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Many caves within carbonate (and perhaps other) rock sequences display marked spatial organization, particularly a tendency to group within vertical clusters. Most past explanations of clustering involve “recent” effects and interactions. New ideas, based on study of “denuded” or “unroofed” caves, acknowledge but re-interpret features and relationships that were observed long ago and commonly dismissed as “atypical”, “irrelevant” or “impossible”. Some traditional explanations of vertical clustering must now be re-assessed. Assumptions that any stratigraphical (bedding plane) or joint/fault fissure in carbonate rock provides (or provided) a de facto route for fluid transfer, and hence a focus for void development, are not confirmed by observation. Primitive pre-cave, but potentially cavernous, carbonate masses are not inevitably active hydrologically; nor are they geologically homogeneous. New evidence, and re-evaluation of earlier observations, implies that dissolutional void “inception” is related to a minor subset of all stratigraphical partings, which dominate initially, imprinting incipient guidance for later cave development. Recognition of this fundamental role provides a possible key to understanding the organization of cave systems and necessitates acceptance of an expansion of speleogenetic timescales back to the time of diagenesis.

Key words: speleogenesis, inception, unroofed caves, Yorkshire, Forest of Dean.
INTRODUCTION

More than 150 years ago the British geologist Sir Charles Lyell introduced the idea that “The Present is the Key to the Past”. By this he meant that observation and understanding of geological processes taking place today could provide clues to essentially similar processes that operated in the past, to give rise to the presently visible rocks and structural features. Over the years Lyell’s sweeping statement has been demonstrated to be true in many situations and, to a possibly large degree, it remains valid. Reciprocally, however, information provided by ancient rocks and structures can provide valuable clues that, potentially, can help us to predict current geological relationships. This possibility is as valid for questions of cave and karst development as it is in other branches of the earth sciences.

BRIEF HISTORICAL COMMENTS

Many workers in cave and karst science have described one form or another of vertical clustering or segregation of cave passages. As discussed recently by workers such as Mihevc (1998) and Šušteršić (1998), this situation is as obvious in unroofed caves as it is in active or recently abandoned underground situations. Some past workers have considered vertical clustering to be related to relict landscape features described as “erosion surfaces” or “erosion levels”. Others have found difficulty in accepting such concepts, and instead they have related vertical clusters of cave passages to various aspects of “geological control” or, perhaps more correctly, “geological guidance”. However, whereas geological guidance is relatively easy to appreciate in undeformed, sub-horizontal successions, its role has been less successfully deduced and described in heavily fractured and highly folded terrains.

White (1988, p.85), noted that: “…one of the tasks of cave origin models is to explain why cave tiers sometimes form…”. In context the word “sometimes” is important, as cave tiers appear not to be ubiquitous in all rock sequences and structural situations. This in itself is significant, as cave tiers would be expected as the norm if surface erosion levels (non-karstic features), and water-tables related to them, were the dominant factors affecting their establishment.

During the history of cave research many cave development theories have appeared. Some were accepted with or without subsequent improvement, others reverted to obscurity. Some seemed to provide an explanation of cave tiers, at least locally. For instance, discussing an earlier paper by Sweeting, the English geomorphologist Warwick (1953) reported: “Miss Sweeting (1950) has shown that some of the large chambers (caverns) of North-west Yorkshire occur at well-defined levels, which are probably connected with the successive deepening of the Dales.”. Of course, there had also been an awareness of cave tiers before 1950, and various workers have discussed their origins and relevance since then. This paper does not set out to examine early ideas in detail, merely to record a number of them in passing.

An early reference was by Sawicki (1909), who referred to “evolution levels”, said to relate to a succession of piezometric surfaces. Some of Sawicki’s ideas appear to be in close agreement with aspects of more recent cave development theories. In 1957 Droppa described cave development on many levels in the caves of Demänová in Slovakia. The elevations of these levels appeared distinct and relatively constant, and they were assumed to relate to former river courses. Droppa’s impressive
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Illustration of the supposed situation at Demänová has appeared in various textbooks since then. Likewise, Bögli’s (1966) description of cave levels in the Hölloch also assumed a fluvial influence, relating the complex underground situation in this system to stages in the deepening of the Muotatal. Again Bögli’s generalized cross-sections have been reproduced elsewhere as acceptable illustrations of the validity of such relationships, and the underlying ideas also seem acceptable within, if not fundamental to, the framework of some modern cave development models.

Worthington (1991) looked at cave levels from a different viewpoint. He used chemical evidence and mathematical arguments to support a suggestion that, at any given time, and subject to rock availability, new conduits forming parts of new tiers will be enlarging in the phreatic zone, beneath any obvious currently active tier. This idea seems to relate in part to concepts within the Inception Horizon Hypothesis of cave development (Lowe, 1992). From one viewpoint this hypothesis can be viewed as supporting the idea of concurrent ongoing development of incipient voids at various pre-determined stratigraphical levels within potentially karstic rock successions. From another viewpoint the initial imprinting of the incipient passage guidance is seen as a process that significantly pre-dates the later, concurrent enlargement. Still more recently, Šušteršič (1998) has observed and discussed “ranks” of channels that can be identified within individual tiers.

Thus, the concept of cave tiers is far from new…but where does the apparent order originate?

CASE STUDIES FROM THE YORKSHIRE DALES, UK

The western Dales - Casterton Fell to Ingleborough

The erosion surface model

Much of Sweeting’s 1950 paper was reproduced, essentially unchanged, in textbook format in 1972. The evidence presented dealt with vertical “zones” of cave development right across the Dales area, including western areas such as Casterton Fell, Leck Fell, Kingsdale and parts of Ingleborough (Fig.1). The conception of the study was extremely ambitious and, considering the generally low accuracy of cave survey data available at the time, the results presented and conclusions drawn were creditable. In simple terms the interpretation chosen by Sweeting was that, across the study area, cave passage levels were related to a small number of erosion levels that could be recognized within remnants of planed surfaces adjacent to, or within the long profiles of, the river valleys. To pursue the argument it is necessary to consider just two such surfaces, one lying between 365 and 380m above OD (OD = Ordnance Datum, or “sea-level”), the other between 290 and 305m OD.

