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Izvleček

Prispevek obravnava reliefni upodobitvi, ki sledita deloma grškega mojstra Lizipa. Delno ohranjeni relief z upodobitvijo mladeniča v gibanju, ki ga zaznamuje koder las nad čelom, je bil v literaturi različno interpretiran, Josip Korošec je denimo predlagal razlago s Kairosom. Upodobitve tega božanstva si delijo izstopajoči koder las nad čelom, goloto, držo in tehtnico na vrveh, ki bi jo zlahka držal tudi petovionski lik. Glede na preostale kamnine reliefe neobičajna smer gibanja sledi upodobitvam na nekaterih gemah, ki bi – tudi zaradi odstopanj v drži rok in nižje kakovosti – lahko predstavljale neposreden zgled.

Na stranici delno ohranjenega oltarja, votivnega oziroma nagrobnega, je upodobljen Herkules, ki posnema Lizipu pripisan tip utrujenega Herkula. Ta je v nasprotni z redkeje posnemanim Kairosom eden izmed najbolj razširjenih v cesarski dobi. Upodobitev opera nobilia na petovionski reliefni plastiki nedvomno priča o tem, da so kultivirani prebivalci poznali in naročali posnetke dobro znanih del grškega kiparstva.
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Abstract

This contribution discusses two relief depictions, which follow the works of the Greek master Lysippus. One of the partially preserved reliefs, depicting a youth in motion, characterised by the lock of hair on the front has been in the hitherto literature differently interpreted; Josip Korošec explained it as Kairos. The depictions of that deity share the lock of hair above the front, nakedness, posture, and scales, attached to the cords of the scales, which could also be held by the Poetovian youth. Regarding the other stone relief, the direction of the movement is quite unusual and can be taken after gem depictions, which could (also due to some alterations in arm posture and lower quality) serve as the direct source.

In the lateral side of the ara (either votive or funerary) Hercules, who follows the type of Weary Hercules, ascribed to Lysippus is depicted. The latter is (in contrast to the rarely imitated Kairos) one of the most widespread types in the Imperial era. The depictions of opera nobilia in Poetovian relief sculpture doubtless attest to the fact that the cultivated inhabitants were aware of and commissioned representations of the well-known works of Greek statuary.
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By the stairway railing of the city tower (so-called Povodnov muzej/Povoden Museum) in Ptuj, Slovenia (Roman Poetovio in Pannonia Superior, German Pettau), a relief bearing the image of the youth, rapidly moving to the right, is positioned (Fig. 1). It was translocated to its current place between 1962–1964 when some Roman *spolia* by the Northern and Eastern towers, where the relief had been previously allocated were reinstalled by the Maribor Monument Protection Institute (now Institute for the Protection of Cultural Heritage of Slovenia, Regional Office Maribor).

The marble relief panel (0.84 × 0.47 m) is divided into two tiers. Within the moulded wider right portion, a figure of the young nude is positioned (Fig. 2). He moves to the right with the right leg ahead. In his outstretched arms, he holds an object that is tied to the strings. A clearly visible distinctive detail is a lock of hair above his forehead. Even though the upper part of his back is damaged, some vestiges in the upper cornice could indicate the possibility of the voluminous wings that would reach above his head.

In the moulded left field, a female servant stands (Fig. 1). She is dressed in the local so-called...
Norico-Pannonian wear: a long tunic, overtunic and underskirt. In her lifted right arm, she holds an unidentified object, a mirror (?) or flabellum? In the lowered right hand perhaps a jewellery box (?). Her attributes, outfit and posture match the depictions of the so-called Norican girls, who appear to be a popular decoration of the lateral relief fields of the funerary monuments. They were widely spread mainly in the province of Noricum but appear to a lesser extent also present in the neighbouring Pannonia. In the unpreserved right field perhaps a male servant stands, as he is often a counterpart of the female servant in the sepulchral monuments. In all probability, the relief panel was a part of the funerary monument, whereas according to Josip Korošec it could form the upper side of the stele, just below the "architrave", while the other scholars had it for the part of the funerary tomb or of the ossuary.

Especially captivating is the youthful figure within the main relief field, whose interpretation is made difficult due to the preservation state and the scarceness of the comparable depictions.

At first, in 1925 Mihovil Abramić described it as the dancing or striding man, who is stretching his arms towards the unpreserved figure on the right. He interpreted the scene as possibly being of grape pressing.

