ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROLS ON ORGANIC MATTER PRODUCTION AND TRANSPORT ACROSS SURFACE-SUBSURFACE AND GEOCHEMICAL BOUNDARIES IN THE EDWARDS AQUIFER, TEXAS, USA
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Abstract

Karst aquifer phreatic zones are energy limited habitats supported by organic matter (OM) flow across physical and geochemical boundaries. Photosynthetic OM enters the Edwards Aquifer of Central Texas via streams sinking along its northeastern border. The southeastern boundary is marked by a rapid transition between oxygenated freshwaters and anoxic saline waters where OM is likely produced by chemolithoautotrophic microbes. Spatial and temporal heterogeneity in OM composition at these boundaries was investigated using isotopic and geochemical analyses. δ13C values for stream fine particulate OM (FPOM) (−33.34‰ to −11.47‰) decreased during regional drought between fall 2010 and spring 2012 (p<0.001), and were positively related to FPOM C:N ratios (r2=0.47, p<0.001), possibly due to an increasing contribution of periphyton. Along the freshwater-saline water interface (FWSWI), δ13C_FPOM values (−7.23‰ to −58.18‰) correlated to δ13C values for dissolved inorganic carbon (δ13C_DIC) (−0.55‰ to −7.91‰) (r2=0.33, p=0.005) and were depleted relative to δ13C_DIC values by 28.44‰, similar to fractionation values attributed to chemolithoautotrophic carbon fixation pathways using DIC as the substrate. δ13C_FPOM values also became enriched through time (p<0.001), and δ13C_DIC values (r2=0.43, p<0.001) and δ13C_FPOM values (r2=0.35, p=0.004) at FWSWI sites increased with distance along the southwest-northeast flowpath of the aquifer. Spatial variability in FWSWI δ13C values is likely due to variable sources of acidity driving carbonate dissolution, and the temporal relationship is explained by changes to recharge and aquifer level that affected transport of chemolithoautotrophic OM across the FWSWI.

Keywords: Carbon stable isotope(s), spatial and temporal variability, chemolithoautotrophic production, allochthonous input, karst.

1 Texas State University-San Marcos Department of Biology, 601 University Drive, San Marcos, TX 78666, Benjamin T. Hutching (bh1333@txstate.edu), Benjamin F. Schwartz, e-mail: bs37@txstate.edu
2 The University of Tennessee-Knoxville Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 1412 Circle Drive, Knoxville, TN 37996, & Annette S. Engel, e-mail: aengel1@utk.edu

Received/Prejeto: 18.1.2013

Izvleček

Zalite cone kraških vodonosnikov so energijsko revni habitati, ki jih oskrbuje tok organske snovi skozi fizične in geokemične meje. Za jugozahodno mejo obravnavanega področja je značilen hiter prehod med dobro prezračenim vodostajem in anoksičnimi slanimi vodami, kjer organska snov proizvaja kemoizotopotrofni mikrobi. Časovno in prostorsko razdeljenost organskih snovi ob teh mejah, smo raziskovali z izotopskimi in geokemičnimi analizami. Vrednosti δ13C v suspenzirani organski snovi (FPOM) (−33.34‰ do −11.47‰), so v sušnem obdobju, med jesenjo 2010 in pomladjo 2012, upadale (p<0.01) zaradi naraščajočega prispevka perifito na. Na meji med sladko in slano vodo, so vrednosti δ13C_FPOM (−7.23‰ do −58.18‰) korelinirane z vrednostmi δ13C v raztopljenem anorganskem ogljiku, δ13C_DIC, ki so med −0.55‰ in −7.91‰ (r2=0.33, p=0.005). Vendar je δ13C_FPOM osrašen glede na δ13C_DIC za 28.44 ‰, kar ustreza izotopski frakcijaciji pri pretvorbi DIC v organske snovi v kemoizotopotrofnih procesih. Vrednosti δ13C_FPOM so naraščale s časom (p<0.001). Tako δ13C_DIC (r2=0.43, p<0.001), kot tudi δ13C_FPOM (r2=0.35, p=0.004) ob meji med sladko in slano vodo naraščata vzdožljiv toka podzemne vode v smeri jugozahod-severovzhod. Prostor ska spremenljivost δ13C_DIC ob meji med sladko in slano vodo, je verjetno posledica spremenljivih izvorov kislošč, ki povzroča raztapljanje karbonatov. Časovno spremenljivost pa povezujemo z spremenljivostjo napajanja in vodostaja v vodonosniku, ki vplivata na prenos kemoizotopotrofne organske snovi preko meje med sladko in slano vodo.

