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Abstract: Through the recent identification of the model for a contrafactum, a composition by Domenico Micheli dedicated to Tarquinia Molza has been discovered. This has turned out to be of earlier date than those dedicated to her by other composers. The incompletely preserved madrigal can be partially reconstructed with the aid of the parts belonging to the contrafactum and opens up new research perspectives on Molza and Micheli as well as on the process of retexualization carried out by Geronimo Cavaglieri, author of the new text.
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Our understanding of a contrafactum, seen as an “intertextual artifact par excellence”, also depends on an awareness that it is part of an intertextual network of artistic (poetic and musical) objects. Indeed, the comprehension of such a network of texts (again, poetic and musical) modifies and augments our understanding of each of the elements that are part of this interplay. Listeners of Geronimo Cavaglieri’s time were probably aware of such a weave, albeit at different levels. Today, however, this connection is in many cases broken, depriving us of a global understanding and sometimes causing some segments of it to be lost.

Frequently, a contrafactum can be, so to speak, isolated from the composition used as a starting point for the operation of retexualisation. The task of the modern scholar becomes primarily that of restoring and re-identifying this link, giving both (or more) objects a basis of comparison by which they can be matched and through which they can
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be distinguished. In this way each of the elements involved regains a portion of its lost authenticity. The research presented in this article originated in an attempt to solve some problems of identification.

When working with printed collections of contrafacta from the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, we frequently encounter a scarcity or inaccuracy in the information provided by the source for tracing these compositions back to their original form. Such publications, even when they belong to a series of anthologies compiled for the same publisher, identify the models with varying degrees of accuracy and completeness. This is the case, for example, with the sylloges assembled by Geronimo Cavaglieri, a monk belonging to the order of San Basilio degli Armeni, who was the author of three collections of Nuove Metamorfosi (1600, 1605 and 1610, all published in Milan), as well as a lost Libro quarto and a fifth book entitled Madrigali de diversi auttori (1616).2

In the above-mentioned respect the Metamorfosi series appears quite heterogeneous. Whereas Opp. 1 and 2 provide only the attribution (and sometimes not even that), the third book indicates in addition the liturgical destination of the contrafactum as well as the title of the original madrigal, specifying which compositions already began life as motets and are, therefore, arguably not to be classed as contrafacta.

Cavaglieri’s last known work, his Madrigali de diversi auttori (Loano, 1616),3 achieves a level of clarity of information that was unfortunately not typical for his time. The table of contents presents, neatly arranged, the textual incipit of each composition as it appears in the collection, the title of the madrigal providing the model and the author’s name preceded by the customary page number (see Table 1). The title and author of the corresponding model are then repeated at the beginning of each contrafactum.

Table 1
Table of contents of the tenor partbook of Madrigali de diversi auttori (Loano, 1616).*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Madrigal incipit</th>
<th>Contrafactum incipit</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Composer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deggio dunque partire</td>
<td>Vulnerasti cor meum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Luca Marentio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Io partirò</td>
<td>Sanctissima Maria</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Luca Marentio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma voi caro ben mio</td>
<td>Ave de Caelis alma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Luca Marentio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Che puoi tu farmi Amor</td>
<td>Immaculata Virgo</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Ruggiero Giovanelli</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

2 On Geronimo Cavaglieri, see Delfino, “Cavaglieri, Geronimo”. Full references for Cavaglieri’s books can be found in the Bibliography at the end of this article. The sole information on the lost fourth book comes from the stock catalogue (1616) of the bookseller Kaspar Flurschütz, which lists a book of “Canzonette de diversi auth: Accommodate con parole spirituale, F. Gieronymo Cavaglieri, lib. 4. a tre voci. Milano”. See Schaal, Die Kataloge, 74, no. 1241. We may therefore infer that it was in some way different from Cavaglieri’s other collections, all of which were based on famous madrigals for five (Opp. 1, 2 and 5) or six (Op. 3) voices. For an overview of Cavaglieri’s collections in the context of Milan under the Borromei, see Macy, “Geronimo Cavaglieri”.