Some years later, the same author dedicated his attention to the high-quality relief fragment of the unknown provenance in Trogir (It. Traù; St. Nicolaus Benedictine monastery; Fig. 3), depicting the naked winged youth, grabbing the pair of scales. Due to the attributes, iconographic characteristics and especially the hair lock above his forehead, he interpreted it as Kairos, the deity or daimon of the opportune moment, whose prototype would be the bronze statue, made by the famous Lysippus of Sicyon (cf. Fig. 4). Without any further explanation, he dated it into the 1st century BC, whereas Nenad Cambi later suggested the earlier dating to the end of the 4th or beginning of the 3rd century BC; if the latter dating is correct, the

---

7 On the female Norico-Pannonian wear, see Rothe (2012, 179–183) with the cited literature.
10 Lupa 4844.
12 Abramić 1925, 143, No. 159.
14 According to Plinius the Elder (Nat. Hist. 34.65) Lysippus dedicated great effort to the detailed hair-dress.
16 1st century BC (Abramić 1932, 2; Schwarz 1975, 257; Moreno 1990, 922, No. 2; Moreno 1995, 192, No. 4.28.1; Zaccaria Ruggiu 2006, 95). Marcel Gorenc dated it between the 3rd and 1st century BC (Gorenc 1967, 24).
Kairos in Trogir would be the oldest replica in the stone relief of that free-standing masterpiece. Due to the visual characteristics of the stone, Cambi indicated the possibility that it could be made of Pentelic marble, like the reliefs of Kairos in Turin (Museo di Antichità, Inv. No. 610; Fig. 5) and Athens (Acropolis Museum, Inv. No. 2799); to my knowledge, the characterisation of the material has not been conducted yet. Per analogiam to the Turin relief, it could form the lateral side of the Attic sarcophagus, although it is (after Cambi) unclear whether the Turin relief is de facto the part of the sarcophagus.

Although Abramici was more than aware of both reliefs, Poetovian and Tragurian, he interpreted them differently and did not consider the Poetovian youth to be Kairos; nor did most of the other authors.

Later, Bojan Djurić noted the youth from Poetovio as “probably Actaeon” whereas Erwin Pochmarski interpreted it as the satyr, whose counterpart would be the maenad, whereby the whole scene would represent the so-called type B of a satyr-maenad group, in which the satyr is (in opposition to type A) represented on the left (cf. Fig. 6).

Nevertheless, both types of satyr-maenad groups, are always represented with a uniform attitude, without exception. On all the so-called type B of the satyr-maenad group, the satyr is depicted in three-quarter view turned to the maenad with left and not right leg ahead, whereas his left arm rises in the air. The maenad in type B invariably pushes him away with her right arm, aimed at the thorax or grabbing him by his curly beard, as is represented on the oil lamps and on cantharos from the Hermitage. However, there are no vestiges of her right arm on the Poetovian relief nor of the tail on the satyr’s tailbone, which is present in all the type B representations. Also, the hair-dress of the youth with the distinctive lock of hair above the front and beardlessness on no occasion matches the iconography of a satyr in that scene; furthermore, the object held in his arms cannot be recognised as the maenad’s drapery as it always covers her only below the waist and falls smoothly down her legs.

More than seven decades after Abramić, Josip Korošec interpreted the figure as Kairos due to his dynamic movement, the distinctive hair lock above the front of his head and especially due to some iconographic resemblances to the relief in Trogir. He even pointed out that it could be made after the abozzo of the relief in Trogir, which could also be confirmed by the gem in the Archaeological Museum in Split. His interpretation was, according to my knowledge, mentioned only in the guidebook of Ptuj (as “maybe Kairos”) and in one footnote, in which the Poetovian relief was addressed as a comparison to the high-quality Tragurian example.

The prototype of the relief depictions of Kairos is purportedly a bronze statue, which was moulded by the famous Lysippus of Sicyon during 336–334 BC for Alexander the Great in Pella.

---

17 Cambi has, regarding its stylistic characteristics and sculptural treatment, ascribed it to the School of Lysippus, to one of the master’s epigones (Cambi 1980–1981, 10–15; id. 1988, 39–40; id. 1994–1995 2–5).
22 Cf. Cambi 1994–1995, 5. As most probably the right lateral side of the Attic sarcophagus, it is included in corpus Die antiken Sarkophagreliefs (Oakley 2011, 26–27).
25 Pochmarski 2015, 217. In type B the pair is applied to the oil lamps (Matz 1956, 23), to one bronze cantharos (St. Petersburg, Hermitage, Inv. No. 36; Matz 1956, 21), to the tomb of Spectatus (Klemenc, Kolšek, Petru 1972, 28, No. 56; Pochmarski-Nagele 1992, 90–91, No. 79; Lupa 13259), on the lateral side of one Attic sarcophagi (Ioannina, Archaeological Museum in Ioannina, Inv. No. 412; Matz 1968, 104–106, No. 8; Pochmarski-Nagele 1992, 179–180) and of one metropolitan sarcophagus, which was carved after Attic pattern (Rome, Museo Nazionale Romano – Museo delle Terme; Matz 1956, 21, No. 2) and to the mosaic in cubiculum in Édifice du satyre et de la nymphe in Pupput, Tunisia (in situ; Muth 1998, 383–384, No. A 26).
26 With the right leg ahead, he is represented only in the sarcophagus from Ioannina.
27 Only in the sarcophagus in Ioannina does she hold her garment by both hands in the waist-line and with the right elbow fends off his assault.
28 Korošec 1997–1998, 45, 47.
30 Lamut 1996, 42.
32 Moreno 1990, 922, No. 1, 925; Moreno 1995, 190. The master made some bronze replicas, of which one was located in Sicyon (Ensoli 2017a, 42).
Although the original has long since been lost, and no free-standing copy has been found, its appearance is well-known from literary sources, which have, regarding the preserved depictions in several media, pointed out the possible existence of more variants of Kairos. Some of them would more or less faithfully follow Lisyppus’ statue, as reconstructed by Paolo Moreno (Fig. 4), whereas others digress from the purported archetype and add some further attributes or show the deity accompanied by other figures. 