Ključne besede: Stabilni izotopi ogljika, prostorska in časovna spremenljivost, kemoizotopotrofna produkcija, alogeni vnos, kras.
INTRODUCTION

The phreatic zone of karst aquifers can support diverse metazoan communities (stygobionts). In fact, some of the most diverse subterranean assemblages yet documented are recorded from extensive phreatic groundwater systems (Culver & Pipan 2009). However, karst aquifers are considered to be nutrient-poor, and aquifer assemblages are dependent on organic matter (OM) produced photosynthetically and imported into the subterranean realm via recharging water, gravity, animals (Poulson & Lavoie 2000; Poulson 2005), and plant root exudates (Jasinska et al. 1996), or produced in-situ through chemolithoauto-trophy (Sarbu et al. 1996; Pohlman 1997). Consequently, in systems dependent on photosynthetic OM, stygobiont diversity should be predominately focused at the surface-subsurface interface. But, the quantity and quality of OM entering karst aquifers via recharges change as a function of the seasonality of C3 and C4 plant communities on the surface, as well as benthic stream periphyton production along spatial and seasonal precipitation gradients (Bird et al. 1998; Artman et al. 2003; Silva et al. 2012). These differences can influence stygobiont distribution, such that if surface recharge contributions diminish seasonally or over a long period of time due to aquifer evolution, then in-situ OM sources become prevalent and stygobionts may be found at redox gradients between oxidizing and reducing waters in chemolithoautotrophic systems. There has been limited research to investigate OM heterogeneity along redox gradients in chemolithoautotrophic aquifer systems, although geochemical gradients move vertically (Humphreys et al. 2012), and potentially laterally (Perez 1986). Therefore, to understand how OM controls the distribution and diversity of stygobionts in karst aquifers, as well as establishes groundwater food webs, more research is needed at the groundwater basin scale (Simon et al. 2007).

The Edwards Aquifer of Central Texas is one of the most prolific karst aquifers in the world (Lindgren et al. 2004) and the sole source of drinking water for nearly two million people (Johnson et al. 2009) (Fig. 1). The regional climate is sub-tropical humid, with average annual precipitation ranging from 610 mm in the west to 914 mm in the east (Nielson-Gammon 2008). Precipitation primarily occurs in spring, and potentially in the fall coinciding with tree leaf drop-off (Short et al. 1984). Recharge (and input of photosynthetic OM) to the aquifer predominantly occurs by streams, fed by karstic groundwater from the adjacent Trinity Aquifer, that cross exposed limestone in the recharge zone (Fig. 1). Crossformational flow from the Trinity Aquifer (Gary et al. 2011 and references therein) is also important, but the nature of OM from this source is not known. South and west of the recharge zone, Edwards limestones are confined below non-karstic rocks that prevent input of allochthonous OM. In this confined portion of the aquifer, the southwestern boundary of freshwater is marked by a rapid transition between oxygenated, low total dissolved solids (TDS) waters and dysoxic to anoxic, high TDS waters that contain variably high levels of reduced compounds, including sulfides and ammonia. Six distinct geochemical facies in the saline waters (Oetting et al. 1996) correlate to changes in microbial communities (Gray & Engel 2013) and OM characteristics (Birdwell & Engel 2009). Several lines of evidence suggest that this zone is dominated by chemolithoautotrophic production (Birdwell & Engel 2009; Gray & Engel 2013). Chemolithoautotrophic production in this part of the aquifer is independent of terrestrial inputs and the habitat is buffered against seasonal geochemical changes (i.e. changes in water temperature, discharge, conductivity, etc.).

In the last three decades, widely available and inexpensive methods to analyze stable carbon isotope ratios and carbon (C): nitrogen (N) ratios in OM have contributed to studies of OM origins, OM fluxes, food web structure, and the growth and fitness of consumers (Bukovsky et al. 2012). Because of enzymatic discrimination against the heavier isotope of carbon (13C) and isotopically distinct inorganic carbon sources, different carbon fixation pathways result in OM with distinct carbon isotope compositions (δ13C) including δ13Cterrestrial C3 plants = −22 to −32‰, δ13Cterrestrial C4 plants = −9 to −16‰, and δ13Cchemolithoautotrophic organic matter = −35 to < −50‰ (Sarbu et al. 1996; Opsahl & Chanton 2006; Finlay & Kendall 2007; van Dover 2007). In surface aquatic systems, carbon isotopes have been successfully used to quantify the relative contributions of C3 and C4 plants (Stribling & Cornwell 1997), and in subterranean systems, isotopic data have been used to differentiate between photosynthetic and chemolithoautotrophic OM (Sarbu et al. 1996).

As part of an ongoing investigation of food web dynamics in the Edwards Aquifer, OM at both the surface-subsurface and freshwater-saline water interface (FWSWI) was isotopically analyzed in a geochemical and environmental framework to quantify spatial and temporal changes and to test the following hypotheses related to OM sources:

(H1) the C isotope composition of OM (δ13C$_{OM}$) in recharge streams would become progressively less negative along the northeast to southwest precipitation gradient, reflecting a decrease in the relative proportion of C3 plants;

(H2) FWSWI δ14C$_{FPOM}$ values would be more negative than stream δ13C$_{FPOM}$ values, reflecting a greater
contribution of chemolithoautotrophic production, and values would vary across the study area, reflecting regional differences in δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{DIC}}\) values (the substrate for chemolithoautotrophic production); (H3) Recharge stream δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{OM}}\) values and C:N ratios would decrease in the summer, reflecting a greater relative contribution of riparian C3 plants and periphyton during the dry season; and (H4) FWSWI δ\(^{13}\)C\(_{\text{FPOM}}\) values and FPOM C:N values would remain constant over time, reflecting a decoupling between surface seasonality and chemolithoautotrophic production.

The results from this study provide additional evidence for both photosynthetic and chemolithoautotrophic OM in the Edwards Aquifer. More generally, this research identifies potential drivers of spatial and temporal variability in both sources.