As already mentioned, Sweeting’s explanation was readily acceptable to many eminent karstologists or general geomorphologists of the day. However, one significant aspect was overlooked, or ignored, in the argument. Whether by accident or design, the Sweeting model omitted the potential for variation within the local geology, apparently assuming that caves would inevitably develop randomly, at any surface-determined level, within a homogeneous limestone mass. It was not until 20 years later that Waltham (1970) provided an alternative, essentially geological, interpretation of the supposed cave levels noted in Sweeting’s study area. Existence of this alternative interpretation was acknowledged in Sweeting’s (1972) textbook.

Recognition of geological “control”

Waltham (1970) had the benefit both of first-hand exploration and observation in many caves, some newly discovered, across the Dales. He also had access to a new generation of higher quality cave surveys, on the basis of which he could relate geological features both to absolute levels (relative to OD) and cave passage
levels. One of the major points that he made was that at least some of Sweeting’s so-called erosion surfaces were actually inherited stratimorphs, reflecting geological rather than erosional surfaces. He conceded that erosion surfaces, cutting across highly variable geology did exist locally, but went on to explain why these were not related to cave development levels, at least not in the way that Sweeting had supposed.

By careful observation in the caves, and by reference to cave surveys, Waltham identified “zones” within the otherwise high purity limestone succession that included many “shale beds” (Waltham 1970; 1971):

(a) Locally there is a coincidental agreement between the elevation of Sweeting’s cave development zones and that of certain (relatively arbitrary) zones that are rich in shale beds;
(b) The shale-rich zones occur at different absolute elevations in different areas and, hence;
(c) Different shale-rich zones appear to coincide with Sweeting’s zones in different areas.

Waltham deduced that the shale beds acted as aquicludes and had a controlling influence on cave development. However this explanation may be incomplete, if not actually incorrect. Waltham also emphasised the significance of faults in causing relative horizontal and vertical displacement of the shale beds within the limestone succession. He also recognised the potential role of gentle rock flexures, particularly those of plunging synclinal form, that acted as sub-surface catchments for water that followed the bedding planes, supposedly above the shale aquicludes.

---

Fig. 1: Schematic cross-section of part of the Yorkshire Dales, showing vertical clustering of cave levels. [Modified and partially re-drawn after an original figure by Sweeting, 1950.]

Sl. 1: Shematski prerez dela Yorkshire Dales. Razvidno je kopčenje kanalov na podameznih “nivojih” [Delno na novo risano in modificirano po izvirniku M. Sweetingove, 1950.]
At about the same time, various authors recognised similar “levels” of cave development in other parts of the Yorkshire Dales, including Easegill Caverns (Ashmead, 1974), Leck Fell (Waltham 1974b), Kingsdale (Brook, 1974) and the Gaping Gill system (Glover, 1974). Most of these levels appeared to be related to shale beds or to other potential aquiclude lithologies. However, some aspect was obviously missing from the commonly held view, which (by use or implication) suggested that shale beds are *de facto* aquicludes and, hence, all shale beds should act as aquicludes and focus cave development, at least locally. If this were the case, why did only one major level of cave development exist within the span of each of Waltham’s shale-rich zones?

Table 1 provides details of the absolute elevation (relative to OD) of the major cave development levels in five areas of the western Dales. The values are derived from published cave surveys and hence they are average values. No allowance has been made for variation due to stratal dip or fault displacement within or between individual systems. Minor development levels are ignored and the data are presented system by system in a “counting down” format. The correlation of the data in the final (Gaping Gill) column may be displaced by one level but, as will be described, there are sound geological reasons for assuming that “level 3” is the same in all systems considered, and that at least one more (lower) level exists at Gaping Gill. The table shows that the absolute elevation of levels 1 to 3 varies significantly across the area. However, there is a much smaller variation in the thickness of strata present between levels 1 - 2 and levels 2 - 3. Is the relatively constant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Easegill Caverns</th>
<th>Lost Johns</th>
<th>Ireby Fell Cavern</th>
<th>West Kingsdale</th>
<th>Gaping Gill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>345m</td>
<td>?390m</td>
<td>370m</td>
<td>?390m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 to 1</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>65m</td>
<td>60m</td>
<td>55m</td>
<td>?30m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>280m</td>
<td>280m</td>
<td>330m</td>
<td>315m</td>
<td>360m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 to 2</td>
<td>52m</td>
<td>60m</td>
<td>60m</td>
<td>50m</td>
<td>50m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>228m</td>
<td>220m</td>
<td>270m</td>
<td>265m</td>
<td>310m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 to 3</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>50m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>260m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Comparison of average absolute levels of cave “levels” in five areas of the western Yorkshire Dales, and of the relative vertical distances between them. See also Table 2.

thickness of limestone (with or without non-carbonate partings) between levels of cave development significant and, if so, why?

**Introducing inception horizons**

Figure 2a is a highly diagrammatic illustration of one common traditional view of cave development within a sub-horizontal limestone sequence. In this view, downward progress of meteoric water is assumed to occur as semi-random gravitational percolation. There is no obvious organization of conduits or sub-conduits, and the logical assumption is that the carbonate mass is effectively homogeneous. In Figure 2b, a surface valley is superimposed onto the limestone mass, with its semi-random void system. Drainage within the mass will now target on a point (or points) in the valley bottom, which takes on the role of local base level. Elements of the pre-existing semi-random void system then begin to become organised and to develop in preference to less favourable elements within the rock mass. However, as solid limestone is commonly less porous than, say, granite, how can either stage of the traditional view be valid? Though many objections could be raised

---

**A traditional view**

(a) before surface downcutting

(b) after surface downcutting

*semi-random, "gravitational" percolation*

*underground drainage adjusts to surface-imposed base level*

**Fig. 2**: Simplified and schematic view of one traditional view of underground drainage development by semi-random gravitational percolation. 2(a) shows the situation before the imposition of a modern landscape and 2(b) shows that after surface down-cutting drainage and cave development becomes organized towards valley bottoms.

Sl. 2: Poenostavljen in shematiziran prikaz enega izmed tradicionalnih pogledov na razvoj podzemne odtočne mreže na osnovi na pol slučajnega gravitacijskega odtoka. Sl. 2(a) kaže razmere pred nastankom sodobnega površja, sl. 2(b) pa kaže, kaj naj bi se zgodilo, ko se vreže površinska odtočna mreža in se razvoj jam usmeri proti dnom dolin.
(and countered) regarding this highly simplified view and its dismissal, it serves as a contrast to the following ideas.

