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Abstract

The evolution of troglobites comprises three distinct problems: cave colonization by an epigean ancestor, the evolution of troglomorphies, and intra-cave speciation. The study of cave-dwelling planthoppers has contributed much to our understanding of troglobite evolution and provides useful model systems to test various aspects of the theoretic framework developed in recent years. Most promising in this respect are taxa with several closely related but independently evolved troglobiontic lineages, such as on the Canary Islands, in Queensland/Australia and on the Hawaiian Archipelago. Closely related species often occur in caves with comparable ecological parameters yet differ in their age. Here we use comparative age estimates for Australian and Hawaiian cave cixiids to assess the dynamics of reductive evolutionary trends (evolution of troglomorphy) in these taxa and cave planthoppers in general. We show that the degree of troglomorphy is not correlated with the age of cave lineages. Morphological alteration may not be used to draw conclusions about the phylogenetic age of cave organisms, and hypotheses based on such assumptions should be tested in light of these findings.
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INTRODUCTION

The origin of troglobites has fascinated evolutionary biologists since Darwin remarked on their curious and strong modification (1859: 177-178). He did not provide a 'Darwinistic' explanation for their evolution, however, this was subsequently supplied by August Weismann (1886)1.

Troglobite evolution, i.e. the process leading to different, sometimes closely related species, which are highly adapted to life in subterranean spaces, comprises three somewhat independent phenomena and problems: (i) initial cladogenesis of a cave species, or the origin of a cave-dwelling bio-species from an epigean ancestor, which is basically the problem of isolation or rather (spatial) separation of a cave population from its epigean relatives; (ii) subsequent anagenetic transformation, which comprises the dynamics and driving forces of cave adaptation, the often so-called regressive evolution or reductive evolutionary trend, and, in some cases, (iii) subterranean (intra-cave) radiation.

RELLICTS OR EXPLORERS?

A widely accepted concept aiming to explain the specialisation event giving rise to a cave-dwelling and reproducively isolated bio-species, was developed by Thomas Barr in the 1960s, commonly known as the Climatic Relict Hypothesis (CRH):

1 “As it is difficult to imagine that eyes, though useless, could be in any way injurious to animals living in darkness, I attribute their loss wholly to disuse.” (Darwin 1859: 177)

2 „As soon as such a cave immigrant has developed the ability to obtain food without the help of eyes a reduction of the eyes must commence, since as soon as the same are no longer necessary for the animals’ existence, they are not influenced anymore by natural selection, because now it does not matter whether the eyes are a little worse or a little better. Now, no more selec-tion will take place between individuals with better and those with worse eyes, but both will have an equal chance to be preserved and reproduce. Individuals with better and those with worse eyes will cross from now on, and the result can only be a general degradation of the eyes. Possibly this is helped by the circumstance that smaller and stunted eyes can even present an ad-vantage, since this allows other organs such as sensory and olfactory organs, which are more important for the animal now, to develop more strongly. Even without such effect, though, the lack of natural selection maintaining the eye’s high level of organization will necessarily lead to its degradation, slowly or even very slowly, especially at the beginning of this process, but in-exorably.” (Translated from the German; Weismann 1886: 16-17)

“Troglobites have evolved from colonies of troglobiphiles which became isolated in caves through extinction of surface populations of the troglobiphiles” (Barr 1968: 96).

According to Barr, the evolution of troglobites is a two-step process: at first, it involves a preliminary, troglobophilic stage without apparent troglomorphies or a disruption of geneflow between cave-dwelling and epigean populations. Following this initial cave colonization, the cave-dwellers become geographically separated, and thus genetically isolated, due to the extinction of parental epigean populations (supposedly caused by climatic change), at least in the region of the cave. Over time, reproductive isolation will inevitably follow as a side effect of genetic change by drift and natural selection (Barr 1968). Support and evidence for this concept was gained from the observed relict distribution of most troglobites known at that time, which were almost exclusively confined to temperate regions. Glaciation during the ice ages was suggested as the most important factor for the change of surface conditions (Barr 1968, Sbordoni 1982, Barr & Holsinger 1985).