MATERIALS & METHODS

FIELD SAMPLING AND GEOCHEMICAL ANALYSES

Seven surface streams crossing the Edwards Aquifer recharge zone and 11 wells along the FWSWI (Fig. 1) were sampled between one and six times between 3 November 2010 and 29 March 2012 (streams) and between 16 April 2011 and 2 April 2012 (wells). The sampling period was marked by declining aquifer levels and declining stream...
and spring flows (Fig. 2), corresponding to a period of prolonged regional drought. Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) data for the Edwards Plateau were obtained from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, through the Climate Prediction Center (www.ncdc.noaa.gov) to verify regional water imbalance based on precipitation and soil moisture supply (Palmer 1965). PDSI values above zero correspond to wetter than normal conditions, values below zero indicate drier than normal conditions, and values below −4 indicate extreme drought.

In recharge streams, FPOM and coarse particulate organic matter (CPOM) were collected in 8 L of unfiltered water in sterile carboys after lightly disturbing the benthos by walking back and forth approximately 7 m upstream of the collection site. The benthos was disturbed to better represent benthic OM that enters the aquifer during storm events and via downwelling. Periphyton was collected from cobbles using the methods of Saito et al. (2007), in which three cobbles each from a riffle, run, and pool were scrubbed in the lab using a nylon brush to remove attached periphyton. At FWSWI wells (Fig. 1), two to three well volumes were purged, and physicochemistry was monitored for constituent stability before collecting 8 L of unfiltered water in sterile carboys. Samples were stored in the dark on ice until filtration in the lab. FPOM, CPOM, and periphyton were filtered onto 0.7 μm, pre-combusted Whatman glass filters for isotopic analysis. Filters were fumigated with HCl for 12 to 24 hrs and dried at ~45°C.

Temperature, dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, and electrical conductivity (conductivity) were recorded with an In-Situ Inc. Troll® 9500 multi-parameter probe with optical DO sensor (accuracy = ±0.1mg/L at 0–8mg/L DO and ±0.2 mg/L at > 8 mg/L DO). Sulfide and ammonia concentrations were measured with a CHEMetrics® V–2000 Multi-analyte photometer via the methylene blue and salicylate methods, respectively. If sulfide concentration was above the detection limit (0.2 mg/L), sulfate concentration was also measured in the field colorimetrically using the turbidimetric method. This was done to avoid erroneously high laboratory sulfate concentration measurements (see below) resulting from abiotic sulfide oxidation. Additional water samples for ion chromatography and for δ¹⁸O and δD determination were collected and filtered through 0.45 μm Fisherbrand nylon syringe filters. In the lab, dissolved ion concentrations were measured using Dionex ICS–1600 ion chromatographs (Bannockburn, IL). Alkalinity as total titratable bases dominated by bicarbonate was measured via end-point titration with 1.6 N sulfuric acid. δ¹⁸O and δD in liquid water were measured on a Los Gatos Research Liquid Water Isotope Analyzer (Mountain View, CA).

Water samples for analysis of δ¹³C of dissolved organic carbon (δ¹³CDOC) were collected and poisoned with 15 mM sodium azide and stored in glass
The mean carbon isotope composition of FPOM entering the aquifer via recharging streams \( \delta^{13}C_{FPOM} \) was estimated using Bayesian modeling and isotope values weighted by discharge. This approach allows uncertainty in \( \delta^{13}C_{FPOM} \) to be quantified by treating each FPOM isotope measurement, \( c_i \), as a sample from a separate normal distribution with separate means, \( \mu_i \), and a common precision, \( \tau_0 \) (Eq. 1). Uninformative priors were given for \( \mu_i \) and \( \tau_0 \) (Eq. 2–3).

\[
c_i \sim N(\mu_i, \tau_0) \\
\mu_i \sim N(0, 1e^{-6}) \\
\tau_0 \sim \text{gamma}(0.001, 0.001)
\]

Each isotopic value was weighted by daily average stream discharge \( p_i \) calculated as a proportion of the sum of all daily discharge measurements \( q_i \) of all streams throughout the study period (Eq. 4). Discharge values were obtained from the nearest United States Geological Survey gauging stations on the sampled streams. The parameter \( c_i \) was estimated for all unsampled days between the first and last sampling events by linear interpolation between \( c_i \) values. The posterior probability distribution for \( \delta^{13}C_{FPOM} \) (Eq. 5) was estimated using a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) procedure.

\[
\delta^{13}C_{FPOM} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \cdot p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i}
\]

Two MCMC chains were run, each with 500,000 iterations, a thinning rate of 50 and a burn-in of 1000. Plots of parameter estimates as a function of MCMC iteration were assessed for adequate burn in, and convergence was assessed using Gelman and Rubin potential scale reduction factors (Gelman & Rubin 1992). MCMC chains were run in R v2.15 using the rjags package (Plummer 2010).