Especially thorough is the appearance of Lysippus’s Kairos described in the epigram, ascribed to the Greek epigrammatic poet Posidippus of Pella, written within a generation after the sculptor’s death:

Who, whence is thy maker? Sicyon. His name is what? Lysippus. What art thou? Kairos, the all-subduer. Why dost thou stand on the tips of thy toes? I turn forever. Why hast thou double wings on either foot? I fly carried by the wind. In thy right hand why carriest thou a razor? To men a sign that quicker than any edge I am. But thy hair, why is it over the eye? In order to be grasped, forsooth, by him that meets me. The back of thy head, why is it bald? Because he, whom I have once rushed with by winged feet, will never grasp me afterwards, though he desire it. Why did the artist fashion thee? For thy sake, o stranger, he placed this warning lesson into the doorway.

The famous statue has purportedly been portrayed only in one painting in the amphitheatre in Ptolemais, in a small number of reliefs and a sufficiently larger group of gems. Apart from the

---

33. According to tradition, Lysippus embodied in the statue of Kairos his canon and his idea of the ideal proportions, regarding the συμμετρία, ρύθμος, αχρίβια. Therefore, it was almost impossible to replicate it a tutto tondo, as the great master was unachievable (Stewart 1978, 163, 165–169).


38. Translated by John E. Matzke (Matzke 1893, 315).


40. Stewart 1978, 164, No. 3; Ensoli 1995a, 395; Zaccaria Ruggiu 2006, 76; Oakley 2011, 26; Ensoli 2017a, 42; Di Folco 2017, 163.

41. Cf. footnote 18. It supposedly originates from Rome, whereas its provenance is not firmly proven (cf. Adornato 2015, 166, No. 22). It was also considered as the Renaissance replica of the Roman original (Barra Bagnasco 1976–1977, 18), which was later generally rejected (cf. Cambi 1994–1995, 4–5 with the literature; Oakley 2011, 26, No. 100).

42. Cf. footnote 19. Although the fragment was in the hitherto literature considered as Kairos, Dietrich Boschung stated a possibility that it could represent Tempus instead (Boschung 2013, 16, No. 32).


only seize the Opportunity when it approaches,\(^{45}\) the pair of scales held in the out-stretched hands,\(^{46}\) the wings on his ankles and the dynamic movement with one leading leg, whilst the other one touches the ground on the tiptoes.\(^{47}\) As the bowls of the scales are attached by strings, it can be held also by the Poetovian youth, whereas only the strings and perhaps one bowl, as there are some vestiges below his arm, would have been preserved.

All stone relief depictions share the posture (while the figure from Poetovio differs in the position of the left arm, which is hidden behind the right one) and the wings on the ankles (on the Poetovian depiction, that part of the relief has unfortunately deteriorated), as well as on the shoulders, although the latter can be omitted in the gems.\(^{48}\) Nevertheless, the wings on the back have been overlooked in the literary sources, which, in contrast, emphasise the winged feet and the running pose.\(^{49}\) In the Poetovian relief behind the occiput of the youth, a curve bends. It reaches the upper cornice of the relief and could hypothetically form the fringe of the wings, as was hinted at by Josip Korošec,\(^{50}\) although it could merely arise as the result of the deteriorated surface.