This hypothesis remained without alternatives until the early 1970s, when Francis G. Howarth discovered the Hawaiian cave ecosystems (Howarth 1972). The lava tubes host, among other taxa, highly trogloborphic planthoppers that are parapatrically distributed with respect to their close epigean relatives, which are still extant, i.e. they are non-relictual troglobites. Consequently, Howarth (1981, 1986, 1987) formulated the Adaptive Shift Hypothesis (ASH):

“[…] potential food resource provides the driving force for the […] evolution of cave species. Troglomorphic populations […] evolve from pre-adapted habitual accidentals which […] establish temporary populations in marginal underground habitats. Once an adaptive shift occurs, allowing a reproducing population to establish itself underground, then it is both the effects of strong new selection pressures and the release from previously strong selection pressures that bring about […] troglomorphy” (Howarth 1986: 155).

While the exploitation of a large new habitat with new food resources may be the driving force in the evolution of troglobites according to the ASH, a major challenge for survival underground is probably the ability to locate mates and reproduce in the dark. A change in mating behaviour might thus have been the most important adaptive shift necessary for a successful colonization of caves, and would almost inevitably lead to reproductive isolation of the incipient cavernicolous species. The Hawaiian cave planthoppers provide a striking example for
this process, and consequently played a pivotal role in the formation of the Adaptive Shift Hypothesis (Howarth 1986, Howarth & Hoch 2005).

A principal acceptance of the ASH does not necessarily invalidate the CRH, especially not in cases where the preconditions for the CRH are met, i.e. cave taxa displaying a relict distribution. However, a relict distribution observed today is not sufficient evidence to unconditionally accept the CRH, given the alternative present in the ASH. The predictions arising from both hypotheses must be tested for every single system. For the CRH, we expect the closest epigean relatives at least to be allopatrically distributed compared to the cave species, while the ASH predicts a parapatric distribution of cave and epigean species, which are necessarily sistergroups (adelphotaxa). Conclusive evidence for a decision between both hypotheses may be gained from a well-founded phylogeny in conjunction with a sound knowledge of the geographic distribution of both cave and epigean taxa. The last requirement is often problematic, though, as the sampling of epigean relatives for some cave species is frequently insufficient. For some groups no epigean relatives are known at all, and it is only through intensive, directed search efforts that this obstacle may be overcome (see e.g. Stone 2004).

**REDUCTIVE EVOLUTIONARY TRENDS**

Once a population has shifted towards a permanently cavernicolous mode of living, the second problem of troglobite evolution – subsequent anagenetic transformation – arises. A basic assumption since Weismann (1886) has been a correlation between the degree of troglomorphy of a taxon and its residence time in caves. Cave adaptation is accordingly described as an orthogenetic, time-dependent process, which is an overall slow, gradual adaptation towards a stage of ‘absolute troglomorphy’; see e.g. Wilkens (1986), for review see Barr (1968) and Howarth (1987). Traditional explanations for the mechanisms of this process includes (i) the accumulation of neutral mutations, (ii) pleiotropic effects, and (iii) natural selection for energy economy (Sket 1986, Culver 1982). Both the CRH (Barr 1968) and ASH (Howarth 1986), however, contain some notion of a founder effect: Barr with an explicit quotation of Mayr’s genetic revolution (Mayr 1954) and Howarth with reference to the Carson model of founder effects (Carson 1968, 1975).

The process of cave adaptation is influenced by several parameters – such as availability of food, population density, microclimate of the caves and other biotic and abiotic factors of the cave ecosystem –, which make comparisons even between closely-related species exceedingly difficult, and generalizations even more so. An excellent opportunity to test the assumption of gradual and increased troglomorphy over time may nevertheless be found in radiations of cave-dwelling planthoppers inhabiting caves of different age.

**CAVE-ADAPTATION IN PLANTHOPPERS**

Studies during the last three decades have revealed numerous cases of evolution of cave-adapted planthoppers in tropical and subtropical caves. Among the Fulgoromorpha, 53 cave-dwelling species have been described from many parts of the world, four-fifth of them cixiids including the Australian taxa *Solonaima* and *Undarana* and the Hawaiian *Oliarus* species (Hoch 1994, Hoch & Wessel 2006).

