Form in art and aesthetics

The Latin »form« contains the Greek terms »eidos« and »morphè«, the former denoting the inner and the latter the outer form.

It seemed that the structural approach would resolve the traditional separation between form and content, which took place especially in our century. Nevertheless, this was not the case.

In recent times the concept of form (and of formalism) is losing trivial meaning as well as its dependance upon content. In another way this change could be expressed as a passage from Hegel to Kant.

In art and culture the »return to form« is present especially in postmodern works. In this light a new and special position is being attained by the aesthetics called »formalist« and, simultaneously, by those theories which originate in the contemporary, i.e. the most recent art and culture, of which the basic traits are form, repetitiveness, déjà vu, etc.

Our hypothesis is that similar conclusion can be reached in other areas of social practice and knowledge and can be thus discerned also in a series of theoretical areas.

The two questions that we thus put forward are: — What is the contemporary status of the concept of form in different fields of knowledge? — Is this concept (inclusively its conceptual derivations) therefore attaining a different status?

The above letter was sent to various philosophers and social scientists, asking them to contribute articles on the topic of form. This issue of *Filozofski* vestnik contains the received papers.

Papers of Lars-Olof Åhlberg, Paul Crowther, Aleš Erjavec, Charles Harrison and Martin Jay were presented or submitted at the colloquium »Form«, organized by the Slovenian Society for Aesthetics (Ljubljana, 11-12 October 1990).

Form in art and aesthetics

The Land storms contains the ottes terms seldose and smorphes, the literate donords the father and the later. The outer form.

It wearred than the stractural appropria would resolve the traditional separation between form and content, which part place especially his our century. Note that the content is the content of the cont

In recent times the concept of form and of formalisms where, mean counting as well as on depondence upon concent in mother way this charge could be expressed as a possege four Heyel to Kank.

to art and entine the metnen of forms is present especially in postanodern works, in this light a new and special problem is testing attained by the methodes called eformalists and, simultaneously, by these theories which originate in the contemporaris, we the most recent art and culture, of which the basic traits are form reportitioness, dely var, en-

Our hypothesis is that similar conclusion can be reached in other areas of social practice and knowledge and can be thus discorned also in a series of theoretical areas.

The two questions that we thus put forward area — What is the contemporary status of the concept of form in different fields of knowledge? — Is this concept finction without yets conceptual degranous) therefore attaining a different status?

The above letter was sent to various philosophors and social scientists, asking them to contribute articles on the topic of form. This issue of Filosovski vertical contains the received papers.

Papers of Lars Olof Aliberg, Paul Crowther, Alei Erjavec, Charles Harrison and Martin Tay were presented or submitted at the exhoquism aForms, organized by the Slovenian Society for Aesthetics (Ljubljana, 11-12 October 1990).