*Summa summarum*, nudity, the lock of hair above the front, running pose, posture, both hands grabbing the object on the strings (*per analogiam* with other depictions of the pair of scales) and hypothetically maybe the voluminous wings allude to the interpretation of the Poetovian youth as Kairos, as has already proposed Josip Korošec.\(^{51}\) All of the stone reliefs are oriented to the left, while Poetovian figure moves to the right. The opposite direction is not unprecedented. It is well-attested on the gems bearing the image of Tempus, whose attributes were merged with those of Kairos in Antiquity. Even more, due to the parallel analysis of the deities, the literary sources (especially Posidippus as the oldest and the most detailed) and some attributes (voluminous wings on the shoulders, the balance on the razor's edge), which are overlooked in most of the literary sources, Gianfranco Adornato has recently questioned the identification of the youth in the marble reliefs as the two-dimensional depiction of Lysippus's Kairos and as an alternative solution suggested Tempus, whose sculptural type was developed from Lysippus's free-standing Kairos.\(^{51}\)

In contrast to the youthful male in stone reliefs, Tempus in gems is depicted as a bearded mature man, who possesses several key attributes of Kairos (lock of hair on the front, pair of scales on the razor, winged ankles and shoulders, running pose).\(^{52}\) Nevertheless, not only aged Tempus but, as attested by the cornelian gems in Berlin

---

\(^{45}\) Zaccaria Ruggiu 2006, 78; Di Folco 2017, 162.

\(^{46}\) Due to the presence of the pair of scales in the relief depictions, Paolo Moreno counted it among the original Lysippean attribute, whereas Annapaola Zaccaria Ruggiu (2006, 102–118; *ead.* 2012, 132, 134) had it for an attribute, designed especially for the funerary monuments, as it is explicitly mentioned only by Himerius. In contrast, Silvia Mattiacci drew attention to some deficiencies in the conclusions of Zaccaria Ruggiu, like the word *pendens* mentioned by Phaedrus (*V*, 8: *cursu volucri, pendens in novacula*) that could in all likelihood indicate to the scales (Mattiacci 2011, 134–136).

\(^{47}\) *Cf.* Zaccaria Ruggiu 2006, 78–79.

\(^{48}\) *Cf.* Berlin, Staatliche Museen, Inv. No. FG 7358 (Moreno 1990, 923, No. 13; Zaccaria Ruggiu 2006, 147, No. 12; *Fig.* 6).

\(^{49}\) Adornato 2015, 169, 175.

\(^{50}\) Korošec 1997–1998, 46.


\(^{52}\) Moreno 1981, 178; Moreno 1990, 926; Pasolozzi Strozzi, Schwarzenberg 1991, 313; Ensoli 2017a, 42; Di Folco 2017, 164. *Cf.* charneol in London (Collection C. Newton Robins), dating between the 1\(^{st}\) and 2\(^{nd}\) century AD (Furtwängler 1900, 207, No. 49, Pl. XLIII, No. 49; Moreno 1990, 922–923, No. 7; Zaccaria Ruggiu 2006, 146–147, No. 11; Adornato 2015,
WEARY HERCULES

In 1961, in the ramparts of the so-called Large Barracks ("Velika kasarna") in Ptuj (Ulica heroja Lacka), which was demolished during bomb raids in 1945, a marble block (1.52 × 0.70 × 0.27 m; Ptuj-Ormož Regional Museum, Inv. No. RL 820) was found (Figs. 8–9). Its right portion is lacking, but the preserved half bears the relief of the bearded male figure, while the counterpart has in its upper right cornice the vestiges of the Norico-Pannonian volute. The man stands on the pedestal in a contrapposto attitude with the left leg in motion. His right arm is hidden behind his back and the head is slightly leaned to the right. The musculature is highly stressed and underlines the relatively good quality of the depiction. Despite the partial preservation, it can easily be interpreted as one of the numerous depictions of the Weary Hercules, whose archetype is attributed to Lysippus (cf. Fig. 10). Per analogiam with other depictions, he leans on the club, covered with the skin of Nemean lion. However, despite its clearly visible resemblances to the Weary Hercules and relatively good quality, he has been largely overlooked, and an in-depth study has not been conducted yet.

The iconographic type of the Weary Hercules was highly popular in Hellenism and even more in the Roman period, down to the Byzantine era. It was transferred to coins or medallions, small-

167) or the gem of the unknown ownership (Furtwängler 1900, 207–208, No. 50, Pl. XLIII, No. 50).

53 Moreno 1990, 923, No. 13; Ensolì 1995a, 397, No. 6.16.2; Zaccaria Ruggiu 2006, 147, No. 12; Adornato 2015, 168.


58 The barracks were built in the place of the large city palace, which burnt down in 1744, and in 1754 the ruins, as well as the site were sold by the owners, Counts Sauer von und zu Ankenstein to k.k. Militär-Invalidenhaus which built later so-called Large Barracks (Raisp 1860, 5–6; Janisch 1885, 460; Šamperl-Purg 1985, 176).

59 Jevremov 1988, 112, No. 125; Lupa 4217.

60 Jevremov 1988, 112, No. 125. Unfortunately, the block is currently inaccessible (cf. footnote 82) and to my notion, the photo of that side has not been taken.

61 The pedestal could allude to the free-standing statues, which embellished the villas or gardens of the well-off citizens (Boschung 1989, 14).