### ESTIMATION OF MEAN \( \delta^{13}C_{FPOM} \) FOR RECHARGE STREAMS

### STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Simple linear regressions were used to test for spatial differences in \( \delta^{13}C_{FPOM} \) and \( \delta^{13}C_{DIC} \) values in streams and FWSWI sites (H1 & H2). Spatial data for sampling sites were assigned in ArcMap 10.0. A curved polyline extending between the southwest and northeast margins of the aquifer (approximating the general northwest-southwest direction of groundwater flow) was created using the arc tool. The polyline was converted into 806 points spaced 0.38 km apart from one another and sequentially numbered, beginning with one, at the southwest end. Sampling sites were assigned a whole number location value corresponding to the number of the nearest point. For FWSWI sites, nested linear models were run to assess relationships between \( \delta^{13}C_{DIC} \) values and location, conductivity, and the interaction between location and conductivity. Conductivity was log transformed for normality and the relative fit of models was assessed using Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) for finite samples. Conductivity was not used as a covariate for regressions of stream \( \delta^{13}C_{FPOM} \) values against location. Stream \( \delta^{13}C_{FPOM} \) values were square-root transformed for normality.

To quantify differences in OM in streams versus FWSWI sites, analyses of covariance (ANCOVA) were used to test for differences in \( \delta^{13}C_{DOC} \) and \( \delta^{13}C_{FPOM} \) values between stream and FWSWI samples, controlling for date as a confounding variable (H2). To elucidate potential influences (e.g., origins and processing) on the \( \delta^{13}C \) of OM in both streams and FWSWI sites, simple linear regressions were used to test for relationships between \( \delta^{13}C_{FPOM} \) values and \( \delta^{13}C_{DIC} \) values (H2) and between \( \delta^{13}C_{FPOM} \) values and FPOM C:N ratios (H3). C:N ratios were log transformed. A matrix of Pearson’s product-moment correlation coefficients for isotopic and physicochemical data was visually assessed for additional, potentially significant correlations (H2). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test for differences in \( \delta^{13}C \) of
different fractions of OM in recharge streams (FPOM, CPOM, DOC, and periphyton) (H3), and a two-sided unpaired Student’s t-test was used to test for differences in δ13C of different fractions of OM at FWSWI sites (FPOM and DOC) (H4). Stream OM δ13C values were raised to the 0.3 power for normality.

To test for temporal changes in 1) δ13C values in both streams and FWSWI sites, 2) C:N ratios in recharge streams, and 3) δ13C values in FWSWI sites, linear mixed effect models were employed, grouping data by sampling site (H3 and H4). Four recharge streams and three groundwater sites that were each sampled four or more times were used in the analysis. Additional sites were sampled but excluded because of small sample size. C:N ratios were log transformed and adjusted r² values were calculated by treating each site-specific regression as a simple linear regression with a single covariate.

All statistical analyses were conducted in R v2.15 (R Core Team 2012). Mixed effects models were run using the nlme package (Pinheiro et al. 2009). False discovery rate due to multiple comparisons was controlled by adjusting α using the method of Benjamini & Hochberg (1995). Seventeen statistical analyses were performed (Tab. 1), and significance was set to α = 0.03. For clarity, test statistics are not included in text but are listed in table 1.

**RESULTS**

During the 16-month study, 24 samples were collected from recharge streams and 32 samples were collected from FWSWI sites (Fig. 1). Stream flow varied between 0 m s⁻¹ and 73.1 m s⁻¹ over the course of the entire study period (Fig. 2). However, during individual sampling events, streams always had detectible flows (Fig. 2). Sampling corresponded to a period of declining stream and spring flows during the summer of 2011 and a period of increasing stream and spring flows in the fall and winter of 2011 and 2012 (Fig. 2). PDSI values ranged from wetter than normal conditions prior to July 2010 through declining values indicative of drought conditions throughout 2011 and 2012. The most severe drought condition recorded was in August 2011, which corresponded to lowest discharge for the Blanco River and Comal Springs (Fig. 2). The mean of the posterior probability distribution for the estimate of δ13C in recharging streams weighted by discharge was −21.75‰ (95% equal-tail credible interval = −23.39‰ to −20.13‰), and was similar to the unweighted analytical average value (−22.42‰).

FWSWI sites had 5.1‰ higher δ13C values, 8.76‰ lower δ13C values, and 2.6 X lower FPOM C:N ratios than streams (Fig. 3). The average FPOM C:N ratio was 3.3 (range = 1.85 to 5.17) at FWSWI sites and 8.6 (range = 2.14 to 33.70) at streams. δ13C values were not significantly different between streams and FWSWI sites (Tab. 1). However, on average, DOC concentrations were 5 X lower at FWSWI sites (1.0 mg/L; range = 0.5 to 3.1 mg/L) than streams (5.0 mg/L; range = 1.2 to 13.6 mg/L), and 75% of