Figure 3a is an equally diagrammatic alternative view of the same situation. In this case it is suggested that underground movement of meteoric water in the pre-downcutting situation is already organized to some extent. The primitive organization is related to development down the geological gradient (in this case the slight dip of the bedding) within a limited number of favourable beds. The latter are described as “inception horizons”, as will be explained elsewhere, but at this stage of the argument shale beds functioning as aquicludes, as proposed by Waltham (1970, 1971, 1974) could equally well be responsible. Imagining now that a valley begins to incise into the limestone (Fig. 3b) it is clear that the higher inception horizon will be intersected first. Ignoring the (equally interesting) potential effects on the down-dip side of the valley, the immediate result is development of a spring on the up-dip side. While this spring functions and conduit development becomes still more organized towards it, the lower inception horizon continues to function, with its (perhaps reduced) drainage passing beneath the valley floor. Subsequently, as downcutting continues, a new spring develops related to the lower inception horizon. The higher spring is abandoned by all but local percolation and, perhaps, flood overflow water. None of this is new, and similar ideas were raised by Gardner as long ago as 1935.
Clearly, any early voids imprinted along the inception horizons down-dip of the valley will continue to exist. They may become blocked by sediment, they may act as sinks for some of the surface water, they may gather percolation from the overlying limestone mass, and any number of other potential combinations that will depend upon the void size, the surface flow and the rate of surface downcutting. Depending upon the resistance further down-dip along the original geological/hydraulic gradient, they might even function as estavelles.

The situations shown in Figures 2 and 3 are simplistic in the extreme, yet they serve to illustrate the potential role of “favourable beds”. The view presented is perhaps the simplest case of the stratigraphical guidance of conduit development described within the Inception Horizon Hypothesis (Lowe, 1992). Where good geological data are available, far more complex situations involving folded and faulted sequences can be modelled equally successfully, and the secondary role of various types of fractures forms a complementary component of more true-to-life models.

Worthington (1991) deduced on mathematical and chemical grounds that, within a single catchment, drainage can move simultaneously on several different levels. Figure 4 includes aspects of several of his original drawings. It shows schematically that at any given time conduit systems may exist at more than one
level within a carbonate mass. 99% of calcium carbonate dissolution takes place in the relatively thin epikarstic zone, with most of the remaining 1% occurring in the upper endokarst. Within the lower endokarst, mass loss is achieved by dissolution of calcium sulphate. It is self evident that, as surface downcutting progresses, rocks in an originally lower endokarst situation will migrate first into the upper endokarst and then into the epikarstic zone. Thus, most, if not all, calcium sulphate will be removed from carbonate successions before erosion brings them close to the surface.

Returning to the western Yorkshire Dales

On the basis of fieldwork and consideration of published accounts, Lowe (1992) recognised that the three levels of cave development (1 - 3) described in Table 1 related to specific stratigraphical horizons within the local Dinantian limestone succession. Not only that, but all three lay within (or at the boundaries of) a single major depositional cycle, which is coincident with the British Asbian Stage. Table 2 relates the three previously described cave development levels to the specific horizons in question.

The top of the Asbian Stage (major cycle 5) is marked by subaerial deposits that locally include a thin coal seam. Its base is marked by the regressive beds that closed the depositional activity of the underlying Holkerian Stage, and these include a distinctive micritic limestone known as the Porcellanous Bed. It is this bed, which

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position of inception horizon</th>
<th>Easegill Caverns</th>
<th>Lost Johns</th>
<th>Ireby Fell Cavern</th>
<th>West Kingsdale</th>
<th>Gaping Gill</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Base Brigantian] Top Asbian</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>345m</td>
<td>?390m</td>
<td>370m</td>
<td>390m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strata between</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>65m</td>
<td>60m</td>
<td>55m</td>
<td>30m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Asbian</td>
<td>280m</td>
<td>280m</td>
<td>330m</td>
<td>315m</td>
<td>360m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>strata between</td>
<td>52m</td>
<td>60m</td>
<td>60m</td>
<td>50m</td>
<td>50m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Porcellanous Bed) Base Asbian</td>
<td>228m</td>
<td>220m</td>
<td>270m</td>
<td>265m</td>
<td>310m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Top Holkerian]</td>
<td>strata between</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>50m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The same data that are shown in Table 1, but relating the cave “levels” to inception horizons.

Tabela 2: Isto kot na Tabeli 1, le da se “jamski nivoji” ozirajo na začetne horizonte.
is easily recognisable between Casterton and Leck as well as in Kingsdale and the Gaping Gill system, that seems to confirm the correlation of the three Gaping Gill levels with those farther west. Between these two distinctive horizons at the upper and lower limits of the Asbian Stage is a third, equally obvious, horizon (the Mid-Asbian Break). This is marked by all or some of a high-relief palaeokarstic surface, a shale bed that locally reaches 2m in thickness, pseudobreccias and local enrichment in shell debris. All of these latter are indicative of a hiatus between two (in this case minor) depositional cycles.

This situation alone is insufficient to confirm the specific significance of these particular horizons (and to a large extent only these horizons) to the guidance of cave development. Asbian horizons are only three among more than fifty bedding plane discontinuities within the ten minor cycles that comprise major cycle five. When looked at in this way, it is clear that the three inception horizons must exhibit especially favourable properties. Similar properties are either not evident along the other fifty bedding planes, or they may be so feebly presented that the host weaknesses are unable to compete for void development favourability. Various potential explanations of the role of inception horizons were discussed by Lowe (1992) and subsequently reiterated by Lowe and Gunn (1997), but more recent indications suggest that the initial imprinting of inception horizons may be even more fundamental.

**Summing-up the western Dales situation**

There is strong observational evidence that the great majority of cave development across the western Dales from Casterton Fell in the west, at least as far eastwards as the Gaping Gill system, has been guided by only three stratigraphical horizons. Throughout the area a role for faults (many more than are shown on published geological maps) and joint fractures is equally evident, providing the guidance of shafts that form physical and hydrological links between the three inception horizons or between fault-translocated elements of the same horizon. It is not coincidental that at least two of the major resurgences in the area (Leck Beck Head and Keld Head) are located on the basal Asbian (more correctly Top Holkerian) Porcellanous Bed horizon. The role of minor plunging synclines in guiding the location of major drains at the levels of the inception horizons is equally clear. On Leck Fell the active main drain of Short Drop Cave follows the uppermost inception horizon, while 120m beneath it the main drain of Lost Johns Cave follows the Porcellanous Bed horizon within the same structure. Fragments of major abandoned passages follow the fold in the intervening inception horizon. Such relationships would not be expected if cave development were controlled only by surface phenomena. A similar situation exists in the Easegill system beneath Casterton Fell, though here the higher of two superimposed main drains is now abandoned. Elsewhere, similar gentle synclines have guided major passage developments in caves such as Notts Pot and Ireby Fell Cavern. Tributary streams flow down-dip into the synclines, whereas the intervening anticlinal areas provide gathering grounds and underground watersheds between individual cave systems. The anticlinal zones are marked on the surface either by valleys with many sinks (such as that of Easegill Beck), or by linear concentrations of karst depressions, known locally as “shakeholes”, each developed above an upward widening fracture.