63 Unfortunately, his left leg has not preserved; therefore, it is impossible to claim which exact type is represented (cf. Vermeule 1975, 324–331; Moreno 1982, 397–524; Kansteiner 2020, 121–124).

and large-scale sculptures\textsuperscript{65} and wall paintings,\textsuperscript{66} whereas the immense widespread speaks of its importance as well as the accessibility of a model.\textsuperscript{67} All of the mass-produced images in various media reveal the bearded hero, who reclines on his club. As is attested in the free-standing replicas, he conceals behind his back the stolen apples of the Hesperides.

In all probability, the rectangular solid was originally an altar, either funerary or votive, set in honour of Hercules. The relief of Hercules would most likely be its left lateral side,\textsuperscript{68} the unworked side its backside, whereas the unpreserved front would be fulfilled by the inscription, which corresponds to the vast majority of the (either funerary or votive) altars.\textsuperscript{69} Above the figural relief, a curve of the Norico-Pannonian volute is located, which marks the upper cornice of the relief field. The figure stands on the pedestal and was therefore for certain the only figure represented within the moulded frame.

In funerary art, the images of Hercules have been numerous ever since the 2\textsuperscript{nd} century AD, although

\textsuperscript{66} Cf. Moormann 1986, 75.
\textsuperscript{67} On the appropriation of the schemes of Greek \textit{opera nobilia} in Roman provincial sculpture, see Dorka Moreno \textit{et al.} 2021, 4–9.
\textsuperscript{68} Although Jevremov (1988, 112, No. 125) had it for the right side, it is far more likely that it was the left side of the ara, as the unworked side could only be its back.
\textsuperscript{69} Cf. Dexheimer 1998, 16.
Lysippean models on two roman reliefs from Poetovio

The hero has been generally more in favour in non-sepulchral contexts. He was eligible mainly due to his virtues and was therefore understood as exemplum virtutis, alluding to the deceased's own qualities.70 As such, he was mostly chosen by liberti.71 Ever since the Claudian era, funerary altars in Rome have been embellished with figural motifs, which were frequently modelled after the famous works of the Greek statuary, whereby the sculptors had to adapt the motif to a certain extent to two-dimensional space.72 If opus nobile was on the front, it could be either flanked by some assistant figures that share no interconnectedness in terms of the content or, more commonly, it could be dominated by the inscription and some decorative elements (like garlands or pillars).73 The replication in relief of the single mythological figure on the funerary ara, in contrast, in all probability, alludes to the personal characteristics of the deceased.74 Therefore, these monuments had to be commissioned directly and were not mass-produced, as was common for funerary aras.75

In Danubian provinces, the funerary altar is quite a common funerary monument, which was often chosen by the local authorities and military commanders,76 whereas Hercules was certainly one of the most popular mythological figures in the provinces.77

Dedications to Hercules on votive altars, reliefs, or inscriptions were widely present from the Late Republic to the 3rd century AD in Rome, Italy and the provinces, whereas the founders were mostly liberti or slaves, who easily identified themselves with the hero, who achieved deification due to his valiant life.78 However, among the several dedicands, attested in the votive inscriptions to Hercules are (apart of the well-off liberti) also the members of the middle class, soldiers, and officers, and even the members of familia Caesaris, whereas the preserved inscriptions often hint at the ascension of the social ladder by the founder.79

Due to the only partially preserved marble block lacking the inscription, the commissioner of the Poetovian ara remains unknown, but for certain the hero had to be of special meaning to him. Perhaps as the deity, to whom he expressed his vows or as the hero, with whom he shared some personal characteristics. The depiction is of good quality for the provincial production, which speaks in favour of the well-off and educated commissioner, who could afford to hire skilled stonemasons. To my knowledge, there is no other ara in Poetovio bearing the image of Greek opus nobile and the taking over the well-known motive is a display of his connoisseurship for sure. Unfortunately, the ara was found in a secondary place and therefore nothing firm can be said about its dating.

---

70 Grassinger 2007, 111, 116.
71 Wrede 1981, 114.
72 Boschung 1989, 13, No. 40.
79 Wojciechowski 2011, 449–450.
as the motif was well-known and widely imitated throughout the empire from the 1st century AD to the Severan era.\(^{80}\)

Beside the altar of Hercules, four other Roman stones have also been found among the rubble of the barracks. Of special interest is the marble nude torso of the good quality of the hero or deity in contrapposto attitude (Ptuj, Ptuj-Ormož Regional Museum, Inv. No. RL 434).\(^{81}\) Due to the muscularity, youthful look and contrapposto, it in all likelihood followed one of the Greek masterpieces or their derivations; regrettably, because of the current inaccessibility of the lapidarium and therefore also the torso,\(^{82}\) further discussion can only be pure speculation. As the city palace of the noble family Sauer von und zu Ankenstein stood in that place, it is possible that the stones were immersed into the palace and later also in the barracks.