Tab. 1: Summary of statistical tests of predictions. 1: See text for hypotheses. * denotes statistically significant results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypotheses¹</th>
<th>Null predictions</th>
<th>Statistical analysis</th>
<th>F or t</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>r²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stream δ13C is not related to location</td>
<td>simple linear regression</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1 &amp; 28</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Stream δ13C is not related to location</td>
<td>simple linear regression</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1 &amp; 24</td>
<td>0.022*</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 &amp; 3</td>
<td>Stream δ13C−FPOM and δ13C−DOC are not related</td>
<td>simple linear regression</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1 &amp; 22</td>
<td>0.439</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>Stream and FWSWI δ13C−DOC does not differ</td>
<td>ANCOVA</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.399</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 &amp; 2</td>
<td>Stream and FWSWI δ13C−FPOM does not differ</td>
<td>ANCOVA</td>
<td>10.16</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>FWSWI δ13C−DOC does not differ</td>
<td>simple linear regression</td>
<td>20.17</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1 &amp; 24</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FWSWI δ13C−DOC is not related to location</td>
<td>simple linear regression</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1 &amp; 18</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>FWSWI δ13C−DOC and δ13C−DIC are not related</td>
<td>simple linear regression</td>
<td>10.42</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1 &amp; 18</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>FWSWI δ13C−FPOM and δ13C−DOC are not related</td>
<td>simple linear regression</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1 &amp; 5</td>
<td>0.552</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Stream δ13C−OM fractions do not differ</td>
<td>ANOVA</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>3 &amp; 85</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Stream δ13C−FPOM does not change with time</td>
<td>linear mixed effect model</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.01 to 0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Stream FPOM C:N does not change with time</td>
<td>linear mixed effect model</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.019*</td>
<td>0.14 to 0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Stream δ13C−FPOM and FPOM C:N are not related</td>
<td>simple linear regression</td>
<td>18.70</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1 &amp; 19</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>FWSWI δ13C−FPOM does not change with time</td>
<td>t test</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>28.577</td>
<td>0.021*</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>FWSWI δ13C−DOC does not change with time</td>
<td>linear mixed effect model</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>−0.03 to 0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>FWSWI δ13C−DOC does not change with time</td>
<td>linear mixed effect model</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.874</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FWSWI DOC concentrations were below the minimum concentrations of the analytical facility’s calibration standards (1.1 mg/L).

In streams, δ¹³C values for CPOM, FPOM, periphyton, and DOC were not significantly different (Table 1; Fig. 3), and δ¹³C_{FPOM} values were not correlated with δ¹³C_{DIC} values (Fig. 4D). A significant relationship between δ¹³C_{FPOM} values and C:N ratios was observed in streams (Fig. 4A), but no correlations were observed between δ¹³C_{FPOM} and ion concentrations or physicochemistry (Pearson’s r < 0.2). Stream δ¹³C_{FPOM} values increased from the southwest to the northeast (Fig. 4C), but δ¹³C_{DIC} values in streams were not correlated with location (Fig. 4B). Visual examination of δ¹³C_{periphyton} data did not reveal a spatial pattern, but a relationship was not statistically assessed because, unlike allochthonous OM, we had no reason a priori to expect the isotopic composition of periphyton to vary spatially.

At FWSWI sites, δ¹³C_{FPOM} values were significantly more negative than δ¹³C_{DOC} values by 6.71‰ (Table 1), and a significant positive relationship between δ¹³C_{FPOM} and δ¹³C_{DIC} values was observed (Table 1; Fig. 4F), with the average δ¹³C_{FPOM} value being 28.44‰ lower than the average δ¹³C_{DIC} value. No relationship between δ¹³C_{FPOM} values and C:N ratios was observed at FWSWI sites, although sample size was small (Table 1; Fig. 4E). At FWSWI sites, strong correlations were observed between δ¹³C_{DIC} values, conductivity and concentrations of several dissolved ions, including sulfide, ammonia, chloride, sulfate, lithium, sodium, potassium, magnesium, and calcium (r > 0.70), but not between δ¹³C_{DIC} values/ conductivity and other physicochemistry measurements (pH, temperature, δD, δ¹⁸O, manganese, barium, fluoride, nitrite, and nitrate concentrations) (r < 0.5). At FWSWI sites, both δ¹³C_{DIC} values (at sites with conductivity < 4000μS/cm) and δ¹³C_{FPOM} values increased from southwest to northeast (Tab. 1; Fig. 4G–H). AIC strongly suggested that a linear model incorporating location, log conductivity, and an interaction term was substantially more likely than nested models (AIC weight >> 1); all parameters were significant.