3 Cavaglieri, Madrigali de diversi auttori.
Morir non può il mio core  Dilectus meus mihi   5    Gio. Maria Nanino
Chi per voi non sospira  O Mater veneranda   6    Annibal Zoilo
Che fà oggi il mio sole  Veni in hortum meum   7    Luca Marentio
Fillida mia  Carissima soror, & sponsa   8    Luca Marentio
Amor poche non vuole  Ego dilecto meo   9    Luca Marentio
Chi strinse mai  Quam pulchra es amica mea  10   Luca Marentio
Donna la bella mano  Veni amica mea   11   Ruggiero Giovanelli
Chi farà fede al Cielo  Sponsa aeterni Patris  12   Alessandro Striggio
Amor deh dimmi come  Mater intemerata  13   Gio. Maria Nanino
Donna gentil  Iubilate, & exultate Deo  14   Gio. Maria Nanino
Aminta mio gentil  Iesu dulcissime   15   Andrea Gabrielli
     Vidi speciosam  16   D’incerto
Cantate ò felici alme  Mater misericordiae  17   Domenico Micheli
     Salve Regina  18   Pietro Vinci
Caro dolce ben mio  Surge formosa mea   19   Andrea Gabrielli
Mentre qual viua petra  Fiilia [sic] summi regis  20   Luca Marentio

*For the transcription I used the sole known example, which is held by the Museo internazionale e biblioteca della musica di Bologna (I-Bc) under the shelfmark V.130. A digital reproduction is accessible on that library’s website: http://www.bibliotecamusica.it/cmbm/scripts/gaspari/scheda.asp?id=7188.

No matter how complete, or near-complete, the information provided by Cavaglieri is, its accuracy cannot be taken for granted but has to be verified case by case. Two problems emerge from the table. The first concerns the composition Vidi speciosam, which appears to be unattributed and for which no madrigal is named as a model. In this instance, one might infer that it was originally a motet, as is true of the Salve Regina by Pietro Vinci, but its composer remains unknown.

The second problem concerns Mater misericordiae, the model of which, according to the table of contents, is supposedly a madrigal by Domenico Micheli entitled Cantate ò felici alme. Other scholars have attempted to identify the model for this contrafactum, concluding that “the incipit of the madrigal does not seem to correspond to any known piece by Micheli” – which is at first sight accurate, since anyone looking for a composition with this incipit among the

---

4 The identity of the compositions that underwent a process of retextualization in Cavaglieri’s fifth book was discussed previously in Delfino, “Geronimo Cavaglieri e alcuni contrafacta”, 186–187. Some updates are found in Giuliani, “Printed Collections Including Contrafacta”, 320 (table xxix).

5 This Salve Regina by Pietro Vinci had already appeared in his second book of motets published in 1572. Vinci, Il secondo libro de motetti.

6 Delfino, “Geronimo Cavaglieri e alcuni contrafacta”, 187n2. The problem had already been reported in Piperno, Gli “eccellentissimi musici”, 56; although only in a general way and in relation to all the retexted madrigals by Domenico Micheli.
works of Micheli will be left empty-handed. However, the fourth book of madrigals (1569) by that composer contains a madrigal opening with the words “Cantate o nove alme.” Before asserting that Cavaglieri could simply have misquoted the title, it must be ascertained whether this is the same music or not. But here another obstacle is encountered: the sources for Cavaglieri’s Madrigali de diversi auttori and Micheli’s Quarto libro are both incomplete, having no partbook in common. Thus any direct comparison is rendered impossible. The sole practical alternative is to collate the surviving canto and tenor of the contrafactum with the surviving alto and quinto of the madrigal.

Fortunately, this is where the good news arrives, since the parts in the two locations are clearly for the same piece of music (Music example 1). It can henceforth be safely concluded that the model for Mater misericordiae is the madrigal Cantate o nove alme from Domenico Micheli’s fourth book. Moreover, thanks to this identification, two very incomplete compositions (alike in having two surviving parts out of the original five) can each recover two missing voices so as to become almost complete (the basso alone remaining lost). Both texts, however, appear to be complete, since the surviving voices sing the text in its entirety, allowing for various repetitions and a few passages occupied solely by rests.

Music example 1
Combination of the surviving parts of Cantate o nove alme and Mater misericordiae. The parts of Micheli’s fourth book have been transcribed from the sole currently known example, preserved in the Biblioteca dell’Accademia Filarmonica di Verona (I-VEaf) under the shelfmark N.113.