The adaptation to similar environments in cave planthoppers has led to the evolution of a very similar external morphology in different parts of the world and represents a striking example of parallel evolution. The morphological modifications of cave planthoppers are characterized by reductive evolutionary trends, as in most obligately cavernicolous animals. The degree of adaptation to a subterranean life varies greatly, primarily depending on their habitat in the cave or soil (Fig. 1). Most conspicuous are the reduction and loss of compound eyes and ocelli, tegmina, wings and bodily pigment. It has also been suggested that apparently non-trogломorphic characters have an increased adaptive value in the underground environment, such as e.g. the specialized spine configurations of hind tibiae and tarsi, which may possibly enhance walking on wet or rocky surfaces (Hoch & Howarth 1989a, 1989b, Hoch 2002).

The closest epigean relatives of cavernicolous Fulgoromorpha species all have immature stages living close to the soil, e.g. under the dead bark ofrotting logs, in leaf litter or moss, or even within the soil, feeding on roots or perhaps on fungi (Remane & Hoch 1988). This mode of life has been considered an ecological pre-adaptation...
to a later switch to a permanent (adult) life underground (Hoch 2002, Howarth & Hoch 2005).

CAVE-PLANTHOPPERS OF AUSTRALIA AND HAWAI’I

In Australia, closely related Solonaima and Undarana species have colonized old karst caves as well as younger lava tube systems. The four epigean Undarana species occur in the (rain)forest at the south of Queensland’s east coast, while the two cave-dwelling species (U. rosella, Bayliss & Pinwills cave, Undara lava tube; U. collina, Collins cave) inhabit the lava caves of the McBride Formation in the dry grasslands westward of the Great Dividing Range (Hoch & Howarth 1989a). The epigean Solonaima species can be found all along the east coast (rain)forest, while the cave species inhabit lava tubes within the McBride Formation, too (S. baylissa, sympatric with U. rosella), as well as karst caves of the Chillagoe Karst Towers (S. pholetor, S. stonei, S. halos, S. irvini) and Mount Mulgrave (S. sullivani) (Hoch & Howarth 1989b). Thus, epigean and cavernicolous species of both Australian genera show an allopatric distribution.

On the Hawaiian islands the cave-dwelling species of the endemic, monophyletic Oliarus clade represent independent cave colonizations on islands of different age. With about 80 described epigean taxa (species and subspecies), Oliarus is the most speciose planthopper genus on the Hawaiian islands (Zimmerman 1948, Asche 1997). Based on morphological data, this diversity has been hypothesized to stem from a single colonization event (Asche 1997, Hoch & Howarth 1993). The first cave-dwelling species of the genus, Oliarus polyphemus Fennah, 1973 and Oliarus priola Fennah, 1973 (Fennah 1973) were discovered by Howarth (1972) on Hawai’i Island and Maui where they are endemic. Later, five more troglobitic taxa were discovered on the archipelago (Hoch & Howarth 1999). The seven cave-dwelling species owe their origin to several independent colonization events on three islands; on Molokai, one adaptive shift (O. kalaupapae); on Maui, three adaptive shifts (O. priola, O. gagnei, O. waikau); on Hawai’i Island, at least three adaptive shifts (O. polyphemus, O. lorettae, O. makaiki) (Hoch & Howarth 1999). The closely related epigean species of all cavernicolous Oliarus taxa occur parapatrically at the surface.

Both the Australian and the Hawaiian cave species complexes exhibit different degrees of troglomorphy. Figure 2 shows the heads of six Australian Solonaima, one epigean (1), three facultative cavernicolous (2-4), and two obligate cavernicolous species (5,6). Figure 3 depicts the habitus of six Hawai’ian Oliarus species, one epigean relative on the left (note the different scale), and five troglobitic species. The varying degree of eye reduction is clearly visible; two of the Hawaiian species even show a complete loss of eyes. The same pattern is seen in wing reduction.