**West and east of Ribblesdale**

**Introduction**

Moving eastwards to Ribblesdale several apparently discrete underground drainage systems lie within a similar part of the Dinantian succession, though penetrating down into older limestones that overlie a Lower Palaeozoic basement. Their guidance, at least in part, can be related to the same horizons recognised in the western area. Here however, natural development of the extensive cave passages beneath the area has been interrupted and partly “frozen in time” by the imposition of non-karstic (in this case glacial) processes. Additionally, as is certainly the case elsewhere in the Dales, stratigraphically lower inception horizons must exist, whether or not they are currently intersected by the modern land surface. The influence of the basement rocks also becomes apparent, but perhaps not in the way that traditional karst models would suggest.
**Background**

Around the village of Horton in Ribblesdale the Ribble valley has been deeply incised by late Pleistocene glacial processes. North of the village the valley is still floored by carbonates, which are probably faulted down relative to similar rocks farther south. In the valley floor to the south the Dinantian rocks have been gouged away to reveal a structurally complex set of Lower Palaeozoic clastic rocks. Similar, though less extreme, effects produced another inlier of Lower Palaeozoic rocks in the valley of Crummack Dale, to the west.

East of the Ribble, underground drainage from areas east and southeast of the village has been traced to one or more of three linked resurgences at Brants Gill, Douk Ghyll and Dub Cote. Of these only Dub Cote has any extensive accessible passages, mostly explored only by divers. Water from Sell Gill Holes and other sinks nearby resurges at Newhouses Spring, welling upwards through alluvium in the valley floor north of the village. Between this system and that east of Horton in Ribblesdale, several minor caves resurge at relatively high level from the side of a glacially over-deepened side valley. Similarly, many relatively shallow caves north of Sell Gill Holes carry water northwards, where it emerges, again at relatively high level, from various caves in the steep, glacially cut, side of the Ribble valley. The systems in this area have no known connection to another major rising, Turn Dub, which lies on the eastern bank of the Ribble, 1km north of Newhouses Spring.

Looking now to the west of the Ribble, considerable underground drainage gathered from Washfold Pot and shallow caves that feed towards Alum Pot emerges first in a pool at Footnaw’s Hole, west of the Ribble. However, at least part of the flow continues beyond Footnaw’s Hole, passing beneath the Ribble, to resurge at Turn Dub on the opposite bank. On the southern part of Simon Fell, water from several sinks has been traced to Austwick Beck Head in the floor of Crummack Dale. Also west of the Ribble are two smaller caves, Blind Beck and Ringle Mill. Blind Beck discharges a stream for most of the year, but in wet weather the flow increases and there is vastly increased output from Ringle Mill. With no known allogeic feeders, both caves have been assumed to transmit autogenic input from the bare limestone plateau to the west, but this might be only part of the full picture.

Hydrological and morphological relationships are complex. There are gaps in knowledge of the underground systems and details of the local geology are also incomplete. Available geological maps pre-date modern understanding of the stratigraphy of the main cavernous sequences, and they show few faults and give no indication of minor fold structures.

**A potential explanation**

The uppermost sub-horizontal passages in most of the caves that feed to the Ribble valley and Crummack Dale springs are related to the Top Asbian inception horizon (described above), which is locally offset by faults. The deeper systems that feed to Brants Gill, Douk Ghyll, Dub Cote, Newhouses Spring, Turn Dub and Austwick Beck Head, penetrate via faults and joints to lower inception horizons. However the situation relating to these deeper systems and their resurgences is far from simple.

In contrast, the shallow systems, including those north and southeast of Sell Gill Holes, are also guided by this stratigraphically high inception horizon, locally marked by a mudstone bed (Dyson, 1969). It is locally offset by faults and deformed into minor W-E folds. These systems terminate where glacial erosion has removed the original continuations of their guiding inception horizons at points “upstream” of where, potentially, they could have dropped to lower inception horizons. Illustrations of the original situation are provided by the upper parts of some of the deep eastern systems, and more dramatically by sub-horizontal caves that feed to and towards Alum Pot. Here, the quantum jump to lower inception horizons is achieved via the Alum Pot fracture system. Thus these shallow caves are relict fragments of once far more extensive and complex inception systems that have been left perched due to the accelerated effects of glacial downcutting. No clear detail of either pre-glacial flow directions or pre-glacial continuations remains.

Returning to the deeper systems it is most productive to consider the resurgences. Of the three springs
east and southeast of Horton, only Dub Cote Cave lies at the position that traditional wisdom suggests - that is, at the unconformity between the clastic basement and the earliest limestones. Paradoxically, this stratigraphically lowest outlet also has the smallest flow under most conditions. Douk Ghyll and Brants Gill lie slightly above the unconformity and are related to subaerial deposits within a condensed sequence of partial depositional cycles, probably close to the contemporary Dinantian shoreline. These resurgences, whether above or on the unconformity, all lie below the stratigraphical level of the three main inception horizons discussed above.

Under normal conditions Brants Gill has a steady output and Douk Ghyll is almost dry, but in wetter conditions Brants Gill’s flow increases only marginally and Douk Ghyll emits a flood flow. The three rising are obviously related, probably via flooded up-dip routes developed predominantly along bedding planes behind the risings. Their different flow regimes may relate to the pre-glacial existence of primitive but laterally extensive routes along several “weak” inception horizons low in the Dinantian sequence, or to fault transposition of development along only one or two favourable horizons. Unroofing of the routes by glacial downcutting established the immature resurgences whose dimensions and state of drainage are still out of phase with explorable caves at higher levels.