7 Micheli, Il quarto libro. A description of the source is given in Lewis, Antonio Gardano, 411–412 (M 2679-1569).
8 This find has been made known in Giuliani, “Printed Collections Including Contrafacta”, 320 (table xxix:17); in collaboration with the present author (Ibid., 267n1).
As one immediately realizes, the madrigal’s text is a tribute to Tarquinia Molza (1542–1617), a prominent figure in Renaissance culture. These lines are the only reference to Tarquinia in the entire print, which otherwise contains no inscription relating to her.

Cantate, o nove alme sorelle, avezze dei Molzi al nome amico, questa di virtù albergo e di bellezze, ecco di cor pudico, di volto bel, d’accorte alte maniere, così degn’alma come più pò donna real altrui parere. Dunqu’a si eletto nome di Tarquinia non pur qui il Ren giocondo, m’ancor s’inchini il mondo.

Sing, o nine divine sisters, familiar with the friendly name of the Molzi, behold this refuge of virtue and beauty, echo of pure heart, of fair aspect, of wise and noble manners, as worthy a soul as any royal lady may appear to others. Therefore, before the so exalted name of Tarquinia let here not only the joyful Reno but also the world bow.

This composition has not been noticed earlier by the scholars concerning themselves with Tarquinia Molza, perhaps partly on account of its poor state of preservation. As well as being the first known madrigal to offer tribute to this noteworthy figure – the next would arrive only in 1571 (see Table 2) – it opens up hitherto unexplored research paths.

**Table 2**
Musical compositions honouring Tarquinia Molza and/or setting her lyric poetry during her lifetime. Both madrigals bearing a dedication or inscription to Tarquinia Molza and ones referring to her within the body of the text are included. For madrigals setting Molza’s words to music, only the earliest setting is listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Printed edition</th>
<th>Reference to Tarquinia Molza</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1542</td>
<td>Domenico Micheli, RISM A/I M 1679</td>
<td>Tarquinia Molza born in Modena</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1569</td>
<td>Domenico Micheli, RISM A/I M 1679</td>
<td>Cantate o nove alme sorelle avezze dei Molzi al nome amico […] Dunq’a si eletto nome di Tarquinia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1571</td>
<td>Luzzasco Luzzaschi, RISM A/L 3122</td>
<td>Mentre fa con gli accenti Tarquinia risonar l’aria d’intorno</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1571</td>
<td>Pietro Vinci, RISM A/I V 1675</td>
<td>Se fosse dolce il canto “Alla Signora Tarquinia Molza”, and Ne la dolce stagion di primavera “Rime de la Signora Tarquinia Molza P.[orrina]”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1573</td>
<td>Giovanni Leonardo Primavera, RISM A/I P 5452</td>
<td>La luce occhi miei lassi “Rime de la Signora Tarquinia Molsa da Modena”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1574</td>
<td>Gasparo Fiorino, RISM A/I F 951</td>
<td>Con il favor del cielo “Alla Virtuosissima Gentil Donna la Signora Cavaliere Tarquinia Molza”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1579</td>
<td>Pietro Cavatone, RISM A/I C 1570</td>
<td>Eran le vostre lagrime nel viso, words by Tarquinia Molza</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

9 Catelli, “Molza, Tarquinia”; Newcomb, “Molza, Tarquinia”. 

157
The author of the text has not been identified, but some hints may help to formulate hypotheses on its geographical provenance. The words open with a reference to the nine Muses and the “name of the Molzi”, thereby paying homage to the Molza family or perhaps even just to the letterato Francesco Maria, Tarquinia’s grandfather. Indeed, such allusions suggest an academic milieu in which the arts and letters are celebrated. The madrigal mentions in addition the river Reno, which flows through the “canale Reno” into the very heart of the city of Bologna, where Domenico Micheli was born and was presumably active at the time of publication of his Quarto libro. The reference to the river could therefore be understood as an actual metaphor for Bologna, thus pointing to a Bolognese setting. Finally, the exaltation of Tarquinia’s name suggests a specific celebration or some event that saw her participate in, or even be officially welcomed by, a group. The madrigal may also have been intended for performance by (or with) Molza herself, constituting the surviving vestige of a musical event.

