NATURAL HAZARDS – SOME INTRODUCTORY THOUGHTS

DOI: 10.3986/AGS53300
UDC: 911.2:504.4
COBISS: 1.03

Blaž Komac, Ph. D.
Anton Melik Geographical Institute
Research Centre of the Slovenian Academy of Sciences and Arts
Gosposka ulica 13, SI – 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
E-mail: blaz.komac@zrc-sazu.si

Matija Zorn, Ph. D.
Anton Melik Geographical Institute
Research Centre of the Slovenian Academy of Sciences and Arts
Gosposka ulica 13, SI – 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
E-mail: matija.zorn@zrc-sazu.si

Milivoj B. Gavrilov, Ph. D.
Department of Geography, Tourism and Hotel Management
Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 3, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia
E-mail: milivoj.gavrilov@yahoo.com

Slobodan B. Marković, Ph. D.
Department of Geography, Tourism and Hotel Management
Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 3, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia
E-mail: slobodan.markovic@dgt.uns.ac.rs
1 Introduction

In 2011 we started to publish special issues in Acta geographica Slovenica aiming to bring attention to selected topics we find interesting for our readers. While we published several articles on geotourism (e.g. Hose et al. 2011) in 51-2 issue, we are going to present two topics in 2013 and 2014 – this issue and 54-1 issue bring discussions on natural hazards, and in the issues 53-2 and 54-2 articles on cultural values and sustainable rural development (e.g. Bole, Pipan and Komac 2013).

2 Natural hazards – some introductory thoughts

In recently published Encyclopedia of Natural Hazards (Bobrowsky 2013) »natural hazard« is defined as »an unexpected and/or uncontrollable natural event of unusual magnitude that might threaten people« (Bokwa 2013, 711). Many authors tried to define the term as well as the related expression natural disaster as shown by one of the following papers (Lukić et al. 2013). The editors of the special issue understand the latter as »natural phenomena and processes in a landscape that affect society to the extent that they cause damage to it« (Zorn and Komac 2011, 9).

The question that often arises today is: Are natural hazards increasing? This may be because of several »mega-disasters« in recent years, e.g. Southeast Asia earthquake and tsunami in 2004, hurricane Katrina in the US in 2005, Haiti earthquake in 2010, Japanese earthquake and tsunami in 2011 or floods in Central Europe in 2002 and 2013, and mass media covering them extensively. But back to the question – Guha-Sapir, Hagitt and Hoyos (2004, 53) answer that natural hazards are probably increasing but »not significantly. But the number of people vulnerable and affected is definitely on the increase«.

The notion that natural hazard are increasing/are going to increase can also be associated with the future perspectives of climate scenarios, as by 2100 »climate change is expected to cause an increase of 1.1–6.4 °C in the global average surface air temperature, and a rise of sea level of between 18 and 59 cm.« These will/may lead to more climate related natural hazards (Alcántara-Ayala 2010, 276).

Good example of vulnerability of modern society to natural hazards is the Japanese earthquake and tsunami in 2011 that was the costliest natural disaster to date with the total damage more than $210 billion (Münchner … 2012) and which is discussed in one of the following articles (Milošević et al. 2013).

After an event we usually look at »The cycle of integrated risk management« (e.g. Schmid 2006, 10) and ask ourselves what went wrong or which part of it was neglected prior to or after the event leading to extensive damage. Part of the answer is hidden in the changing perception of each part of the »risk cycle«. To find this out we conducted a word frequency count of ten different documents (Bernard et al. 2006;
Mimura et al. 2011; Chagué-Goff et al. 2012; Disasters … 2012; Nukiwa 2012; Richmond et al. 2012; Tsunami … 2013) covering the Japanese earthquake and tsunami in 2011 to see on which part of the »risk cycle« focus these documents (Figure 1 and 2).

3 Articles

As mentioned above, papers of this special issue are placed in the present issue of *Acta geographica Slovenica* as well as in the issue 54-1. Together seven paper are going to be presented.

Lukić et al. (2013) present contemporary trends in classification of natural hazards and attempts to harmonize them with some examples from Serbia.
Gavrilov et al. (2013) discuss the problem of hail suppression in Serbia. The paper critically evaluates decades of hail suppression as the data in the period from 1967 to 2010 show that the hail trend is rising which is in contrary to expectations and claims that hail suppression decreases hail frequency.

Milošević et al. (2013) discuss the Fukushima nuclear disaster and how it affected the changes in nuclear energy policy in the »developed countries« and how this policy stayed mostly unaffected in the »developing countries«.

Marković et al. (2014) present numerical simulation of the complete drying out of the Aral and Caspian Seas and the potential impact that this may have to the climate and environment in the region and globally.

Kovačević-Majkić et al. (2014) present risk education in Serbia as a tool to raise awareness, reduce vulnerability and strengthen the resilience of the population against natural hazards.

Svirčev et al. (2014) propose a concept of ecoremediation as a potential solution for different water quality problems in aquatic ecosystems.

Tošić et al. present a study on landslide susceptibility zonation from Banja Luka Municipality (Bosnia and Herzegovina) where 216 landslides were identified with total surface of 2.9 km².

4 Conclusion

As short analysis of one of the largest natural disasters ever shows the focus of articles which are describing the phenomena from different perspectives (sedimentology, erosion, environment, medicine, preparedness, mitigation and humanitarian aid) is mainly directed to the first phase of the »risk cycle«, e.g. to the intervention phase. Due to large-scale damage the articles were also focused on reconstruction phase. On the other hand, the prevention phase in articles is neglected in our thought and practice (Figure 2).

In our opinion this reflects the modern society with high level of individualization, low level of public participation leading to low level of trust and focus of the society on the »yellow« aspects of natural hazards. Natural hazards are dues perceived as an obstacle to progress that can be overcome using modern technology and not as natural phenomena that occur in natural as well as in urban environment.

The resilience of modern society seems to be lower than usually perceived. Several examples (Kuhlliche et al. 2011) bring our attention to the fact that only long-term activities aiming to understand the nature, its features, effects, and extent of natural processes together with too often neglected social aspects of natural hazards may increase resilience and lower losses in long term. To achieve this the prevention phase should become the first phase of the »risk cycle«.
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