Sell Gill Holes is an oddity. Glacial erosion appears to have removed its upper levels as well as part of its pre-glacial lower levels. High level bedding-guided passages remain, solidly blocked by glacial debris, but stratigraphically these are significantly below the Top Asbian inception horizon, and most of the overlying sequence has been removed. The main development is vertical, following a major fracture set, but at the bottom of the cave a sub-horizontal trend is again apparent. Drainage from the cave probably enters a flooded conduit that originally crossed the Ribble valley but was unroofed by glacial action. At Newhouses Spring drainage leaks upwards through glacial deposits and modern alluvium. As with Turn Dub (below), it appears that Newhouses Spring is a window into (or out of) an inception horizon carrying very deep drainage down-dip from west to east under the Ribble. The fact that drainage from Sell Gill Holes and other nearby sinks moves up-dip to emerge here suggests that the onward easterly inception route remains very immature and constricted, with high flow resistance.

Part of the system draining to Footnaw’s Hole and Turn Dub has been described above as providing an indication of earlier situations east of the Ribble. Drainage now passes from the highest inception horizon, down the Alum Pot fracture zone, to find lower inception horizons, which extended across the Ribble valley prior to glacial incision. In this case the pre-existing hydraulic (and geological) gradient was certainly from west to east, as drainage still follows a route deep enough to pass beneath the modern river, from Footnaw’s Hole to Turn Dub. Assuming that the gradients continue, Turn Dub is the last point at which an artesian lift can be achieved before the inception horizon passes beneath the thick Dinantian sequence preserved to the east. As the Top Asbian inception horizon outcrop is high in the eastern wall of Ribblesdale, it may be that the beds carrying drainage beneath the river are relatively downfaulted segments of the Mid or Basal Asbian inception horizons. Detailed study is needed.

Water sinking south of the Alum Pot catchment now flows to Austwick Beck Head. However, the rising is very immature, lying close above (but not directly on) the basal unconformity, and it could not have existed before the last glaciation. Evidence of prodigious glacial scouring exists in the Lower Palaeozoic floor of the valley, where glacial striae are common, and in the form of huge numbers of plucked boulders of Lower Palaeozoic material that litter the valley floor and sides. So, what was the pre-glacial route of drainage from the limestone mass that now feeds Austwick Beck Head?

At least part of the drainage took shallow, bedding-guided, routes down the geological gradient towards the east, perhaps within the Top Asbian inception horizon. A smaller component probably exploited the two deeper Asbian inception horizons, moving in the same general direction. The upper part of the succession on the Crummack Dale - Ribblesdale interfluve was then planed away by glacial activity, and the Top Asbian inception horizon, at least, was lost. Lower inception horizons almost certainly survived, though truncated locally by the glaciers. They will have changed little since glacial times, as their original feeder routes have been diverted towards Austwick Beck Head. The overlying plateau has no allogenic streams, only meteoric
input via the bare limestone surface. Both Blind Beck and Ringle Mill caves lie at the level of the Basal Asbian inception horizon, and are probably windows into original down-dip drainage routes from the west. Conduits immediately behind Austwick Beck Head are immature and constricted, so in very wet conditions water probably backs up above the rising. There may then be underground overflow eastwards, along the higher level primitive, pre-glacial, inception routes. This would account for the spectacular floods that are periodically encountered at Ringle Mill Cave.

If this explanation is viable, it is tempting to wonder whether the original regional hydraulic gradient for the whole of this area was from west to east, continuing not only beneath the pre-glacial Crummack Dale but also beneath proto-Ribblesdale. If so it might be that the northwestward routes currently followed by water sinking on Fountains Fell towards the Horton risings is a reversal of the original regional trend. Corroborative evidence is provided by the fact that water trace velocities from the Fountains Fell sinks are an order of magnitude less than those from the Penyghent area.

Summing-up the Ribblesdale situation

Pre-glacially, deep underground drainage could have followed at least three immature inception routes generally from west to east, passing beneath the current Crummack Dale and Ribblesdale valleys. The regional hydraulic gradient being followed was very slight, and its continuation followed equally low efficiency buried routes, off the dominant Pennine anticlinal structure and towards the basinal area to the east. This situation pre-dated the geologically instantaneous development and overdeepening of Ribblesdale and Crummack Dale.

Post-glacially the land surface had locally incised through the previously buried void systems, each associated with a specific inception horizon, and had locally unroofed the impermeable basement. The former regional hydraulic gradients were cut into smaller local fragments, most noticeably at the uppermost inception levels. In the west the higher beds with their more efficient drainage routes were stripped away completely. Incipient routes below, passing eastwards towards Blind Beck and Ringle Mill caves, were preserved but inefficient.

Thus, underground drainage routes from the west were constricted and within the ponded-back situation that developed, newly advantageous, perhaps fracture-dominated routes, were established, targeted upon a structural low point at the newly exposed basal contact of the limestone at Austwick Beck Head. Even here a favourable bedding component been involved - the resurgence passage is bedding - guided and lies a short distance above the actual basal contact. Other immature bedding-plane seepages at a similar horizon but structurally higher level occur along the western (up-dip) side of Crummack Dale, suggesting that the rock mass is effectively saturated. Austwick Beck Head provides an inefficient but structurally focussed low point, from which most of the area’s underground water escapes under normal conditions.

To the north a significant thickness of limestone survives, crossing the interfluve towards Ribblesdale. Primitive pre-glacial void systems associated with at least the Basal Asbian (Porcellanous Band) inception Horizon and possibly the Mid-Asbian horizon extend eastwards. Though unconfirmed by tests, it is possible that flood water from the western sinks can back up behind the immature route to Austwick Beck Head, and spill along the primitive low gradient routes towards Ribblesdale. These normally carry autogenic water to Blind Beck Cave and (to a lesser extent) to Ringle Mill, but in wet weather the flow at Ringle Mill (in particular) increases dramatically. It is tempting to speculate further about where the pre-glacial continuations of these passages might lie on the eastern side of Ribblesdale, but geological detail is as yet insufficient to allow even a tentative guess.

Pre-glacially, many metres of rock lay above the current floor of Ribblesdale, so the present resurgences did not exist. Deep water movement must have continued eastwards and southeastwards down the regional geological/hydraulic gradient. Most of this regional transfer must have entered buried basins to the east, whereas a proportion might have reached the surface along major block margin fault zones to the south, depending upon their own stage of unroofing. As with the more local drainage routes discussed above, the overall hydraulic gradients were very slight.
Glacial down-cutting cut windows through some of these long and deeply buried routes. Shallow parts of the systems to the west were planed away (as described above) while deeper sections continued to function. In the east shallow systems now disgorged their drainage high on valley sides from the truncated ends of (probably) the highest (Top Asbian) inception horizon. The incipient voids that gave rise to the deep systems of Penyghent remained unaffected by glacial erosion, though drainage that might originally have followed regional routes towards the east was readily pirated to the deeper and newly intersected inception horizons that support the risings east of Horton. The flooded or epiphreatic state of the deepest parts of these caves emphasises that even after 10,000 years the routes to the new risings remain immature.