Moreover, so far as anyone knows, the poem could likewise be by Micheli. It has not yet been established whether a certain “M. Domenico Michele”, author of some fifteen poems printed between 1550 and 1551, could be our Micheli, who is similarly called “M. Domenico Micheli” on the title page of his fourth (1569) and fifth (1581) books of madrigals. If so, it would not be so far-fetched to imagine that the composer and the author of the text were the same person.

10 Moppi, “Micheli, Domenico”; Nutter, “Micheli, Domenico”. Micheli’s fourth book of madrigals is dedicated to the “molto illustre signor mio osservandissimo il signor Lodovico Orsino Cavaliere Hierosolimitano”, who can be identified as Ludovico Orsini, a Knight of Jerusalem and Commendatore della Magione in Bologna (see Litta, Orsini di Roma, table xviii), a person described by Francesco Sansovino in 1565 as a promising young man (see Sansovino, Historia di casa Orsina, 19). The dedicatory letter, lacking place and date, informs us that Orsini was a music lover. A transcription is given in Lewis, Antonio Gardano, 411.


12 For a transcription of the title page of the Quarto libro, see Lewis, Antonio Gardano, 411. In his Quinto libro the author names himself “M. Dominico Micheli”. A reproduction of the fifth book is accessible at https://mdz-nbn-resolving.de/bsb00083355. It may be relevant to note that the river Reno is mentioned once again in the poem by “M. Domenico Michele” Phebo, se di Parnaso l’ombre elette: “Che ’l mio bel Ren t’invita” (For my beautiful Reno invites you). See Libro terzo delle rime, fol. 3v; and Libro quarto delle rime, 256.
The madrigal may have been conceived some time between the publication of the third (1567) and the fourth (1569) book by Micheli. During that period the episode at the end of 1568 where Molza performed in Modena before the rulers of Ferrara took place – an event that is in some way connected with her arrival at the Este court some fifteen years later as a lady-in-waiting to Margherita Gonzaga and musical mentor of the famous “Concerto delle Dame”.¹³ So it is perhaps legitimate to entertain the thought that Molza also had links to the Bolognese milieu during the same period. Conversely, the personal relations between Domenico Micheli and Tarquinia Molza remain to be investigated.

By collating the text of the madrigal and that of its contrafactum it becomes evident that they do not match perfectly (see Table 3). This can tell us something about the operation carried out by Cavaglieri, who evidently worked directly from the madrigal, as well as the way in which the composer underlaid the words to the notes. Cavaglieri’s aim was evidently to graft a new text on to the music, rather than engage in a rhetorical exercise directed towards obtaining a poetic form corresponding in every respect to the original. Hence the relationship between the two texts is intimately mediated by the music.

Given this premiss, Cavaglieri’s operation is crystal clear and easy to understand. It therefore becomes possible to replicate the retextualization by applying it to the surviving parts of the madrigal and, conversely, to apply the text of the madrigal to the surviving parts of the contrafactum.

Table 3
Transcription and metrical structure of the texts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Micheli, 1569</th>
<th>Syll.</th>
<th>Syll.</th>
<th>Cavaglieri, 1616</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cantate, o nove alme sorelle, avezze</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Mater misericordiae Maria,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dei Molzi al nome amico,</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7 (8)</td>
<td>faecunda Sancto Spiritu,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>questa di virtù albergo e di bellezze,</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>advocata nostra, porta paradisi,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ecco di cor pudico,</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>virgo clemens et pia,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>di volto bel, d’accorte alte maniere,</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>sancta sanctorum, foelix caeli porta,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cosi degn’alma come</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>gemma refulgens, dulcis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>più pò donna real altrui parere.</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>[4 +] 11</td>
<td>[Iesu dulcis] mater, tribulatorum consolatrix,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunqu’a sì eletto nome</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>scientiarum omni-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>di Tarquinia non pur qui il Ren giocondo,</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>um magistra, regina angelorum,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m’ancor s’inchini il mondo.</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>intercede pro nobis.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first rhythmic adaptation by Cavaglieri occurs in the second line, which in the madrigal is a settenario piano (that is, a seven-syllable line carrying a stress on the

¹³ Durante and Martellotti, Cronistoria del concerto delle dame, 42. Stras, “Recording Tarquinia".
penultimate syllable), while in the replacement text it is *sdrucciolō* (that is, the line carries a stress on the antepenultimate syllable). In this way, the accent is placed in the same position (the sixth syllable, opening “amico” and “Spiritu”), but the number of syllables is varied, requiring only a slight modification to the music.  

