>1,2</sup> , Nora Sakhraoui<sup>3</sup> , Ratiba Boussouak<sup>3</sup> & Samah Zaoui<sup>1</sup>

**Key words:** Algeria, inventory, national park of Chr ea, orchids, surveys, vegetation density

**Ključne besede:** Al irija, popis, nacionalni park Chr ea, orhideje, raziskave, gostota vegetacije

Corresponding author:  
Nora Sakhraoui  
E-mail:  
sakhraouinora05@gmail.com,  
n.sakhraoui@univ-skikda.dz

Received: 16. 6. 2024  
Accepted: 25. 4. 2026



## Abstract

In recent years, knowledge about orchids in Algeria has improved, but it remains poorly understood in certain regions, such as the National Park of Chr ea (northern Algeria). To study orchids in this area, an inventory was carried out from April 2022 to June 2023 across ten locations within the park, based on systematic surveys and sampling. Nineteen orchid species were identified, including two sub-endemic species. The most represented genus is *Ophrys* (7 species), followed by *Anacamptis*, *Himantoglossum*, *Neotinea*, and *Orchis* (2 species each). In total, 378 orchid individuals were recorded. Confirmatory factor analyses (CFA) showed that each group of orchid species is linked to a specific exposition, altitude, and set of co-occurring species. Furthermore, the number of individuals and the size of each orchid population appear to be correlated with local vegetation density. The study area is subject to various disturbances, but many sites reveal remarkably rich flora, particularly orchids. Given the vastness of the park, fieldwork should continue, with a specific focus on hybrids.

## Izvele ek

V zadnjih letih se je poznavanje razširjenosti orhidej v Al iriji izboljšalo, vendar je v nekaterih regijah, kot je Narodni park Chr ea (severna Al irija), še vedno preslabo. Za preu evanje orhidej na obmo ju parka smo med aprilom leta 2022 in junijem leta 2023 izvedli popise na desetih lokacijah, ki so temeljili na sistemati nih raziskavah in vzor enju. Identificiranih je bilo devetnajst vrst orhidej, vklju no z dvema subendemi nima vrstama. Najbolj zastopan je rod *Ophrys* (7 vrst), sledijo mu *Anacamptis*, *Himantoglossum*, *Neotinea* in *Orchis* (po 2 vrsti). Skupaj smo zabele ili 378 osebkov orhidej. Potrditvene faktorske analize (CFA) so pokazale, da je vsaka skupina vrst orhidej povezana s specifi no ekspanzijo, nadmorsko višino in s pojavljanjem z drugimi vrstami orhidej. Poleg tega sta število osebkov in velikost vsake populacije orhidej verjetno povezana z lokalno gostoto vegetacije. Obmo je raziskave je podvr eno razli nim motnjam, vendar številne lokacije razkrivajo izjemno bogato floro, zlasti orhidej. Glede na prostranost parka bi bilo treba nadaljevati terensko delo s posebnim poudarkom na kri ancih.

1 Biodiversity and ecosystem pollution laboratory, Chadli Bendjedid El-Tarf University, Algeria

2 Environmental bio-monitoring laboratory, Annaba, Algeria

3 Department of Ecology and Environment, Faculty of Sciences, University of Skikda, Skikda, Algeria

## Introduction

The Orchidaceae remains one of the largest families in terms of the number of genera and species and these species have long attracted great interest from botanists around the world.

Thus, in southwestern Australia, in a sensitive area, Phillips et al. (2010) sought to highlight the ecological causes of the diversification or rarity of orchids in a natural habitat. In contrast, Djordjevi  et al. (2020) assessed and quantified the ecological niche of orchids in the forest ecosystem in Serbia. Other authors have worked on the taxonomic update and endemism status of orchids. These include Lussu et al. (2020) in Sardinia, Italy, based on a synthesis of surveys and botanical studies that highlights the importance of endemism in the islands of the Mediterranean basin, as well as an update of the taxonomic list of orchids, showing the potential of islands for understanding the ecology and evolution of plants. Youssef et al. (2015), in the Kurdistan region, which is part of the Iranian-Anatolian hotspot, and Delforge (2000, 2002, 2005), have worked on the identification and design of an inventory guide for certain orchids in Europe and North Africa, as have El Mokni et al. (2012) and V ela et al. (2012, 2015) in Tunisia.

In Algeria, the sixth volume of the North African flora (see Maire, 1959), describes 57 species, while Qu ezel & Santa (1962-1963) in the Algerian flora, present 47 species. From 1999 to 2020, researchers focused on the botanical description of the orchid's species and their distribution in different types of habitats. In the Numidia (northeastern Algeria), the Algerian central-eastern Tell and in the region of Tlemcen, the researchers contributed to update the presence of orchids, their nomenclature and synonymy as well as their ecology (see Derradji, 1999; De B elair, 2000; De B elair & Boussouak, 2002; De B elair et al., 2005; Rebbas & V ela, 2008; De B elair, 2010; Rebbas & V ela, 2013; Bougaham et al., 2015; Hamel et al., 2017; Babali et al., 2018; Madoui & V ela, 2020). Despite all these studies, not enough is known about the orchid flora of Algeria, as several regions have not yet been surveyed due to the vastness of the Algerian territory or have rarely been prospected, such as the national park of Chr ea.

The national park of Chr ea represents a mountainous reserve type charged with the natural flora preservation with a notable taxonomic and functional diversity within the Blidean Atlas. It represents almost 26% of the national floristic richness (Halimi, 1980; DGF, 2000). Despite this importance, the orchids of the Metidja region have not been studied systematically and no work has been published. This work aims to establish a detailed inventory of all orchid species in Chr ea and to study the floristic

niche of each population comprehensively. An initial cartographic approach to the population and dispersal status of orchids will also be discussed.