Temporal changes in δ¹³C_{FPOM} values were observed at both recharge streams and FWSWI sites. Stream δ¹³C_{FPOM} values increased between 30 September 2010 and 20 March 2012, although the strength of the relationship varied greatly among streams (r² = 0.01 to 0.98) (Table 1; Fig. 5). This decrease did not correspond directly with stream discharge or PDSI, as the last two sampling events (late January and late March, 2012) followed precipitation events that resulted in increased flow in all sampled streams (Fig. 2). Visual assessment of CPOM and periphyton isotopic compositions did not indicate temporal patterns. FPOM C:N ratios in streams exhibited a weaker, but significant, negative relationship with time (Tab. 1). Unexpectedly, at FWSWI sites, δ¹³C_{FPOM} values increased between 16 April 2011...
Fig 4: Regressions for stream sites (A−D) and FWSWI sites (E−H) of A and D: $\delta^{13}{C}_{\text{FPOM}}$ values against FPOM C:N ratios; B and F: $\delta^{13}{C}_{\text{FPOM}}$ values against $\delta^{13}{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ values; C and G: $\delta^{13}{C}_{\text{FPOM}}$ values versus distance along the Edwards Aquifer flowpath; D: $\delta^{13}{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ values versus distance; and H: $\delta^{13}{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ values versus distance and conductivity (multiple regression surface). All isotope values are reported in per mil (‰). Trendlines are shown for significant regressions. * $\delta^{13}{C}_{\text{DIC}} = \delta^{13}{C}_{\text{FPOM}} = -66.165 + 0.082 \text{distance} + 7.808 \log(\text{conductivity}) - 0.010 \text{distance} \log(\text{conductivity})$
The distribution and diversity of karst aquifer metazoan communities, as well as aquifer-wide food web structure, are influenced by OM that originates from, and migrates across, physical and geochemical boundaries. Research on the factors influencing DOM variability in karst aquifer systems has been limited, with previous work suggesting that OM flux into karst groundwater varies temporally based on precipitation and OM composition in soil and epikarst dripwaters (van Beynen et al. 2000; Datry et al. 2005; Ban et al. 2008), and the relative contributions of photosynthetic and chemolithoautotrophic OM are spatially variable (Sarbu et al. 1996; Opsahl & Chanton 2006; Birdwell & Engel 2009; Roach et al. 2011; Neisch et al. 2012). The isotopic compositions of OM in Edwards Aquifer recharge and aquifer waters, and the spatial and temporal variability in OM sources into the aquifer, have not been previously assessed, even though they support of one of the richest stygobiont communities on Earth (Longley 1981). We hypothesized that OM sources in the Edwards Aquifer would be influenced by 1) the relative proportion of C3 and C4 plant OM in recharging streams that changes in response to an east-west precipitation gradient, 2) FWSWI δ13C\text{DIC} values that reflect regional differences in δ13C\text{DIC} values due to chemolithoautotrophic production, 3) the importance of OM from periphyton and riparian C3 plants in recharge streams that increases during the dry season, and 4) the constant composition of OM at the FWSWI over time.

DISCUSSION

and 2 April 2012. Again, the strength of the relationship varied between sites ($r^2 = -0.03$ to 0.96) (Tab. 1; Fig. 5). δ13C\text{DIC} values at FWSWI sites showed no temporal trend (Tab. 1).

Fig. 5: Results of linear mixed effects models for δ13C-FPOM values versus time for stream sites (A-D), and FWSWI sites (E-G). A. Sabinal Rv.; B. Hondo Cr.; C. Helotes Cr.; D. Blanco Rv.; E. Aquarena parking lot well; F. Girl scout deep well; G. Paradise alley well. All isotope values are reported in per mil (‰). Note that mixed effect models assess relationships between δ13C-FPOM and date using site as a grouping variable. Site-specific regressions may not be significant.
CONTRIBUTIONS OF ORGANIC MATTER FROM SURFACE RECHARGE

Two of our hypotheses focus on the contribution of OM from recharge (H1 & H3). Numerous factors influence the relative importance of allochthonous and autochthonous OM in streams, including stream width and riparian cover (Vannote et al. 1980), land cover and the quantity of allochthonous input (Benfield 1997), and nutrient availability (Biggs 1995). The importance of these factors in Edwards Aquifer recharge streams is unknown, but the decrease in $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ values and FPOM C:N ratios in recharging streams during the summer of 2011, and the negative relationship between FPOM C:N ratios and $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ values (Figs. 4–5) may suggest that the observed temporal isotopic shift in FPOM results from a decrease in the relative contribution of allochthonous OM and an increase in the relative contribution of periphyton. This shift could result from decreased allochthonous input (i.e. both C3 and C4 plants), but is not likely to result from increased in-stream productivity because $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ minima values do not occur during spring and summer when periphyton growth is greatest (Finlay & Kendall 2007).

Alternatively, the observed temporal pattern is consistent with a decrease in the relative contribution of C4 plants from beyond the riparian zone because of a decline in overland flows and a subsequent increase in allochthonous input from the C3 dominated riparian zone, as has been documented for a river in Cameroon (Bird et al. 1998). Decreasing $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ values in streams continued through increased flows in winter and spring of 2012, although the pattern was inconsistent among streams (Figs. 2 & 5). In particular, $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ values from the Sabinal River changed minimally after an initial decrease after September 2010. A small increase in $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ values in the Sabinal and Blanco Rivers corresponded to increased flow in winter and spring of 2012, but this was not observed in Helotes Creek. Spring and stream hydrographs and the PDSI show 2–3 year oscillations with wetter than normal periods corresponding to El Niño periods (Fig. 2), and the general trend of declining stream $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ values may be linked to these longer ENSO time-scale trends in stream discharge. The relatively small increase in discharge in winter and spring of 2012, and the negative trend in $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ values, were embedded within a longer drying trend, as illustrated by the PDSI values from spring of 2011 through December 2012 (Fig. 2).

Although the relationship was weak, stream $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ values became more enriched from southwest to northeast (Tab. 1, Fig. 4), which does not support our hypothesis of increasing contributions of C3 plant material in the northeast. Furthermore, the lack of spatial gradients in $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{periphyton}}$ and $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{DIC}}$ values, and of a significant regression between $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ and $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{DIC}}$ values (Tab. 1, Fig. 4), indicates that the observed spatial gradient in $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ values is not the result of spatial differences in $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{periphyton}}$ values that would result from regional differences in $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{DIC}}$ values. Rather, the observed gradient may indicate decreasing contributions of periphyton and increased contributions of terrestrial plant OM in the northeast, although our data do not allow for estimated proportions because of the large degree of overlap in $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ and $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{periphyton}}$ values.