Here, Cavaglieri simply introduced a dotted figure in place of a semibreve. In this instance, the same modification has to be applied to the surviving parts of the madrigal, and, conversely, Cavaglieri’s adaptation has to be undone in the corresponding parts of the *contrafactum* (see Music example 2).

Music example 2
*Cantate o nove alme/Mater misericordiae* by Domenico Micheli/Geronimo Cavaglieri, bb. 9–12.

![Music example 2](image)

The third line, too, differs in the number of its syllables. In this instance, Cavaglieri’s choices have remained consistent with those of Micheli, who had not observed musically the *sinalefe* (synaloepha) between the words “virtù” and “albergo”, thus setting the hendecasyllable hypermetrically with twelve (not eleven) notes, each bearing a single syllable of the new text (see Music example 3).

---

14 During the period in question newly composed Latin verse (including that used for motets) was written according to the same metrical conventions as contemporary Italian verse (in contrast to those of Classical Latin), whereby a *piano* line of a given species (e.g., *Settenario*) is treated as the exact equivalent of a *sdrucciolō* line containing one extra unstressed syllable at the end and of a *tronco* line omitting the final unstressed syllable. This provides a basis for an easy transitio.

15 The text in italics together with the small notes and the dashed ties have been added by the author.
Music example 3
*Cantate o nove alme/Mater misericordiae* by Domenico Micheli/Geronimo Cavaglieri, bb. 3–15.

The third difference occurs when the text “come | più pò” is stated twice in the madrigal (between the sixth and seventh lines), while the *contrafactum* presents a single time the words “dulcis Iesu dulcis mater” (sweet mother of sweet Jesus), thereby expanding the line without affecting the musical structure (see Music example 4). Apart from these two minor instances of turbulence, both texts fit all four voices quite perfectly. The layout of the missing voices (music and words) of both compositions can therefore be easily restored.

Music example 4
*Cantate o nove alme/Mater misericordiae* by Domenico Micheli/Geronimo Cavaglieri, bb. 29–31.
The passage where the metrical structure of the new text departs most from that of the original occurs between the eighth and ninth lines (see Table 3). Here, the text of the madrigal features an enjambement, which the composer has chosen in contrast to interpret syntactically, thereby privileging the logical unity of the phrase and concealing the structure of the poem (see Music example 5).

**Music example 5**
*Cantate o nove alme/Mater misericordiae* by Domenico Micheli/Geronimo Cavaglieri, bb. 34–37.

The text provided by Geronimo Cavaglieri is a prayer to the Blessed Virgin Mary, in which, as in litanies and some Marian antiphons, several of her attributes are listed, and sealed with a final supplication. What surprises is that this is a true *metamorphosis* – in Cavaglieri’s terms – from a specific person, Tarquinia Molza, to a specific saint: in this case, Mary, the “Queen of All Saints” – a rather rare instance in the contemporary repertory. At a closer glance, the text by Cavaglieri appears to be directly inspired by the text of the madrigal. This already becomes evident from the structure of the secular text, featuring a list of virtues associated with Molza.

Moreover, it appears that Cavaglieri decided to match certain virtues of the Virgin Mary to qualities present in the original text and in a parallel position (see Table 4). The “pure heart” (*cor pudico*), matches Mary’s virginity and piety (*virgo clemens et pia*); the worthy soul (*degn’alma*) goes well together with the image of the shining gem (*gemma refulgens*). Above all, the epithet “mistress of all sciences” (*scientiarum omnium magistra*), very unusual in Mariology, parallels the achievement of Tarquinia.

The reader will notice that the text of the *contrafactum* combines original parts by Cavaglieri with fragments of liturgical and devotional texts, such as the antiphon *Salve Regina* (“mater misericordiae”, “advocata nostra”, the combination of the adjectives “clemens” and “pia”, the adjective “dulcis”), the hymn *Ave maris stella* (“felix coeli porta”), or the Lauretan litanies (Mary as a “consolatrix”).