## Material and methods

### Study area

The national park of Chr ea was created in September 1925, on the initiative of the Natural History Society of North Africa. Originally, it covered only 1351 ha including the dense cedar forests of Djebel Gureouane, Djebel Ferroukha and the forest of Beni Salah (DGF, 2000). In 1983, the surface of the park was extended to 26587 ha with forest formations occupying 20.3% of the total surface and scrubland which accounts for more than 63% of the surface of the park (Halimi, 1980; Marre, 1992).

Located 50 km southwest of Algiers, the park which is within the Tell Atlas, extends along the central heights of the Blidean Atlas. It dominates about the north of Metidja plains, towards the south Medea plateau, towards the west the massif of Dahra and surroundings in the east the massif of Djurdjura. It includes from east to west the mountains of Hammam Melouane, the ridges of Chr ea and Djebel Temesguida (Halimi, 1980). This park is confined to the department of Blida and Medea and overlaps the limits of Ain Defla province. The Blidean Atlas is a mountainous region of the alpine fold with the highest point reaching 1629 m (Koudiat Abdel Kader). It is divided into compartments and well-limited siltation basins (Marre, 1992).

According to Halimi (1980), the park is a rocky barrier with a very rough topography and a dense hydrographic network including a series of wadis oriented south-north. The geology of the Blidean Atlas is very homogeneous, composed only of deposits of schist that are more or less clay-like and rarely fossiliferous (Halimi, 1980; Marre, 1992).

The climate is of Mediterranean type, characterized in this region by a long period of dryness and by relatively warm and rainy winters with determined by frost (Halimi, 1980). The Blidean Atlas suffers from both the severe winter and the dryness of summer, which occurs just when vegetation most needs water (Emberger, 1930; Seltzer, 1946; Daget, 1977; Pons & Qu ezel, 1998; Le Houerou, 2007; Colmet-Da age et al., 2018). The intermediate seasons (spring and autumn) are the most favorable for the plant structuring of natural habitats in the Mediterranean region (Daget, 1977; Pons & Qu ezel, 1998). The intermediate seasons (spring and autumn) are the most favorable to vegetation.

Our surveys focused on three sectors according to the recommendations of the national park of Chr ea's forestry

engineers who assert that “these are the sectors that constitute the largest areas of ecological interest and natural diversity”. In each sector, study sites were selected accord-

ing to their accessibility; a total of 10 sites were the subject of floristic survey (Figure 1, Table 1).




Figure 1: Location maps of the study area with sectors and localities. Slika 1: Zemljevid lokacij  tudijskih sektorjev in krajev.

Table 1: Exposition, soil type and geographic coordinates of the studied sectors and localities.

Tabela 1: Ekspozicija, vrsta tal in geografske koordinate preu evanih sektorjev in krajev.

Sector	Locality	Exposition	Type of soil and rock (Halimi, 1980; DGF, 2000)	Latitude	Longitude	Altitude (m a. s. l.)
El Hamdania Sector 36°21'49.05" N, 2°45'05.51" E	Zeta	South	Schistose stone	36°18'48.48" N	2°47'04.93" E	447
	Sidi Rabe�h	Northwest	Schistose stone, rich in organic matter, with dry soil	36°22'12.68" N	2°46'40.55" E	503
	Pure Cedar forest	North	Schistose stone, rich in organic matter, with dry soil	36°24'59.38" N	2°51'44.40" E	1519
Chr�ea Sector 36°25'11.72" N, 2°51'15.64" E	Beni Ali ropeway	Northwest	Schist outcropping in some areas	36°25'22.82" N	2°49'42.50" E	924
	Beni Ali	North	Schistose, non-calcareous soils, dry on severe slopes, with low organic matter content	36°25'18.70" N	2°55'01.16" E	815
	Oued Abrer	North	Schistose, non-calcareous soils	36°24'32.19" N	2°46'04.46" E	768
Hammam Melouane Sector 36°28'30.79" N, 3°03'02.90" E	Sidi Aissa	Northwest	Non-calcareous soil with low organic matter at pH=6.8	36°28'13.61" N	3°02'27.90" E	550
	Douar Bou Hmar	Southeast	Grey schist	36°22'58.63" N	3°21'15.75" E	350
	Tabainet	East	Grey schist	36°30'40.29" N	2°57'05.33" E	169
	Sidi Serhane	South	Grey schist	36°28'00.63" N	3°01'27.63" E	262

**El Hamdania sector:** Located in the west of Medea province, it is characterized by important natural resources (gorges of the Chiffa, waterfalls, the high-altitude lake of Tamesguida). Two localities in this sector were surveyed (Sidi Rabeh and Zeta), characterized by the presence of arboresecent maquis dominated by *Quercus ilex* L.

**Chr ea sector:** This sector is located in the center of the park area and includes a centenary cedar grove, a rich and varied arboretum, places for summer and winter sports activities. Four localities were selected here (pure cedar forest, Beni Ali ropeway, Beni Ali and Oued Aberer), where a very important tree flora has been recorded, in particular *Cedrus atlantica* (Endl.) Manetti ex-Carri re, *Cupressus sempervirens* L., *Pinus halepensis* Mill., *Prunus avium* (L.) L., *Quercus ilex* L. and *Q. suber* L.

**Hammam Melouane sector:** It is a mountainous area, located in the east of the region of Chr ea. It is characterized by its thermal resources, an important rural vocation, and relaxation places in nature. Four localities were prospected here (Sidi Aissa, Douar Bou Hmar, Tabainet and Sidi Serhane). The vegetation in these localities is diverse and includes forests of *Quercus ilex* and *Pinus halepensis*, as well as low maquis shrublands, grasslands, and horticultural crops.