The ancestral voids of the deep caves of Fountains Fell also lay originally on the buried regional hydraulic gradient moving water generally eastwards. Perhaps a minor flexure cuts across the route, so that water was driven locally up-dip by the artesian pressure head from the west. If so, once the valley had cut down, drainage must have reversed to move down-dip towards the Horton risings. Even if no flexure exists water may be driven unfavourably up-dip, back towards Horton. This could explain the order of magnitude difference between flow rates from Penyghent and those from Fountains Fell. In any case, an underground watershed (perhaps a gentle anticline) lies somewhere in the region of the southeastern limits of Magnetometer Pot, which is a system renowned for its complex hydrology, with low and immature bedding plane passages and a confusing profusion of sumps.

These considerations provide a new, as-yet unsubstantiated, view of the underground drainage between Simon Fell and Fountains Fell. Assumption of pre-modern landscape deep flow and the presence and early guiding role of inception horizons allows a much more reasoned explanation of the known situation than do assumptions of random flow within all stratigraphical or structural fissures. On the basis of the theoretical model, predictions about the positions of abandoned pre-downcutting voids and unroofed caves could now be made.

INCEPTION HORIZONS AND UNROOFED CAVES

Despite the above detailed consideration of the potential role of inception horizons (or perhaps simply “favourable beds”) across a wide swathe of the Yorkshire Dales, caves do not provide the ideal means of recognizing such features. In natural caves erosion and weathering may obscure the crucial relationships, especially where vadose entrenchment has incised deep canyons below original guiding horizons. Similar things can be said of natural cliffs and valley walls. Newly opened artificial excavations - such as quarries and road cuttings - provide some of the best evidence for the widespread occurrence of potential cave development horizons and the occurrence of the many more discontinuities that are less advantageous.

In a quarry in the Derbyshire Peak District, about 100 km south of the Yorkshire Dales, appears a sub-horizontal sequence of bedded, high-purity, Dinantian limestone. More than 60 m of beds can be seen in two quarry faces, one above the other. Among the many bedding planes visible (average spacing 1 to 2 m) only two are conspicuous, one roughly in the middle of each face. Two points should be noted. First of all the entire extent of the bedding plane is perforated by low but wide (ie bedding-guided) dissolutional cavities. This illustrates that inception activity potentially affects the full width of suitable bedding planes, although following eventual exposure ongoing enlargement may be concentrated in local structural lows. Secondly, the rock below the bedding plane is stained by iron-rich solutions, probably derived by breakdown of pyrite (iron sulphide) within impurities associated with the bedding parting. Such breakdown commonly also produces sulphuric acid, a highly efficient dissolutional agent, and at least one type of inception horizon appears to gain its
advantage in this way. Similar observations relate to the upper quarry face bedding plane, and similar exposures are common in many other limestone quarries.

A further 150 km to the south, the Dinantian limestone sequence of the Forest of Dean also contains a limited number of inception horizons among many visible bedding planes. Favourable beds are seen in active caves as well as in relict caves and in iron-ore mines (Lowe, 1993). The ore was emplaced into pre-existing but partially buried caves during Triassic times, and the ore bodies were subsequently exposed along the limestone outcrop as the surface landscape developed. Figure 5, partly schematic but based on available mining records, shows the relationship of the ore bodies to the stratigraphy. Old outcrop workings actually represent an organised system of ancient, now unroofed, caves. Elsewhere in the Forest of Dean unroofed caves showing features comparable with those described in Slovenia are also found in areas that were unaffected by iron-ore deposition.

![Diagram of stratigraphy](image)

**Fig. 5:** The relationships of iron-ore workings in part of the Forest of Dean (UK) to the stratigraphy. Ore was emplaced in pre-existing caves during the Triassic and the surface outcrops of the ore-bodies represent unroofed caves. [Modified from Figure 35, British Regional Geology: Bristol and Gloucester region. ©NERC. Source the British Geological Survey.]
Fig. 6: Part of the bedded Carboniferous limestone succession in a Derbyshire (UK) quarry. Each face is about 30 m high and throughout the two faces the spacing of bedding planes is 1 to 2 m. Only one bedding plane on each face level shows signs of strong dissolitional activity.

Fig. 7: A closer view of the lower face seen in Figure 6. The dominant bedding plane displays laterally extensive dissolitional voids and the rock below the bedding plane is heavily iron-stained.
POINTS TO NOTE

On the basis of aspects described in detail or only touched upon above, the following points should be noted:

• Identical horizons guide cave development at different altitudes across wide areas:
  ⇒ This would not be expected unless early cave development pre-dated the present landscape or base level.

• Elements of these horizons guide cave segments even in steeply dipping and faulted rocks:
  ⇒ This would only be expected if they offered significant advantages for dissolutional void development before modern “water-tables” existed.

• No rock stratum is totally homogeneous, even a bed that is described as “massive”. Each bed records a sequence of varied but somehow inter-related events and differences across bedding planes or even apparently within beds may acquire local or regional significance:
  ⇒ The inception horizons (or favourable beds) in any given sequence will be the small number of beds (commonly 1 to 2%) that display properties making them significantly more advantageous to void development than their more numerous neighbours. Thus, factors that make a bed favourable in one sequence might not be favourable in a different sequence.

• If, as claimed by Worthington (1991) there is simultaneous dissolution at several levels, and if
  ⇒ Higher dissolutional cave levels can be left un-modified as drainage drops to lower, pre-existing, voids after uplift:
    ⇒ Elements that guide, carry out and drive early dissolutional void development must be in place and active before uplift, and before imposition of modern landscapes.

• The Inception Horizon Hypothesis of cave development (Lowe, 1992) suggests that incipient, basin-wide, startigraphy related, weaknesses are imprinted within carbonate sequences during or soon after diagenesis, and certainly pre-tectonically. These guide later cave growth within structurally guided “zones” that lie along evolving hydraulic gradients:
  ⇒ Strong sedimentological evidence supporting this suggestion has been observed by France Šušteršič (1999) and his colleagues on Mount Krn in Slovenia.