Roman Poetovio was a thriving provincial merchant and economic centre, which was doubtless well-connected with other centres through river transport;\(^{83}\) therefore, it is unsurprising that the cultivated inhabitants commissioned monuments bearing the depictions that follow the well-known and to educated Romans doubtless familiar Greek masterpieces, as Lysippus was a deeply regarded and highly copied Greek sculptor in the Roman imperial era. Lysippean patterns were widespread throughout the Roman empire ever since the Ptolemaic age,\(^{84}\) whereas the type of Weary Hercules was favoured since the 1st century AD.\(^{85}\) In contrast to it, Kairos was mostly copied in the Late Republic and Augustan era, although the gems testify its presence also in later times.\(^{86}\)

\(^{80}\) Ensoli 2017a, 37; Ensoli 2017b, 109.

\(^{81}\) Jelen 1950, 190–191; Bratanić 1952, 304; Lupa 9380.

\(^{82}\) The lapidarium has been located in the Dominican Monastery in Ptuj since 1928. In 2012, it was moved out as the monastery has been renovated into a congress and conference centre. The stones were deposited in the crates and transferred in a neighbouring building with the promise of the new exhibition building (cf. Ciglenečki 2012, 187–188). However, the Roman stones have remained in the boxes and therefore hidden to the scholars, as well as to the public, which is deprived of the abundant material remnants of the Roman past.
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Lizipovski zgledi

pri dveh rimskih petovionskih reliefih

Povzetek

RELIEF

Z UPODOBITVijo KAIROSA

Pri stopniščni ograji mestnega stolpa na Ptuju (t. i. Povodnov muzej) najdemo slabše ohranjen relief (0,84 × 0,47 m)1 z upodobitvijo noriške deklice (sl. 1)2 na levem stranskem polju, desno stransko polje ni ohranjeno, osrednjega pa zapolnjuje težko razložljiva podoba golega mladeniča v profilu (sl. 2). Lik

je obrnjen proti desni z desno nogo naprej, v predse iztegnjenih rokah drži predmet, pritrjen na vrvi. Kljb nezavidljivi ohranjeno je dobro razviden koder las, ki se mu viha nad čelom, nekaj sledi v zgornjem hrbtnu imel velika krila,3 kotu reliefa nakazuje možnost, da bi lahko lik na drugi strani pa lahko gre le za poškodbo površine. Mladenč je bil različno interpretiran. Mihovil Abramić je menil, da morda pleše ali hodi ter pri tem izteza roke proti neohranjeni (eni ali več) figuri na desni, prizor pa bi morebiti lahko predstavljal stiskanje grozdja.

1 Tupa 4844.
4 Abramić 1925, 143, št. 159.
Isti avtor je nekaj let pozneje pozornost namenil delno ohranjenemu reliefu iz Trogirja (benediktinski samostan sv. Nikolaja; sl. 3), na katerem je v profilu upodobljen goli mladenič, ki iz zategnjenimi rokami grabi tehtnico na vrveh. Zaradi atributa, ikonografskih značilnosti in zlasti poudarjenega kodra las nad čelom4 ga je interpretiral kot Kairosa, božanstva pravega trenutka, katerega prototip naj bi predstavljal slavni Lizipov prostostoječi bronast kip (prim. sl. 4). Čeprav je Abramič očitno dobro poznal oba reliefa, ju je kljub nekaterim skupnim značilnostim različno interpretiral, podobno tudi nekateri drugi avtorji.

Bojan Djurić je petovionski lik označil verjetno za Aktajona,5 Erwin Pochmarski pa je menil, da predstavlja satira – temu bi nasproti stala menada, par bi tako predstavljal tip B9 velikokrat upodobljene skupine satira in menade (prim. sl. 6). Skupina satira in menade tega tipa je vselej prikazana enako: satir se v tričetrtinskem zasuku upodobljene skupine satira in menade (prim. sl. 4).6 Skupina satira in menade tega tipa je vselej prikazana enako: satir se v tričetrtinskem zasuku pri dveh rimskih petovionskih reliefih bi mu bolj ali manj zvesto sledile upodobitve tega božanstv, ki je znan iz številnih primarnih literarnih virov (najpodrobneje je opisan pri Posjudipu iz Pele)7 ter iz redkih likovnih upodobitev, in sicer božanstvo najdemo na le treh kamnitih reliefih v Trogirju, Torinu (Museo di Antichità, inv. št. 610; sl. 5) in odlomku iz Aten (Muzej Akropole, inv. št. 2799),8 na stenski sliki iz amfiteatra v Ptolemaidi9 ter na več gemah,10 Predlogi datacije kamnitih reliefov segajo pri trogirskem od konca 4. oziroma začetka 3. st. pr. Kr.11 do 1. st. pr. Kr.,12 Skupine značilnosti vseh reliefnih upodobitev so lasti koder las nad čelom, golota, razgibana drža in tehtnica, pritrjena na vrvi. Večina si jih deli še krila na gležnjih in ramenih, četudi so slednja na ohranjeno in lika, ki ga petovionski lik drži v rokah, ne more predstavljati menadine draperije, saj jo ta v vseh primerih zakriva le pod pasom in gladko polzi z njenih nog.