## Study method and sampling

Our work aims to compile a systematic inventory of orchids present in Chr ea National Park. As part of this study, several surveys were conducted between April 2022 and June 2023. We placed particular emphasis on random sampling based on the presence/absence of the different taxa studied in the Orchidaceae family. As part of each survey (25m<sup>2</sup>), we compiled a systematic inventory of all the flora associated with orchids (Frontier & Pichod-Viale, 1998), assigning each species a Braun-Blanquet abundance-dominance value (Braun-Blanquet, 1932). We thus established a matrix of 27 floristic surveys covering all species co-occurring with the identified orchid. The identification of orchids and main co-occurring species was based on the Algerian flora of Qu ezel & Santa (1962–1963) and the North African flora of Maire (1959), but also, especially for orchids, based on Martin et al. (2020), Kreutz et al. (2013, 2015), Rebbas & V ela (2013), and Rebbas et al. (2023). The nomenclature was updated according to Euro+Med PlantBase (2024), International Plant Name Index (IPNI, 2024), and African Plant Database (APD, 2025). The Plants of the World Online (POWO, 2024) and Euro+Med PlantBase (2024) indicate the general distribution of the taxa, allowing the determination of the categories of endemism, which was also checked in Le Floch et al. (2010) and Dobignard & Chatelain (2010). Taxa were classified in alphabetical order and their synonyms in the Algerian or North African flora were indicated.

The size (height) of individuals of orchids observed was measured *in situ*. The mapping of the sites and study localities, as well as the dispersal maps of the orchid species studied, were established by the ARC-GIS 10.8 software.

## Statistical analysis

One of the methods applied to the processing of ecological plant data is certainly correspondence analysis (CA), which is indeed the most appropriate method for discriminating between plant groups (Legendre & Legendre, 1984; Dervin, 1988). This method is the most suitable for our fieldwork, given that Braun Blanquet’s abundance-dominance cover estimates are semi-quantitative.

In a first step, a CFA was used to highlight the significant interactions between the main factors. This includes finding the best simultaneous representation of two clusters comprising the “plant species” rows and the “survey” columns of a data table and testing the link between these two clusters (Legendre & Legendre, 1984). In our case, this involves a census of matrix species, where we note a Braun-Blanquet abundance-dominance coefficient for each plant species. The term ‘matching’ in CFA comes from the fact that we are trying to match characteristics. Correspondence factor analysis describes the dependence or correspondence between sets of character data. Thus, CFA is mainly descriptive (Dervin, 1988), allowing us to describe the floristic environment that accompanies each orchid species in a multidimensional analysis and to establish the reason for the grouping of these species or their isolated occurrence.

## Results Inventory

In the ten localities distributed across the three sectors of the Chr ea national park (the Sector of Chr ea, the sector of El Hamadania and the sector of Hammam Melouane), 19 species of orchids were identified (Figure 2A-J), including 2 subendemics (*Orchis laeta*, an Algerian-Tunisian endemic, and *Ophrys atlantica* Munby, a taxon with distribution area limited to Spain, Morocco, Algeria, and Tunisia, Table 2), and 131 co-occurring species. The most represented genus of orchids in the study area is *Ophrys* (7 species), followed by *Orchis* (3 species), then *Anacamptis*, *Himantoglossum* and *Neotinea* (2 species each). In the field, 378 individuals of orchids were recorded.

*Ophrys lutea* Cav. is the most abundant in the study area (113 individuals), followed by *Anacamptis coriophora* (L.) R.M.Bateman, Pridgeon & M.W.Chase and *Ophrys bombyliflora* Link (71 and 50 individuals respectively). On the other hand, *Himantoglossum hircinum* (L.) Spreng. and



*H. robertianum* (Loisel.) P.Delforge are the least abundant with only 3 individuals for each of them (Figure 3). The study area offers suitable conditions for orchids to thrive

and is also an ecological optimum for some of these species, with their populations reaching fairly high densities compared with others orchids (Figure 4).