The estimated discharge-weighted average value for $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ entering streams (−21.75‰) was similar to the unweighted average, yet the employed Bayesian method of estimation has several advantages to an unweighted analytical average. Most obviously, an unweighted analytical average can over-emphasize values collected during low recharge periods and under-emphasize values collected during high recharge periods. Secondly, this method incorporates uncertainty associated with individual isotopic measurements, allowing for calculation of 95% equal tail credible intervals. Finally, although not investigated here, the model has potential for incorporation of increased complexity. Specifically, the relationship between the amount of OM entering the aquifer and discharge could be modeled non-linearly (e.g., it may reach an asymptote at some discharge threshold), and the relationship between the amount of OM entering the aquifer and discharge could be modeled to vary among streams.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF ORGANIC MATTER FROM THE FWSWI

The juxtaposition of reduced electron donors (e.g., H₂S) and electron acceptors (e.g., O₂, NO₃) at the FWSWI, coupled with a plentiful source of inorganic carbon source (DIC as HCO₃⁻ and CO₂) from carbonate dissolution support chemolithoautotrophic metabolic processes. Pronounced differences in OM dynamics between recharge stream and FWSWI well waters were revealed through isotope analysis. $\delta^{13}$C$_{\text{FPOM}}$ values were significantly more
negative at FWSWI sites than in recharging streams (Tab. 1, Fig. 3), which suggests strong isotopic discrimination against $^{13}\text{C}$ during autotrophic C fixation. We hypothesized that chemolithoautotrophic production occurred along the FWSWI, based on identification of putative sulfur-oxidizing microbial groups from the FWSWI (e.g., Epsoinproteobacteriabacteria, Thiorthrix spp., Thioacillus spp.) (Engel & Randall 2011; Gray & Engel 2013). The results support our hypothesis, and also corroborate previous findings that microbial, rather than surface (i.e. plant), humic-like, OM is present at the FWSWI (Birdwell & Engel 2009). However, the positive relationship between $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FPOM}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ at FWSWI sites (Fig. 4) illustrates that C isotope data alone are insufficient to quantify the relative proportions of photosynthetic and chemolithoautotrophic OM in a sample. Several factors influence the isotopic composition of chemolithoautotrophic OM, including the isotopic signature of the C substrate, C limitation (Cowie et al. 2009), C fixation rate (Laws et al. 1995), and the C fixation pathway utilized (Berg et al. 2010).

The 6.71‰ difference between $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FPOM}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DOC}}$ values at FWSWI sites could be the result of several processes. Relative to DOM, POM is not transported great distances into groundwater systems (Sim et al. 2003), so FWSWI DOC may be comprised of a greater proportion of surface derived, photosynthetic OM. Alternatively, DOC may represent more processed or recalcitrant OM. In soils, preferential metabolism of $^{12}\text{C}$ in OM during decomposition can increase $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{OM}}$ by 1–3‰ (Boström et al. 2007). To our knowledge, however, this has not been documented for groundwater. Lastly, the values may suggest additional C assimilation due to methanotrophy (Whiticar 1999). Although analysis of the spatial distribution of CH$_4$ in the Edwards Aquifer saline zone has not been studied in detail, Zhang et al. (1998) report a positive relationship between CH$_4$ and SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations in the saline zone, and we cannot rule out regional differences in CH$_4$ concentration that could influence regional variability in FWSWI $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{OM}}$.

Reasons for the enrichment in FWSWI $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FPOM}}$ values between April 2011 and March 2012 (Fig. 5) are unclear, but heterotrophic processing of OM is insufficient to account for the observed isotopic differences, as much as 18‰. The observed temporal changes could be the result of changing contributions of OM produced in geochemically distinct portions of the aquifer. These changes may be the result of declining aquifer levels and variability in flow along the FWSWI due to drought; however, there are currently no data to support this hypothesis.

The significant positive relationship between $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FPOM}}$ values (Tab. 1, Figs. 4) supports our prediction that regional differences in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ values, the substrate for chemolithoautotrophic production, affect $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FPOM}}$ values. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ values at FWSWI sites increased from southwest to northeast for sites with conductivity < 4000 μS/cm (Fig. 4H) and showed no significant temporal variation. This trend mirrors patterns in stable isotopes of helium (Hunt et al. 2010), which were interpreted as evidence of increasing groundwater residence times from the southwest to northeast. Increased residence times, and subsequent increased time for rock-water interaction, can shift $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ values towards the isotopic composition of the host rock (~ −2‰ for Edwards carbonates) (Ellis 1985; Gonfiantini & Zuppi 2003).

Variable sources of acidity may also have an important role in the isotopic composition of FWSWI $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ values. Dissolution of calcite by carbonic acid (derived from CO$_2$ respired during decomposition of plant matter in soils and/or hyporheic zones) results in DIC with a $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ value intermediate between that of the calcite and carbonic acid (Finlay 2003; Breeker et al. 2012). Dissolution of Edwards limestones by carbonic acid derived from respired CO$_2$ will produce DIC with $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values ~ −10‰ for calcite-saturated water, as well as alkalinitess and Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations similar to those observed in surface streams (appendix 1). However, DIC sourced from dissolution of calcite by an acid other than carbonic acid (e.g., sulfuric acid) will have an isotopic composition closer to that of the host rock, as is observed in FWSWI sites. The strong positive relationship between FWSWI $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ conductivity (Fig. 4H), and sulfide, supports the hypothesis that dissolution in low conductivity freshwaters is driven by carbonic acid, and in high conductivity saline waters (with locally high levels of sulfide ~100 mg/L), dissolution is driven by sulfuric acid derived from microbially mediated oxidation of reduced sulfur compounds (Gray & Engel 2013).