---

16 The reader will notice that the text of the *contrafactum* combines original parts by Cavaglieri with fragments of liturgical and devotional texts, such as the antiphon *Salve Regina* (“mater misericordiae”, “advocata nostra”, the combination of the adjectives “clemens” and “pia”, the adjective “dulcis”), the hymn *Ave maris stella* (“felix coeli porta”), or the Lauretan litanies (Mary as a “consolatrix”).
Table 4
Correspondences between the two texts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cantate o nove alme</th>
<th>Mater misericordiae</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cantate, o nove alme sorelle, avezzed</td>
<td>Mater misericordiae Maria,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dei Molzi al nome amico,</td>
<td>faecunda Sancto Spiritu,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>questa di virtù albergo e di bellezze,</td>
<td>advocata nostra, porta paradisi,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ecco di cor pudico</td>
<td>virgo clemens et pia,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>di volto bel d’accorte alte maniere,</td>
<td>sancta sanctorum, foelix caeli porta,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cosí degn’alma come</td>
<td>gemma refulgens, dulcis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>piú pò donna real altrui parere.</td>
<td>[Iesu dulcis] mater, tribulatorum consolatrix,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunqua’ si eletto nome</td>
<td>scientiarum omni-um magistra,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>di Tarquinia non pur qui il Ren giocondo,</td>
<td>regina angelorum, intercede pro nobis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m’ancor s’inchini il mondo.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The last epithet would seem implicitly to be addressed to Molza rather than Mary, recalling the renown she enjoyed in her lifetime, which continued after her death. This can be seen, for example, in the dedicatory letter of the third volume of the *Discussiones peripateticae* by the philosopher Francesco Patrizi (Basel, 1581), where this author addressed Molza in these terms:

To whom could I present my intellectual endeavours more befittingly than to you, the most erudite among the illustrious matrons who exist, who have existed, and those who will come afterwards? […] To these most beautiful gifts of intellect also nobility of lineage, rare beauty, excellent manners, and singular modesty are added.¹⁷

On the tomb of Tarquinia Molza the following inscription was placed: “Una scientiarum ac Tarquiniae Molsiae vita idem occasus commune sepulchrum” (A shared sepulchre for the common sunset of the life of the sciences and of Tarquinia Molza).¹⁸ After her death she, who towards the end of her life was known as “Unica” (the one and only), was remembered as “Tarquinia Molsa Unica. Pudicitiae exemplar, musar[um] ocellus, et scientiarum deliciae” (Tarquinia Molza, the One and Only. Paragon of modesty, gem of the Muses, and delight of the sciences).¹⁹ Thus not only the outline (a list of qualities) but also the content of the replacement text appears to be modelled on the original. Or, going further, this description of Mary does indeed appear to be modelled on the description of Tarquinia Molza as presented in the madrigal.

---

¹⁷ “Cui enim omnium hominum laudabilius lucubrationes meas donarem, quam tibi, viraginum omnium, quot sunt, quot fuerunt, quoque alias erunt in annos, doctissimae? […] His tot tantisque ingenii ornamentis comites sese addiderunt, nobilitas generis, pulchritudo eximia, mores animi insignes: pudicitia singularis”. See Patrizi, *Discussionum peripateticarum*, 289–290. Patrizi was a philosopher and tutor to Molza. He is also the author of the treatise *L’amorosa filosofia* (1577), which centres around the qualities of Tarquinia. Prins, “Patrizi’s *L’Amorosa Filosofia*”.

¹⁸ Catelli, “Molza, Tarquinia”.

¹⁹ Vedriani, *Dottori modonesi*, 198. For other tributes to Molza, see Stras, “Musical Portraits”. For a comprehensive account of the poems dedicated to her, see “Appendix B” in Durante and Martellotti, *Cronistoria del concerto delle dame*, 223–252.
The question remains what the reason for such an operation was. First of all, every *contrafactum* testifies to a love for the music that is given a new text. A *contrafactum* enhances the circulation of a specific musical composition and in some cases guarantees it an unexpectedly long life, as in the case of *Mater misericordiae* (1616), printed forty-seven years after the original madrigal (1569). Further, it cannot be excluded that this particular retextualization expressed a feeling of admiration for the “Unica”, Tarquinia Molza, who would necessarily be evoked in the memory of anyone who came into contact with the *contrafactum* and already knew the madrigal. As if this were not enough, the replacement text presents a Virgin Mary who “resembles” Tarquinia, thereby implicitly alluding to her virtues.