**Table 2:** Orchid species recorded in Chr ea park and specific synonymy.

**Tabela 2:** Vrste orhidej s sinonimi, ki smo jih zabeleili v parku.

Taxon	Synonymy according to Maire (1959), Qu�zel & Santa (1962-1963), Dobignard & Chatelain (2010)	Nomenclature adopted by the African Plant Database (APD, 2025)
<i>Anacamptis coriophora</i> (L.) R. M. Bateman, Pridgeon & M. W. Chase	<i>A. coriophora</i> subsp. <i>fragrans</i> (Pollini) R. M. Bateman, Pridgeon & M. W. Chase <i>Anteriorchis coriophora</i> (L.) Klein & Strack <i>Orchis coriophora</i> L. subsp. <i>fragrans</i> (Pollini) K. Richt <i>O. fragrans</i> Pollini	<i>A. coriophora</i> (L.) R. M. Bateman, Pridgeon & M. W. Chase
<i>Anacamptis papilionacea</i> (L.) R. M. Bateman, Pridgeon & M. W. Chase	<i>Orchis papilionacea</i> L. sensu lato	<i>A. papilionacea</i> (L.) R. M. Bateman, Pridgeon & M. W. Chase
<i>Cephalanthera longifolia</i> (L.) Fritsch	/	<i>C. longifolia</i> (L.) Fritsch
<i>Himantoglossum hircinum</i> (L.) Spreng.	<i>Aceras hircina</i> (L.) Lindl. <i>Loroglossum hircinum</i> (L.) Rich. <i>Orchis hircina</i> (L.) Crantz <i>Satyrium hircinum</i> L.	<i>H. hircinum</i> (L.) Spreng.
<i>Himantoglossum robertianum</i> (Loisel.) P. Delforge	<i>H. longibracteatum</i> (Biv.) Schlechter <i>Barlia robertiana</i> (Loisel.) Greuter <i>Orchis robertiana</i> Loisel.	<i>H. robertianum</i> (Loisel.) P. Delforge
<i>Limodorum abortivum</i> (L.) Sw.	<i>L. abortivum</i> subsp. <i>eu-abortivum</i> (L.) Maire & Weiller <i>Orchis abortiva</i> L.	<i>L. abortivum</i> (L.) Sw.
<i>Neotinea maculata</i> (Desf.) Stearn	<i>N. intacta</i> (Link) Rchb. f. <i>Aceras intactum</i> (Link) Rchb. f. <i>Orchis intacta</i> Link <i>Satyrium maculatum</i> Desf.	<i>Orchis intacta</i> Link
<i>Neotinea tridentata</i> (Scop.) R. M. Bateman, Pridgeon & M. W. Chase	<i>Orchis tridentata</i> Scop.	<i>N. tridentata</i> (Scop.) R. M. Bateman, Pridgeon & M. W. Chase
<i>Ophrys apifera</i> Huds.	/	<i>O. apifera</i> Huds.
<i>Ophrys atlantica</i> Munby	<i>O. fusca</i> subsp. <i>atlantica</i> (Munby) Coss.	<i>O. atlantica</i> Munby
<i>Ophrys bombyliflora</i> Link	<i>O. tabanifera</i> Willd <i>O. distoma</i> Biv. <i>O. pulla</i> Dix. <i>O. hiulca</i> S�bast. & Mauri <i>O. canaliculata</i> Viv. <i>O. labrofossa</i> Brot.	<i>O. bombyliflora</i> Link
<i>Ophrys fusca</i> Link	/	<i>O. fusca</i> Link
<i>Ophrys lutea</i> Cav.	/	<i>O. lutea</i> Cav.
<i>Ophrys speculum</i> Link	<i>O. ciliata</i> Bivona <i>O. vernixia</i> Brot.	<i>O. speculum</i> Link
<i>Ophrys tenthredinifera</i> Willd.	/	<i>O. tenthredinifera</i> Willd.
<i>Orchis anthropophora</i> (L.) All.	<i>Aceras anthropophorum</i> (L.) W. T. Aiton <i>Ophrys anthropophora</i> L.	<i>O. anthropophora</i> (L.) All.
<i>Orchis laeta</i> Steinh.	<i>O. provincialis</i> var. <i>laeta</i> (Steinh.) Maire & Weiller <i>Androrchis laeta</i> (Steinh.) D.Tyteca & E.Klein	<i>Androrchis pauciflora</i> subsp. <i>laeta</i> (Steinh.) V�la, Rebbas & R. Martin
<i>Orchis mascula</i> (L.) L.	<i>O. morio</i> var. <i>mascula</i> L. <i>Androrchis mascula</i> (L.) D.Tyteca & E. Klein	<i>Androrchis mascula</i> (L.) D.Tyteca & E. Klein
<i>Serapias parviflora</i> Parl.	<i>S. parviflora</i> subsp. <i>occultata</i> (J.Gay ex Cavalier) Maire & Weiller	<i>Serapias parviflora</i> Parl.





**Figure 2:** Some of the orchid species observed at the study sites. A: *Ophrys tenthredinifera*, B: *Ophrys apifera*, C: *Ophrys lutea*, D: *Ophrys speculum*, E: *Ophrys bombyliflora*, F: *Anacamptis coriophora*, G: *Limodorum abortivum*, H: *Orchis laeta*, I: *Serapias parviflora*, J: *Himantoglossum hircinum*.

**Slika 2:** Nekaterе vrste орhидеј, ki smo jih opazili na raziskovanih обmоčјih. A: *Ophrys tenthredinifera*, B: *Ophrys apifera*, C: *Ophrys lutea*, D: *Ophrys speculum*, E: *Ophrys bombyliflora*, F: *Anacamptis coriophora*, G: *Limodorum abortivum*, H: *Orchis laeta*, I: *Serapias parviflora*, J: *Himantoglossum hircinum*.




Figure 3: Number of individuals of each orchid species recorded in the study area.  
Slika 3: Številno osebkov posameznih vrst orhidej, ki smo jih zabeležili na preučevanem območju.




Figure 4: The average height (cm) of the orchid species inventoried in the study sites.  
Slika 4: Povprečna višina orhidej, ki smo jih popisali na preučevanih območjih.


## Floristic composition of co-occurring species

The factorial analysis highlights a clear distribution of orchids according to the companion species in each study site. Graph with second and third axis gives ecologically most sound result, therefore we decided to present this graph.

The analysis divides the orchids in 2 groups which are distributed on the factorial axis 2 from right to left according to a gradient of plant density and the third settles at the limit of the factorial axis 3 (Figure 5). This distribution follows the plant physiognomic formation of each locality studied. Figure 6 supports the distribution of localities according to the plant density of the survey itself where the orchid species is reported; this one divides the localities into 3 sectors according to the altitude

and the exposition of the survey. The analysis of the plant procession of each orchid locality highlights the floristic niche of these species and their sociability with each other.

In the mountainous localities we observe a specific installation for some populations of orchids such as: *Ophrys atlantica* Munby and *Neotinea maculata* (Desf.) Stearn where the orchid is surrounded by a companion flora. On the other hand, in open localities with low plant density, such as: rocky lawns and clearings of cork oaks, we see a clustering of different species of orchids associated with a specific flora constituting a population of orchids very characteristic of the nature of the habitat where they are found. Giving example to the population of: *Anacamptis papilionacea* (L.) R.M.Bateman, Pridgeon & M.W. Chase, *Ophrys bombyliflora* Link, *Orchis anthropophora* (L.) All., and *O. mascula* (L.) L.