**CONCLUSION**

Groundwater ecosystems can be supported, at least in part, by allochthonous OM input from the surface, whereby the composition and supply rate is temporally variable and dependent on water balance conditions at...
the surface. In streams supplying allochthonous OM to the Edwards Aquifer, δ¹³C_{FPOM} values and FPOM C:N ratios decreased during and after a severe drought in 2011, suggesting a diminished contribution of terrestrial plant material (especially C4 material from beyond the riparian zone) and an increasing contribution of in-stream production. A spatial gradient in stream δ¹³C_{FPOM} values due to changes in the relative abundance of C3 and C4 plants was not apparent. Weighting δ¹³C_{FPOM} values for FPOM input into aquifers by recharge provides a more realistic estimate, and quantification of uncertainty around estimates is both important and straightforward using a Bayesian approach. In addition to allochthonous inputs, chemolithoautotrophy along the FWSWI is an important source of autochthonous OM, based on geochemical, microbial, and isotopic evidence. For the Edwards Aquifer, allochthonous and autochthonous OM sources were, on average, isotopically distinct, although the isotopic composition of chemolithoautotrophic OM was spatially variable and dependent on the isotopic composition of DIC. Additional research is needed to understand the degree to which the distinct OM sources are utilized by the diverse microbial and metazoan community in the Edwards Aquifer, as well as to characterize the OM geochemically (e.g., through high-resolution spatial analyses of OM isotopic composition and degree of humification). These analyses would allow for better quantification of the relative proportions of allochthonous and autochthonous OM throughout the aquifer.
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### Appendix 1: Physicochemical data average values (min/max) for recharge stream and FWSWI sites.

| Recharge Streams | DO (mg/L) | pH | Cond (μS/cm) | T (°C) | δ^13C_Corg (%) | δD (%) | δ^18O (%) | Sulfide (ppm S) | F^– (ppm) | Cl^– (ppm) | NO₃ (ppm) | NO² (ppm) | Br (ppm) | SO₄²⁻ (ppm) | Alkalinity (ppm) | Li^+ (ppm) | Na⁺ (ppm) | NH₄⁺ (ppm) | K⁺ (ppm) | Mg⁺⁺ (ppm) | Mn⁺⁺ (ppm) | Ca⁺⁺ (ppm) | Sr⁰⁺ (ppm) | Ba²⁺ (ppm) |
|------------------|-----------|----|-------------|--------|----------------|--------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|----------------|---------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|------------|---------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Sab              | 8.29      | 7.81 | 405         | 16.42  | –7.92          | –16.59 | –2.86     | 0.05         | 0.16      | 15.38     | 0.00      | 0.00     | 0.00     | 51.53    | 233.29   | 0.00    | 8.79    | 0.01      | 1.29   | 12.00   | 0.00       | 62.28   | 0.58   | 0.07     |
| Hon              | 8.98      | 7.86 | 312         | 10.69  | –6.55          | –16.23 | –2.26     | 0.05         | 0.20      | 14.44     | 0.00      | 0.00     | 0.00     | 65.24    | 88.71   | 0.00    | 10.55   | 0.01      | 1.45   | 12.00   | 0.00       | 57.77   | 0.76   | 0.00     |
| Med              | 8.00      | 7.51 | 411         | 18.20  | –8.67          | –13.64 | –2.13     | 0.16         | 0.18      | 12.97     | 0.00      | 0.00     | 0.00     | 57.58    | 88.71   | 0.00    | 7.77    | 0.00      | 1.46   | 12.08   | 0.00       | 57.20   | 0.76   | 0.00     |
| Hel              | 8.41      | 7.68 | 538         | 16.69  | –10.25         | –22.04 | –3.03     | 0.20         | 0.11      | 46.80     | 0.00      | 0.00     | 0.00     | 63.08    | 194.68  | 0.00    | 10.55   | 0.00      | 1.54   | 12.08   | 0.00       | 53.80   | 2.72   | 0.00     |
| Bla              | 9.14      | 7.52 | 349         | 8.17   | –7.55          | –20.11 | –2.81     | 0.00         | 0.24      | 26.70     | 0.00      | 0.00     | 0.00     | 29.61    | 256.29  | 0.00    | 7.77    | 0.00      | 1.46   | 12.08   | 0.00       | 55.78   | 2.26   | 0.00     |
| Oni              | 9.19      | 8.16 | 432         | 14.93  | –8.00          | –20.66 | –2.97     | 0.00         | 0.21      | 19.97     | 0.00      | 0.00     | 0.00     | 37.58    | 194.68  | 0.00    | 10.55   | 0.00      | 1.54   | 12.08   | 0.00       | 53.80   | 2.26   | 0.00     |

Notes: Values in parentheses indicate no data available.