If we consider that Geronimo Cavaglieri’s collection of *Madrigali de diversi auttori* was printed in 1616, when Tarquinia Molza was still alive and about seventy-four years old, we could say that we are here encountering both the first and the last musical composition – among those that have come down to us – dedicated to this prominent figure of the European Renaissance.

**A Brief Additional Remark**

The work presented here has illustrated how important it can be to restore the forgotten link between a madrigal (or a polyphonic composition) and its *contrafactum*. On the one hand, new research perspectives have been opened up for figures who continue to generate interest among many scholars. On the other hand, the recovery of this system of meanings has made it possible to give back to each of the works involved part of their lost authenticity. It is now the responsibility of the editor to provide this recovered musical material with the criteria of transparency and accessibility. For this reason, a transcription of the composition with a reconstruction of the textual underlay and the missing bass part is offered at the end of this article.\(^{20}\) Any thoughtful contributions to refine this tentative reconstruction would be greatly appreciated.

\(^{20}\) In the transcription the original pitches, accidentals and note values are preserved (except for the final *longa*, which has been replaced by a breve surmounted by a fermata), clefs are modernized and barlines at the interval of one breve are introduced. The mensural signs are made uniform as $\text{𝄵}$. Italicized words are elements added by the editor, as are also the small notes and dashed ties. Editorial accidentals are placed outside the staff and above the relevant note. The reconstructed part appears on a smaller staff. The incipit in its original notation is given on prefatory staves at the start of each surviving vocal part.
Appendix

*Cantate o nove alme/Mater misericordiae* by Domenico Micheli/Geronimo Cavaglieri

Bassus by Gabriele Taschetti.
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Tiskane zbirke z glasbenimi kontrafakturami prinašajo bolj ali manj popolne in natančne informacije o izvirnih skladbah, ki so bile uporabljene kot modeli. To velja tudi za zbirko Madrigali de diversi auttori (Loano, 1616), peto in zadnje delo Geronima Cavaglierija, avtorja skupaj petih zbirk kontrafaktur. V omenjeni zbirki je tudi skladba Mater misericordiae, katere model naj bi bil po izvirnem tisku madrigal Domenica Michelija z naslovom Cantate o felici alme. Vendar gre za zmoto, saj ta madrigal ne obstaja. Pravi model kontrafakture je madrigal Cantate o nove alme iz zbirke Quarto libro de madrigali a cinque voci (Benetke, 1569) Domenica Michelija. Identifikacijo modela je poleg zava-jajoče navedbe v Cavaglierijevi izdaji oteževalo tudi dejstvo, da sta obe zbirki nepopolno ohranjeni (od petih glasov sta ohranjena le dva) in nimata skupnih delov. Če združimo ohranjene glasove obeh skladb, pridemo do štiriglasja, z manjkajočim basom. Michelijev madrigal, ki je bil doslej spregledan morda zaradi slabe ohranjenosti, se je izkazal za najzgodnejše glasbeno delo, posvečeno Tarquinii Molza, pomembni osebi iz sveta renesančne glasbe in kulture. To odkritje je odprlo nove aspekte raziskav o tej izjemni ženski in posledično tudi nove možnosti raziskav življenja in dela Domenica Michelija.

Primerjava obeh besedil omogoča boljše razumevanje nekaterih vidikov postopka pretekstiranja, ki ga je izvedel Cavaglieri, ter hkrati rekonstrukcijo izgubljenih glasov madrigala in kontrafaktur. Zdi se, da tudi samo novo besedilo, molitev k Devici Mariji, vsebuje skriven poklon Tarquinii Molza, ki je bila v času izida Cavaglierijeve pete knjige še živa in stara okoli 74 let.

Zaključni del razprave prinaša transkripcijo madrigala s poskusom obnove besedilne podlage ter predlog rekonstrukcije manjkajočega basovskega glasu.