**Figure 5:** AFC factor analysis (axes 2–3) highlighting the distribution of 19 species of orchids inventoried across 27 floristic readings. Some orchids have a clustered distribution, others show overlapping presence, while others are solitary.

**Slika 5:** AFC faktorska analiza (osi 2–3) z razporeditvijo 19 vrst orhidej, ki smo jih zabeležili v 27 florističnih popisih. Nekatere orhideje imajo gruščasto razporeditev, druge se prekrivajo, tretje pa so samostojne.




Figure 6: Distribution of study sites on the factorial plane (2,3) (CFA).

Slika 6: Razporeditev preu evanih obmo ij na faktorski ravnini (2,3) (CFA).

Figure 7 illustrates the floristic niche of the orchids recorded during our field survey. The factor analysis thus identifies five distinct groups of orchids (G1, G2, G3, G4 and G5). Each group is characterised by a set of orchid

species accompanied by a floristic composition characteristic of the biotic environment. However, the factorial plot of the analysis brings together all the companion species common to all the habitats studied during our work.




Figure 7: AFC axis 2-3. Highlighting the grouping of each of the 19 orchid species with the 131 co-occurring plant species, revealing the most characteristic species of the habitat.

Slika 7: Osi 2-3 v analizi AFC. 19 vrst orhidej s 131 vrstami drugih rastlin, s katerimi uspevajo skupaj in ki nakazujejo najbolj zna ilne vrste habitata.

**Flora Group number. 1:** *Himantoglossum robertianum*, *Cephalanthera longifolia*, *Ophrys tenthredinifera*, *Ophrys lutea*, *Ophrys speculum*, *Malope malacoides*, *Asplenium obovatum*, *Pallenis maritima*, *Chamaerops humilis*, *Nigella damascena*, *Squilla maritima* and *Anagallis arvensis*.

**Flora Group number. 2:** *Neotinea maculata*, *Myosotis discolor*, *Crataegus azarolus*, *Ranunculus spicatus*, *Hyacinthoides hispanica*, *Smyrniium perfoliatum* and *Leucantheum glabrum*.


**Flora Group number. 3:** *Orchis anthropophora*, *Anacamptis papilionacea*, *Ophrys bombyliflora*, *Orchis mascula*, *Orchis laeta*, *Ophrys fusca*, *Anacamptis coriophora*, *Serapias parviflora*, *Himantoglossum hircinum*, *Neotinea tridentata*, *Asplenium trichomanes*, *Asphodelus ramosus*, *Bromus scoparius*, *Allium triquetrum*, *Orobanche purpurea*, *Stachys marrubiifolia*, *Dactylis glomerata*, *Bellis annua*, *Pinus halepensis*, *Valeriana tuberosa*, *Papaver rhoeas* and *Gladiolus byzantinus*.

**Flora Group number. 4:** *Ophrys apifera*, *Orchis laeta*, *Limodorum abortivum*, *Plantago major*, *Geranium molle*, *Quercus suber*, *Erica arborea* and *Genista tricuspitata*.


**Flora Group number. 5:** *Ophrys atlantica*, *Hypochoeris radicata*, *Eryngium tricuspitatum*, *Astragalus armatus*, *Polygala nicaensis*, *Hypericum perfoliatum*, *Cedrus atlantica*, *Ranunculus macrophyllus*, *Sedum caeruleum*, *Funaria hygrometrica*, *Paronychia argentea* and *Viola munbyana*.

## Orchid dispersal in the study area


The distribution maps, combined with graphs showing the diversity of orchids at each study site and the abundance of each species (Figure 8A, B, C, D, E, F), highlight the genera with a wide distribution and those with a limited distribution within the study area. Thus, the genus *Ophrys* exhibits a fairly broad ecological profile, occupying all the habitat types studied during our fieldwork. The genus *Orchis* exhibits habitat specificity, being restricted to cedar forests at an altitude ranging from 550 to 815 metres across just two sites. The genus *Himantoglossum* is present at only four sites, and the two species characteristic of this genus do not overlap in their distribution, as is the case for the genera *Neotinea* and *Anacamptis*. These maps and graphs enable a clearer distinction to be made between orchids with a broad ecological profile, i.e. those that colonise various habitats studied (mixed forest of *Quercus ilex* and *Pinus halepensis*, *Quercus suber* scrub, cedar forest), such as *Ophrys*, *Serapias*, *Himantoglossum* and *Neotinea*, and orchids with a specialised ecological profile, such as the *Orchis* species that grow only in mixed forests, or *Limodorum* and *Cephalanthera*.




**Figure 8A:** Dispersal map and abundance graph for species of the genus *Anacamptis* in the study area (Chr ea Park, northern Algeria). **Slika 8A:** Zemljevid razširjenosti in graf številčnosti vrst iz rodu *Anacamptis* na območju raziskave (park Chr ea, severna Alžirija).




**Figure 8B:** Dispersal map and abundance graph for species of the genus *Ophrys* in the study area (Chr ea Park, northern Algeria).  
**Slika 8B:** Zemljevid razširjenosti in graf številčnosti vrst iz rodu *Ophrys* na območju raziskave (park Chr ea, severna Alžirija).



**Figure 8C:** Dispersal map and abundance graph for species of the genus *Neotinea* in the study area (Chr ea Park, northern Algeria).  
**Slika 8C:** Zemljevid razširjenosti in graf številčnosti vrst iz rodu *Neotinea* na območju raziskave (park Chr ea, severna Alžirija).