Več kot sedem desetletij po Abramičevi objavi je Josip Korošec zaradi dinamičnega gibanja, kodra las in ikonografskih sorodnosti z reliefom iz Trogirja lik opredelil za Kairosa.13 Lizipov original, ki naj bi mu bolj ali manj zvesto sledile upodobitve tega božanstva, je znan iz številnih primarnih literarnih virov (najpodrobneje je opisan pri Posjudipu iz Pele)14 ter iz redkih likovnih upodobitev, in sicer božanstvo najdemo na le treh kamnitih reliefih v Trogirju, Torinu (Museo di Antichità, inv. št. 610; sl. 5) in odlomku iz Aten (Muzej Akropole, inv. št. 2799),16 na stenski sliki iz amfiteatra v Ptolemaidi17 ter na več gemah.18 Predlogi datacije kamnitih reliefov segajo pri trogirskem od konca 4. oziroma začetka 3. st. pr. Kr.,19 do 1. st. pr. Kr.,20 pri torniškem od Trajanove do antoninske dobe,21 atenski relief pa naj bi domnevnno nastal v 1. st. pr. Kr.22 Skupine značilnosti vseh reliefnih upodobitev so lasti koder las nad čelom, golota, razgibana drža in tehtnica, pritrjena na vrvi. Večina si jih deli še krila na gležnjih in ramenih, četudi so slednja na ohranjeno in lika, ki ga petovionski lik drži v rokah, ne more predstavljati menadine draperije, saj jo ta v vseh primerih zakriva le pod pasom in gladko polzi z njenih nog.

Več kot sedem desetletij po Abramičevi objavi je Josip Korošec zaradi dinamičnega gibanja, kodra las in ikonografskih sorodnosti z reliefom iz Trogirja lik opredelil za Kairosa.13 Lizipov original, ki naj
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8 Po Pliniju Starešjem (Nat. Hist. 34.65.) je Lizip prav v detaljno pričesko vložil veliko truda.
9 Cj. Moreno 1990, 922, št. 1, 925; Moreno 1995, 190.
12 Pochmarski 2015, 217.
13 Po Abramič 1929, 122, 193.
14 Po Abramič 1932, 2; Schwarz 1975, 257; Moreno 1990, 922, št. 2; Moreno 1995, 192, št. 4.28.1; Zaccaria Ruggiu 2006, 96–97, 141–142, št. 4; Dietrich Boschung je izpostavil tudi možnost, da ohranjeni fragment lahko pripada Tempusu in ne Kairosu (Boschung 2013, 16, št. 32). Upodobitveni tip Tempusa je vendar razl. 3. in 1. st. pr. Kr., tako da je Gianfranco Adornato celo menil, da bi na vseh kamnitih reliefih Kairosa lahko bil dejansko upodobljen Tempus (Adornato 2015, 161–174).
15 Bacchielli 1993, 77; Ensolii 1995a, 395.
16 Stewart 1978, 164, št. 3; Ensolii 1995a, 395; Zaccaria Ruggiu 2006, 76; Oakley 2011, 26; Ensolii 2017a, 42; Di Folco 2017, 163.
18 1. st. pr. Kr. (Abramič 1932, 2; Schwarz 1975, 257; Moreno 1990, 922, št. 2; Moreno 1995, 192, št. 4.28.1; Zaccaria Ruggiu 2006, 96). Marcel Gorenc je postavil v čas med 3. in 1. st. pr. Kr. (Gorenc 1967, 24).
19 Adornato 2015, 166–167.
20 Zaccaria Ruggiu 2006, 96.
ne omenjajo literarni viri, ki poudarjajo krila na stopalih in pozo v teku.24
tako koder las nad čelom, golota, drža in roke, s katerimi drži vrvi, na katere je pritrjen neki predmet (per analogiam z drugimi upodobitvama
gre za tehtnico, od to bi se ohranile le vrvi in ena od skled, katere sledi je razbrati pod roko), kažejo
na interpretacijo petovionskega lika kot Kairosa. Gibanja proti desni ni natančno na preostalih kamnitih reliefih, temveč to smer najdemo na nekaterih
gemah (prim. gema iz Berlina, Staatliche Museen, inv. št. FG 7358; sl. 7).25 To bi poleg nižje kakovosti
in delnega odstopitve v upodobitvi rok, leva roka je skrita za desno, kazalo na to, da bi bil petovionski
Kairos lahko posnet po kateri izmed nem. nemem monumentalnih kipov tako klasične kot heleniščne
dobe je bilo namreč v močno pomanjšanem merilu upodobljenih tudi na gemah.26 Kamnorezci so se
pri tem seveda morali prilagoditi dvodimenzionalnem in skopo odmerjenemu простoru, zato so
se praviloma osredotočili le na ključne atribute, saj njihov namen še zdaj ni bil ustvariti repliko,
temveč podati poenostavljeno, a še vedno prepoznavno podobo.27 H gemam kot mediju, po katerem
bi se zgledoval petovionski kamnosek, napelje tudi