The time factor is crucial for assessing the dynamics of troglobite evolution. Unfortunately, though, it is
rarely possible to obtain direct estimates of the age of the cave lineages. Rather, the maximum age of the habitat is usually employed - at least if an active colonization of caves sensu Howarth is assumed - , or even just the maximum age of the underlying geological structure. By these measures, the maximum age for the troglobitic Oliarus lineages on Hawai’i is the age of the islands: 1.8 myr for Molokai, 1.3 myr for Maui, and less than 400,000 y for Hawai’i Island.

In the case of the Australian troglobitic cave planthoppers, the situation is even more complex. At first sight their distribution fits the Climatic Relict Model sensu Barr very well assuming a late Miocene desertification, i.e. replacement of the rain forest by dry savannah or grassland east of the Great Dividing Range (see Kemp 1978, Truswell 1990). While not per se refuting the relict hypothesis, we do not exclude the possibility of adaptive shifts for the Australian cave planthoppers as well. In that case, Australian cave taxa may be much older than hitherto assumed. Also, the late Miocene climatic change is not necessarily be regarded as the sole reference point for the calculation of the maximum age of the Australian cave planthoppers. What could matter instead is the availability of the caves as a suitable novel habitat.

The limestone of the Chillagoe Tower Karst and Mitchell-Palmer Karst are presumably of Silurian origin, and the current main caves were formed by phreatic solution during the last 5-10 million years (Ford 1978, Jennings 1982, Pearson 1982). Remnant older passages and solution breccias near the tops of many towers indicate the existence of caves since the area was uplifted and the limestone was exposed in the mid-Tertiary about 20-25 million years ago (Howarth 1988).

The much younger Undara lava flow (190,000 years old) covers portions of older flows within the McBride Formation (Atkinson et al., 1976), some of which may date back from the Pliocene, i.e. more than 2.5 million years ago (Best 1983). The cave animals could have migrated through the mesocavernous system into young basalt and colonized new caves in each flow in succession.

“The troglobitic species could be, and probably are, older than the age of their caves” (Howarth 1988).

**PHYLOGENETIC AGE AND TROGLOMORPHY**

Against this background we here attempt to assess the problem of the dynamics of reductive evolutionary
trends (troglomorphies) or regressive evolution. A major obstacle in this context is the poor comparability of characters across different taxonomic groups. A strict approach should aim at analyzing character evolution in monophyletic groups where similar (morphological) pre-conditions or pre-adaptations for parallel evolution
may safely be assumed. While we are aware of these problems, we nevertheless found it useful to employ a quantification of troglomorphic characters in order to achieve at least a preliminary idea of the possible correlation between troglomorphy and lineage age. We computed two ‘troglomorphy indices’ for all Hawaiian and Australian taxa from which data were available by using two characteristic troglomorphic characters in cavernicolous planthoppers: the reduction of eyes and the reduction of the tegmina. Eye reduction is apparently coupled with an obvious broadening of the vertex (see Fig. 2), so the index eye diameter: vertex width gives a clear statistical signal, ranging from 2-5 in epigean species with fully developed eyes to 0 in eyeless species. For the second index relative tegmina length we computed the absolute tegmina length: mesonotum width. Values ranges from 4.6-5.5 in epigean and from 1.1 to 5.3 in facultative and obligatory cavernicolous species.

The conspicuous differences between epigean and cave-dwelling species are clearly reflected in both indices (Fig. 4). If the data are plotted against the maximum age of the cave species, the *a priori* expectation is a clear negative correlation, at least for the Hawaiian taxa: the oldest cave lineages should exhibit the highest degree of troglomorphy. In contrast, we surprisingly found a weak (not significant) positive trend (Fig. 5). The same unexpected trends are seen in the Australian planthopper species.

Our results presented here, although preliminary, do not provide any evidence for cave-adaptation as a gradual orthogenetic process. Instead, we rather postulate that founder effects indeed play an important role in the origin of cave species. A correlation of the observed trends with particular ecological parameters of the cave environment cannot be excluded based on our data, but clearly this hypothesis needs further testing, especially in respect to selection pressures exerted by the conditions in high stress environments (such as caves) (Howarth 1989b, Howarth 1993). We can conclude with some certainty, however, that even in closely related species the degree of troglomorphy cannot be employed to infer the phylogenetic age of the cave lineages.
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