**Figure 8D:** Dispersal map and abundance graph for species of the genus *Orchis* in the study area (Chr ea Park, northern Algeria).  
**Slika 8D:** Zemljevid raz irjenosti in graf  tevilčnosti vrst iz rodu *Orchis* na obmo ju raziskave (park Chr ea, severna Al irija).



**Figure 8E:** Dispersal map and abundance graph for species of the genus *Himantoglossum* in the study area (Chr ea Park, northern Algeria).  
**Slika 8E:** Zemljevid raz irjenosti in graf  tevilčnosti vrst iz rodu *Himantoglossum* na obmo ju raziskave (park Chr ea, severna Al irija).




Figure 8F: Dispersal map and abundance graph for species of the genera *Cephalanthera*, *Limodorum* and *Serapias* in the study area (Chr ea Park, northern Algeria).

Slika 8F: Zemljevid razširjenosti in graf številčnosti vrst iz rodov *Cephalanthera*, *Limodorum* in *Serapias* na območju raziskave (park Chr ea, severna Alžirija).

## Discussion

All species identified in this study have already been described in the literature and reported in Algeria in different regions, including Djurdjura national park (Rebbas et al., 2023), while for Chr ea national park orchid data were almost absent. To the best of our knowledge, no recent work has been done, and no publication has been made, similarly, no recent data have been reported on iNaturalist in this area, nor have any collections been made by de B elair (GdB herbarium, <https://gdbelair.com/>) in this area. Our findings show that the study area is characterized by a significant specific diversity, which could have been much greater if we had access to certain locations (not possible due to dangerous and unsafe terrain), which limited our ability to establish a more exhaustive list of orchids in the study area.

The study area is also characterized by variability in orchid abundance depending on the species and the state of their populations. This abundance varies between a high population density and a simple individual presence reflecting the rare status of the species under consideration.

The low number of individuals of orchid species could be attributed to the strong anthropic pressure and the successive fires in the region during the summer season.

Anthropogenic actions such as wild dumps, high tourist numbers and fires caused by summer visitors (campfires and land clearing by farmers), cause a change in soil structure and destroy the seed bank of these plant species. The only refuge of the inhabitants of the region of Blida, well known for its hot summers, remains the pine forests, the cork oak forest and the cedar forest of Chr ea.

Results indicated that differences in orchid species richness and composition were related to habitat type, elevation and exposition. Our results corroborate with Djordjevi  & Tsiftsis (2019) and Djordjevi  et al., (2020), who confirm these findings by studying the soil composition with its texture for the 28 orchid species inventoried, as well as the specialization of the forest orchid related to the altitude parameter (between low and medium). Phillips et al. (2010) noted that the habitat fragmentation (installation of paths, roundabouts, roads,

for farming and urbanization), affects the rarity of orchids, this fact is due to the lack and even sometimes to the absence of their pollinators. Such was also found in our survey, at the Hammam Melouane site, where the density of orchid populations was very low, characterized by one to two individuals. From the other hand,  t pkova et al. (2021) remarked that the orchids listed in their study are distributed in three groups depending on the orography of the region and the biological type of these species (rhizomatous, intermediate and tuberous). This has been noted in our survey, revealing the distribution of orchids in five groups related to specific flora and altitude. Beghami et al. (2015), who carried out their survey in a mountainous area of the Aur es (northeastern Algeria), based on multidimensional analyses of ecological and typological parameters, highlighted the distribution of a number of orchids, signaling their alarming vulnerability to the anthropization of their habitats, thereby raising the question of their protection immediacy. This was confirmed by Linder (2004) and Van der Niet (2017), who worked in the Cap region (South Africa), on the occurrence of *Satyrium liltvedianum* van der Niet, with its conservation status and ecology.


## Conclusion

In this work, we tried to contribute to the determination of sites characterized by a taxonomic diversity of orchids in Algeria. The Tell Atlas, is one of the domains with high endemism and high diversity of rare species (Qu zel & Santa, 1962). The national park of Chr ea is included in this domain and despite the fact that it presents a great disturbance (fire, tourism and urbanization), many locations have revealed a great diversity and a noticeable orchidological abundance. Statistical analysis of ecological data collected in the field shows that the grouping of orchids depends on the plant density of the habitat, which also results in fairly small orchid populations in terms of size and number. On the other hand, the solitary species are present in quite a large number and a large size.

However, this inventory remains incomplete given the vastness of the park and requires further investigations especially for the hybrids not exploited here. In addition, it is necessary to support the national and international policies of conservation and to cooperate for a better floral knowledge of the territories. The status of conservation of some orchid species of patrimonial interest (two were recorded here) would benefit from being assessed according to the criteria of IUCN (2012), one of them, *Ophrys atlantica*, was recently included in the IUCN red list of threatened species in the "least concerned" category (V la et al., 2018). Executive Decree no. 12/03 of January 4

(D.E., 2012), indicates the protection of 22 orchid species in Algeria by prohibiting all of the following actions: the destruction, cutting, mutilation, uprooting, gathering of plants of these species or their fruiting bodies, or any other form taken by these species during their biological cycle, their transport, use, offering for sale, or purchase, as well as the possession of specimens taken from their natural environment. However, this regulation must be accompanied by a genuine political will to preserve the entire orchidological wealth of the country by implementing and enforcing this decree.

## ORCID iDs

Nora Sakhraoui  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9853-5702>

Sihem Louhi Haou  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6071-3596>

## Acknowledgment

Our thanks go to Pr. M. Boumendjel from Badji Mokhtar University, Annaba, for the time he devoted to this document in order to correct it, Dr. A. Benabdallah for her help in revising the English of the earlier draft of this article, and the technical team of the Chr ea national park.

## Research data availability

Research data used in paper are included in the published paper.