**OLTAR Z UPODOBITVIO UTRUJENEGA HERKULA**

Na levi stranici28 delno ohranjenega oltarja z
dimenzijami 1,52 × 0,70 × 0,27 m (Pokrajinski
muzej Ptuj - Ormož, inv. št. RL 820; sl. 8–9),29
najdenega med ruševinami nekdanje kasarne, je
deloma ohranjena upodobitev Herkula, ki že na
prvi pogled spominja na tip Utrujenega Herkula,
pripisanega Lizipu (prim. sl. 10).30 Iconografski
tip je bil nadvse priljubljen vse od ptolemajske
dobe – s klimaksom v rimski dobi – pa do bizan
tinskega obdobja, kar govori o pomembnosti ter
tudi dostopnosti replik osnovnega modela,31 saj
gaja najdemo tako na novcih oziroma medaljonih,32
kipih in reliefih različnih dimenzij33 ter tudi v
stenskem slikarstvu.34 Prav vse upodobitve si
delijo podobo utrujenega bradatega junaka, ki
se naslanja na kij ter za hrbtom skriva ukraden
jabolka Hesperid.

Čelna stran z napisom na petovionskem spo
neniku ni ohranjena, zato ni mogoče opredeliti,
ali gre za votivni ali nagrobni oltar ter kdo ga je
postavil in zakaj. Na ohranjeni strani se nad ju
nakom boči vijuga noriško-panonske volute, junak
pa stoji na podstavku; to kaže, da je zagotovo bil
dedini upodobljenec na reliefem polju.

V nagrobni umetnosti so upodobitve Herkula
pogosteje nastopale od 2. stoletja naprej. Junak je
s svojim krepostnim življenjem in junaštvi utelešal
exemplum virtutis, kar je šlo za neposredno naročilo.
Na ohranjeni strani se nad júnakom boči vijuga noriško-panonske volute, junak pa stoji na podstavku; to kaže, da je zagotovo bil edini upodobljenec na reliefem polju.

Pogosti so bili tudi votivni oltarji v Herkulovo čast, ohranjeni napisni pa često pričajo o vzponu
posvetiteljev po družbeni lestvici, pri čemer se ti bržkone primerjajo s herojem, ki je zaradi svojega junškega življenja bil sprejet med bogove. Med dedikanti najdemo premožne osvobojence, pripadnike srednjega sloja, vojake in poveljnice ter celo člane *familia Caesars*. 

Zaradi odsotnosti napisa naročnika petovionskega oltarja ostaja neznan, a za provincialne razmere vseakor dobra kakovost priča o premožnem prebivalcu, ki si je lahko privoščil veščega kamnoseka in je bil obenem gotovo dobro seznanjen z mojstrovinami grškega kiparstva. Kakor mi je znano, na nobeni drugi petovionski ari ni upodobljeno grško *opus nobile*, odločitev zanj pa nedvomno priča o naročnikovem poznavalstvu in izobrazbi, ki ju je želel pokazati navzven. Enako kot o reliefu s Kairosom tudi pri tem oltarju žal ne moremo reči nič določnejšega o njegovi dataciji, saj je bil motiv dobro znan in močno razširjen vse do severse dobe. 

Rimska Petoviona je bila provincialno trgovsko in gospodarsko središče, zato ni prav nič nenaavadno, da se v njej najdejo liki, ki so sledili dobro znanim mojstrovinam grškega kiparstva. Lizip je bil v cesarski dobi med najpogosteje posnemani, upodobitve, ki odsevajo njegove stvaritve, so bile dobro razširjene po celotnem imperiju vse od ptolemajske dobe, Utvrjeni Herkul pa je bil priljubljen od 1. st. po Kr. V nasprotju z njim je bil Kairos večinoma posneman v poznorepublikanskem in avgustejskem obdobju, a gene dokazujejo njego prisotnost tudi v poznejšem času.
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40 Ensoli 2017a, 37; Ensoli 2017b, 109.
44 Ensoli 2017a, 42.
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