IN CONCLUSION

• Whether in sub-horizontal of folded beds, cave “organization” is linked primarily to stratigraphy;

• In many cases the “favoured” bedding planes correspond to depositional cycle boundaries;

• Inception of void development along favoured bedding planes (inception horizons) commences during diagenesis and, hence, is pre-tectonic;

• Subject to collection of the required geological information, awareness of the above enables prediction of Present patterns of cave organization on the basis of Past events.
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POLNOST - NUDI KJUČ K SEDANJOSTI?

Povzetek

KRATEK POGLED V ZGODOVINO


W. White (1988, 85), je zapisal: “…eno izmed vprašanj, na katera morajo odgovoriti modeli o nastanku jam je, zakaj se kraške votline včasih zbirajo v “nadstropja”...” Besedica “včasih” je pomembna, kajti “nadstropja” se ne pojavljajo povsod, v vseh kaminskih sekvencah in vseh strukturnih kombinacijah. Pravzaprav bi smeli “nadstropja” pričakovati kot samoumevna edino tam, kjer so se pojavljali erozijski nivoji (nekraški pojavi) in nanje vezane gladine podtalnice, ki bi lahko bili glavni faktor pri razvoju “nadstropij”.


L. Sawicki (1909) je navajal “razvojne nivoje”, ki naj bi se nanašali na zaporedne gladine


**VZORČNI PRIMERI IZ YORKSHIRE DALES**

M. Sweetingova (1950) je menila, da je opazno zbiranje kraških kanalov v posameznih "nadstropjih" po skoraj vseh Yorkshire Dales posledica manjšega števila erozijskih nivojev, ki so jih geomorfologi odkrili na površju. Tolmačenje je sprejela večina takratnih raziskovalcev. Žal je njen model prezrl razlike v kamninah in predpostavljal, da so jame v homogeni apnenčevi gmoti nastajale v bistvu poljubno in so njihovo nadmorsko višino odrejali le površinski faktorji.


Tabela 1 kaže povprečne nadmorske višine za razvoj najpomembnejših "nivojev" v našteti kraških ozemljih. Višine na območjih 1 do 3 se spreminjajo v širokem obsegu, malo pa se spreminja debelina skladov med njimi. Sorazmerno stalne razdalje med jamskimi "nivoji" se ne da spregledati.

Slika 2a kaže tradicionalen pogled na razvoj jam, tako da se preniki podavinske vode pretaka skozi homogeno kamninsko gmozo na pol poljubno. Na začetku ni nikakršne organizacije kanalov ali njihovih zasnov. Potem pa, ko nastane površinska dolina (Sl. 2b), se razvoj kanalov usredini na posamezne točke v dnu doline, kjer je krajevna erozijska baza. Deli na pol slučajnega spleta kanalov so dobili poudarjeno smer, v katero se razvijajo in se tako organizirajo. Ker je tektonska popolnoma nepoškodovana apnenčeva gmoza v začetku celo manj porozna od npr. granita, je nakazana razvojna pot morda zgrešena že v osnovi.

Slika 3a kaže alternativen pogled. Podzemski odtok je organiziran že pred vrezovanjem in se
ozira le na plastovitost in vpad majhnega števila priviligiranih lezik, “začetnih horizontov”. Ko se dolina zAREže v apnence (Sl. 3b), najprej načne najvišji začetni horizont in izvir se pojavi na tisti strani doline, ki je nasprotni strani avenconskih kanalov. V času, ko izvir deluje, se kanali polagoma orientirajo proti njemu, vendar pa nižji začetni horizont še vedno deluje in prevaja vodo pod dolino. Ko se vrezovanje nadaljuje, prej ali slej najnižji začetni horizont in višji izvir ostane pretežno suh. Starejši kanali, nastali ob lezikah, so še vedno tam. Lahko delujejo kot ponori, lahko jih zadelajo sedimenti, lahko zbirajo preniklo vodo nad seboj in - pač glede na nizvodne pretočne pogoje - delujejo lahko tudi kot estavale. Razmere na slikah 2 in 3 so zelo poenostavljene, vsekakor pa ilustrirajo vlogo privilegiranih lezik (“začetnih horizontov”). Med možnostmi, predloženimi v Hipotezi o začetnih horizontih (D. Lowe, 1992), je to pravzaprav najpreprostejši primer stratigrafskega predpisa za razvoj jamskih kanalov.

S. Worthington (1991) je pokazal, da se znotraj enega samega vodozbirnega območja odtok odvija na več različnih ravneh (Sl. 4). 99% kalcijevega karbonata se raztopi v epikraški coni in le 1% v zgornjem delu endokrasa. V spodnjem delu slednjega poteka sulfatna reakcija. Ker se zniževanje površja nikoli ne ustavi, se naštete cone pomikajo navzdol in v nekdanji koni sulfatne reakcije prevlada hidrokarbonatna. To je bilo ugotovljeno v porečju reke Big Horn v Wyomingu, USA (S. Egemeier, 1981; W. Sando, 1988). Worthington ni iskal nadaljnjih povezav s stratigrafijo, toda logične zveze s hipotezo o začetnih horizontih so nespregledljive. Lowe (1992) je ugotovil da so trije “nivoji” razvoja jam (1 - 3, Tabela 1) dejansko posamezni stratigrafski horizonti, ki ležijo znotraj ali na mejah asbijske stopnje (Tabela 2). Vrh asbija (glavni cikel št. 5) označjuje kopenski sedimenti, ki krajevno vključujejo pole premoga in mudstona. Njegova baza leži na regresijskih kamninah (skupaj z mikriti - “porcelanske plasti”) s katerimi se je končala sedimentacija v spodnji, holkerijski stopnji. Ta plast je razpoznavnok v prek zahodnih Dales in njena navzočnost olajšuje korelacijo “nivojev” v Gaping Gillu z votlinami bolj na zahodu. Srednji asbij označuje menjavanje zelo razgibanih paleokraških površin z do 2 m debelo plastjo glinovca, psevdobrečami in krajevnimi obogatitvami s školjčnimi lumakelalmi. Vse to nakazuje vzorec v razvoju jam v začetnih horizontih, ki ležijo znotraj ali na mejah asbijske stopnje (Tabela 2). Vrh asbija (glavni cikel št. 5) označjuje kopenski sedimenti, ki krajevno vključujejo pole premoga in mudstona. Njegova baza leži na regresijskih kamninah (skupaj z mikriti - “porcelanske plasti”) s katerimi se je končala sedimentacija v spodnji, holkerijski stopnji. Ta plast je razpoznavnok v prek zahodnih Dales in njena navzočnost olajšuje korelacijo “nivojev” v Gaping Gillu z votlinami bolj na zahodu. Srednji asbij označuje menjavanje zelo razgibanih paleokraških površin z do 2 m debelo plastjo glinovca, psevdobrečami in krajevnimi obogatitvami s školjčnimi lumakelalmi. Vse to nakazuje vzorec v razvoju jam v začetnih horizontih, ki ležijo znotraj ali na mejah asbijske stopnje (Tabela 2). Vrh asbija (glavni cikel št. 5) označjuje kopenski sedimenti, ki krajevno vključujejo pole premoga in mudstona. Njegova baza leži na regresijskih kamninah (skupaj z mikriti - “porcelanske plasti”) s katerimi se je končala sedimentacija v spodnji, holkerijski stopnji. Ta plast je razpoznavnok v prek zahodnih Dales in njena navzočnost olajšuje korelacijo “nivojev” v Gaping Gillu z votlinami bolj na zahodu.
morda obstojale zasnove hidravličnih dvigov, ki so se nanašale na najvišji horizont na zahodu proto-
Ribblesdale. V času pleistocena so ledeniki vsešlo znižali površje in tudi poglobili Ribblesdale
ter manjšo dolino na zahodu (Crummack Dale).