## References

- APD (2025). African Plant Database (version 4.0.0). Conservatoire et Jardin botaniques de la Ville de Gen ve and South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, Retrieved January 15, 2025, from <https://africanplantdatabase.ch/>
- Babali, B., Bouazza, M., & Hasnaoui-Benammar, H. (2018). On the discovery and rediscovery of *Ophrys atlantica* taxa in the region of Tlemcen-Algeria. *Journal Europaischer Orchideen*, 50 (1), 88–100.
- Beghami, Y., V la, E., De B lair, G., & Thion, M. (2015). Contribution on the knowledge of the orchids of the Aures (NE of Algeria): inventory, cartography, taxonomy and ecology. *Revue d'Ecologie (la Terre et la Vie)*, 70(4), 354–370.
- Bougaham, A.F., Bouchibane, M., & V la, E. (2015). Inventaire des orchid es de la Kabylie des Babors (Alg rie),  l ments de cartographie et enjeux patrimoniaux. *Journal Europaischer Orchideen*, 47, 88–110.
- Braun-Blanquet, J. (1932). *Plant sociology: The study of plant communities*. McGraw-Hill book Company.
- Colmet-Daage, A., Sanchez-Gomez, E., Ricci, S., Llovel, C., Estupina, V.B., Quintana-Segui, P., Llasat, M.C., & Servat, E. (2018). Evaluation of uncertainties in mean and extreme precipitation under climate change for northwestern Mediterranean watersheds from high-resolution Med and Euro-CORDEX ensembles. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 22(1), 673–687. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-22-673-2018>

- Daget, P. (1977). Le bioclimat méditerranéen: caractères généraux, mode de caractérisation. *Vegetatio*, 34, 1–20.
- D. E. (2012). Décret exécutif du 18 janvier 2012, portant la liste des espèces végétales non cultivées et protégées. *Journal Officiel de la République Algérienne*, 3-12, 12–38.
- De Bélair, G. (2000). Les orchidées de la Numidie. 1ère partie : taxonomie et iconographie. *L'Orchidophile*, 144, 220–230.
- De Bélair, G. (2010). *Serapias stenopetala*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2010: e.T164148A5750906. Retrieved March 3, 2024, from <https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2010-2.RLTS.T164148A5750906.en>.
- De Bélair, G., & Boussouak, R. (2002). Une Orchidée endémique de Numidie, oubliée: *Serapias stenopetala* Maire & Stephenson 1930. *L'Orchidophile*, 153, 189–196.
- De Bélair, G., Véla, E., & Boussouak, R. (2005). Inventaire des Orchidées de Numidie (NE Algérie) sur vingt années. *Journal Européen d'Orchidologie*, 37, 291–401.
- Delforge, P. (2000). *Ophrys caesiella* sp. nova, une espèce maltaise du groupe d'*Ophrys fusca*, présente aussi en Sicile. *Les Naturalistes Belges*, 81(Orchid. 13), 232–236.
- Delforge, P. (2002). *Ophrys gazella* et *Ophrys africana*, deux espèces ? *Les Naturalistes Belges*, 83(Orchid. 15), 45–58.
- Delforge, P. (2005). *Guide des orchidées d'Europe, d'Afrique du Nord & du Proche-Orient*. Delachaux et Niestlé.
- Derradji, L. (1999). *Ecologie et répartition des Orchidées dans la Numidie orientale*. Ingénieur d'Etat, Université d'Annaba, Algérie.
- Dervin, C. (1988). *Comment interpréter les résultats d'une analyse factorielle des correspondances*. ITCF.
- DGF (2000). Direction Générale des Forêt du secteur algérois. *Plan de gestion et Aménagement du territoire*.
- Djordjević, V., & Tsiftsis, S. (2019). Patterns of orchid species richness and composition in relation to geological substrates. *Wulfenia*, 26, 1–21.
- Djordjević, V., Tsiftsis, S., Lakusic, D., Jovanović, S., Jakovljević, K., & Stevanović, V. (2020). Patterns of distribution, abundance and composition of forest terrestrial orchids. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 29, 4111–4134. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-020-02067-6>
- Dobignard, A., & Chatelain, C. (2010). *Index synonymique de la flore d'Afrique du Nord* (Vol. 1). Conservatoire et Jardin Botaniques.
- El Mokni, R., Véla, E., & El Aouni, M.H. (2012). Prospections orchidologiques dans les monts des Mogods et leurs environs (Tunisie septentrionale). *Journal Européen d'Orchidologie*, 44, 365–380.
- Emberger, L. (1930). La végétation de la région méditerranéenne. Essai d'une classification des groupements végétaux. *Revue Générale de Botanique*, 42, 641–662.
- Euro+Med PlantBase (2024). Euro+Med Plantbase, The information resource for Euro-Mediterranean plant diversity. Retrieved September 7, 2023, from <https://euromed.org>
- Frontier, S. (1983). *Stratégie d'échantillonnage en écologie*. Masson, Collection d'Ecologie.
- Frontier, S., & Pichod-Viale, D. (1998). *Ecosystèmes, structure, fonctionnement, évolution*. Masson.
- Halimi, A. (1980). *L'Atlas Blidéen: Climat et étages de végétation*. Office des Publication Universitaire.
- Hamel, T., Meddad-Hamza, A., & Mabarek Oudina, A. (2017). De nouvelles perspectives pour les orchidées de la région de Skikda (Nord-est algérien). *Journal Européen d'Orchidologie*, 49(1), 61–78.
- IPNI (2024). International plant names index. Kew, The Royal Botanic Gardens. Retrieved September 10, 2023, from <http://www.ipni.org>
- IUCN (2012). International Union for Conservation of Nature Red List categories and criteria, version 3.1. Second edition. Gland and Cambridge, SSC Commission, IUCN. Retrieved October 13, 2023, from <https://portals.iucn.org/library/node/10315>
- Kreutz, C.A.J., Rebbas, K., Miara, M.D., Babali, B., & Ait-Hammou, M. (2013). Neue Erkenntnisse zu den Orchideen Algeriens. *Berichte aus den Arbeitskreisen Heimische Orchideen*, 30, 185–270.
- Kreutz, C.A.J., Rebbas, K., De Bélair, G., Miara, M.D., & Ait-Hammou, M. (2014). Ergänzungen, Korrekturen und neue Erkenntnisse zu den Orchideen Algeriens. *Berichte aus den Arbeitskreisen Heimische Orchideen*, 31, 140–206.
- Le Floch, E., Boulos, L. & Véla, E. (2010). Catalogue synonymique commenté de la Flore de Tunisie. BNG et MEDD.
- Le Houerou, H.N. (2007). Biomes isoclimatiques méditerranéens : bioclimatologie, diversité et phytogéographie. *Forêt méditerranéenne*, 28(1), 67–72.
- Legendre, L., & Legendre, R. (1984). *Ecologie numérique : Le traitement multiple des données écologiques*. Masson.
- Linder, H.P. (2004). A protocol for the systematic documentation of the ecology of Cape plants. *South African Journal of Botany*, 71, 201–210. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0254-6299\(15\)30134-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0254-6299(15)30134-4)
- Lussu, M., Marignani, M., Lai, R., Loi, M.C., Cogoni, A., & Cortis, P. (2020). A Synopsis of Sardinian Studies: Why is it important to work on island Orchids. *Plants*, 9(7), 853. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants9070853>
- Madoui, A., & Véla, E. (2020). Inventaire des Orchidées de la partie septentrionale de la wilaya de Sétif (nord-est de l'Algérie). *Bulletin Mensuel de la Société Linnéenne de Lyon*, 89(5/6), 88–122.
- Maire, R. (1959). *Flore d'Afrique du Nord*. Lechevalier.
- Marre, A. (1992). *Le Tell orientale algérien. Etude géomorphologique*. Office des Publications Universitaires.
- Martin, R., Rebbas, K., Véla, E., Beghami, Y., Bougaham, A.F., Bounar R., Boutabia, L., de Bélair, G., Filali, A.D., Haddad, M., Hadji, K., Hamel, T., Kreutz, K., Madoui, A., Nemer, W., Telailia, S., & Ludinant, S. (2020). *Etude cartographique des orchidées de Kabylie, Numidie et Aurès (Algérie)*. Société Méditerranéenne d'Orchidologie. [hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02483998](http://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02483998)
- Phillips, R.D., Brown, A.P., Dixon, K.W., & Hopper, S.D. (2010). Orchid biogeography and factors associated with rarity in a biodiversity hotspot, the southwest Australian floristic region. *Journal of Biogeography*, 38(3), 487–501. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02413.x>
- Pons, A. & Quézel, P. (1998). A propos de la mise en place du climat méditerranéen. *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, Sciences de la terre et des planètes*, 327, 755–760.

POWO (2024). Plants Of the World Online. Kew, Royal Botanic Gardens. Retrieved July 21, 2023, from <https://powo.science.kew.org>

Quézel, P., & Santa, S. (1962-1963). *Nouvelle flore de l'Algérie et des régions désertiques méridionales* (2 Vol.). Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.

Rebbas, K., & Véla, E. (2008). Découverte d'*Ophrys mirabilis* P.Geniez & F.Melki en Kabylie (Algérie). *Le monde des plantes*, 496, 13–16.

Rebbas, K., & Véla, E. (2013). Observations nouvelles sur les Pseudophrys du Centre-Est de l'Algérie septentrionale. *Journal Europäischer Orchideen*, 45, 501–517.

Rebbas, K., Heddad, M., Ben Si Said, Z., Haddad, S., & Véla, E. (2023). The orchids of Djurdjura National Park (Great Kabylia, Algeria) over 17 years -Inventory, taxonomy and biogeography. *Journal Europäischer Orchideen*, 55(1), 99–181.

Seltzer, P. (1946). *Le climat de l'Algérie. Travaux de l'Institut météorologie et de physique du globe de l'Algérie*. La Typo-litho & J. Carbonel.

Štípková, Z., Tsiftsis, S., & Kindlmann, P. (2021). Distribution of Orchids with Different Rooting Systems in the Czech Republic. *Plants*, 10(4), 632. <https://doi.org/10.3390/plants10040632>

Van der Niet, T. (2017). *Satyrium liltvedianum* a newly discovered orchid species from the Kogelberg Mountains of the Cape Floristic Region (South Africa). *South African Journal of Botany*, 111, 126–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2017.03.018>

Véla, E., Ouni, R., & Martin, R. (2012). *Serapias nurrica* Corrias (Orchidaceae), nouveau pour la flore de Tunisie. *Journal Europäischer Orchideen*, 44, 381–392.

Véla, E., Martin, R., & Ouni, R. (2015). *Ophrys pseudomigoutiana* (Orchidaceae), a new species from Tunisia. *Acta Botanica Gallica*, 162(4), 255–261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/12538078.2015.1099468>

Véla, E., Boutabia, L., & Amari, D. (2018). *Ophrys atlantica*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2018: e.T176010A21338800. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2018-2.RLTS.T176010A21338800.en>

Youssef, S., Mahmood, A., Honar, M., & Véla, E. (2015). New contribution on Orchids (Orchidaceae) of Duhok Province in Kurdistan Region (N-Iraq). *Journal Europäischer Orchideen*, 47(2-4), 405–420.