Nekateri splošni votlini, vezani na zgornji asbijski horizont, so bili v bližini doline Ribble odrezani
in so pustili za seboj jam, ki danes “visijo” v pobočjih doline. Nekatere od teh jam so danes iztokih
najvišjih voda.

Zasnove kanalov v “šibkih” začetnih horizontih so bile prerezane in nastali so današnji izviri
z nabalne diskordance pri Austwick Beck Head v Crummackdale ter pri Brants Gill, Douk Ghyll
in Dub Cote v Ribblesdale (Sl. 10).

Večina globokih odtokov iz Penyghenta in Fountains Fella zateka danes v jamske sisteme, ki
uporabljajo tri glavne začetne horizonte. Tok po vpadu navzgor je učinkovitejši kot tok navzdol.
Odtok iz južnega Simon Fella ima podobne odnose z Austwick Beck Headom, vendar teče voda
tokrat po vpadu navzdol.

Bolj proti severu so apnenci ob prelomih pogreznjeni in kraške vodne poti izpred pleistocena
prečkajo apnečje ozemlje, tokrat pod Ribblom. Odtok z območja Sell Gillja se hidravlično dvigne
skozi okno v globljih spletih, ki so nastali še pred poledenitvami in ki na bazi asbijja ali vzdolž še
nižjih, “šibkih” začetnih horizontov verjetno prevajajo vodo regionalno v smeri zahod-vzhod.

Odtok s Simon Fella na severu sledi drugi predpoledenitveni smeri, verjetno (še ne dokončno
potrjeno) po istih začetnih horizontih vendar v bloku, ki ga od ostalega apnenčeva ozemlja delijo
prelomi.

Opuščene vodne poti izpred poledenitev so med južnim Simon Fellom in Ribblesdalom ohranjene
vsaj v bazalni začetni coni v asbijju. Možno je, da se poplavne vode s tega ozemlja poslužujejo
starih poti, potem ko so napolnilne zaledje maksimiranega novega odtoka pri Austwick Beck Headu.

Verjetno je bil prvotni hidravlični gradient usmerjen od zahoda proti vzhodu in se je nadaljeval
prek predpoledenitvenega Crummack Dala in proto-Ribblesdale. Zatorej je današnji vodni tok s
Fountains Fella proti severozahodu zasukan glede na regionalni trend. Na to jasno kažejo hitrosti
odtoka s Fountains Fella, ki so za velikostni red manjše od onih z območja Penyghenta.

**ZAČETNI HORIZONTI IN BREZSTROPE JAME**

Jame niso najboljši kraji, kjer bi opazovali začetne horizonte. Erozija in preperevanje uničijo
ključne strukture, še posebej tam, kjer vadozna voda zareže korita globoko pod vodilne horizonte.
Najbolje razvidimo obstoj in obseg horizontov, kjer bi se lahko razvile jamne - pa tudi razlike z
ostalimi, manj primernimi lezikami - v svežih odkopih, kamnolomih in cestnih usekih.

Sliki 6 in 7 kažeta subhorizontalne plasti zelo čistega dinantskega apnence v Derbyshire Peak
Districtu. Na sliki 6 sta drugo nad drugim dve čeli kamnoloma, ki skupaj zavzemata več kot 60 m
apnečevih plasti. Videti je mnogo lezika (medsebojna razdalja je 1 do 2 m), a le dve, po ena v vsakem
čelu, padeta v oči. Slika 7 kaže spodnje čelo in njegovo pomembno leziko. Ves horizont je na
drobno preluknjen s širokimi votlinami, nastalimi z raztapljanjem. To potrjuje, da začetna aktivnost
prizadene bolj ali manj ves primeren horizont in se tokovi usrednijo v strukturna znižanja šele po
tektonskeg dvigu. Kamnina pod leziko kaže madeže železovih oksidov, verjetno sproščenih pri
oksidaciji sulfidnih mineralov odloženih med obe plasti. Pri razpadu sulfidov se sprošča žveplena
kislina, ki je učinkovit dejavnik raztapljanja in je geto vsaj enega tipa začetja.

NEKAJ POUDARKOV

Identni stratografski horizonti so nosilici razvoja jam v različnih višinah na večje razdalje. Tega ni mogoče pričakovati, razen če zgodnji razvoj jam ni starejši kot današnja oblikovanost površja oz. erozijska baza. Odseki teh horizontov vklaplja jamske segmente celo v strmo naklonjenih plasteh in nagubanih kamninah. To je razložljivo le, če so nudili razvoju vodnih poti (raztapljanje kamnine) posebne ugodnosti, ki preprečujejo današnjo gladino podtalnice. Nobena plast kamnine ni popolnoma homogen, čebo to opisamo kot "masivno". Vsaka plast je zapis zaporedja različnih, a med seboj vzročno povezanih dogodkov. Razlike med plastmi, ali celo znotraj posamezne plasti, so krajevna ali celo regionalna. Na področju, kjer ni prizadelo rudarjenja, se pojavlja brezstrope jam, primerljive tem v Sloveniji. 


ZAKLJUČKI